UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q	
(Mark One)	
☑ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE 1934	ACT OF
For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2009	
OR	
☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE 1934	ACT OF
Commission file number 1-13395	
SONIC AUTOMOTIVE, INC. (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter) DELAWARE (State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer)	
incorporation or organization) Identification No.)	
6415 Idlewild Road, Suite 109, Charlotte, North Carolina (Address of principal executive offices) 28212 (Zip Code)	
(704) 566-2400 (Registrant's telephone number, including area code)	
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes \square No \square	the preceding
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or such shorter period that the registrant was required post such file). Yes No No	
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See define accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (check one). Large Accelerated Filer □ Accelerated Filer ☑ Non-Accelerated Filer □ Smaller Reporting Company. See define accelerated Filer □ Smaller Reporting Company. See define accelerated Filer □ Smaller Reporting Company.	itions of "large
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	ing Company L
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes \square No \boxtimes	
As of October 28, 2009, there were 40,099,559 shares of Class A Common Stock and 12,029,375 shares of Class B Common Stock outstanding.	

INDEX TO FORM 10-Q

	Page
PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION	3
ITEM 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	3
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income — Third Quarter and Nine-month period ended September 30, 2008 and September 30, 2009	3
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets — December 31, 2008 and September 30, 2009	4
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity — Nine-month period ended September 30, 2009	5
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows — Nine-month period ended September 30, 2008 and September 30, 2009	6
Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	7
ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	26
ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	47
ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures	48
PART II — OTHER INFORMATION	49
ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings	49
ITEM 1A. Risk Factors	50
ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	65
ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	66
ITEM 6. Exhibits	67
SIGNATURES EX 10.1	70
EX-10.1 EX-10.2 EX-31.1	
EX-31.1 EX-31.2 EX-32.1	
EX-32.1 EX-32.2	
2	

PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1: Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

SONIC AUTOMOTIVE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Dollars and shares in thousands except per share amounts) (Unaudited)

	Third Qua Septen	arter Ended aber 30,	Nine Mont Septem	
	2008	2009	2008	2009
Revenues:				
New vehicles	\$ 942,471	\$ 825,367	\$ 2,978,886	\$ 2,169,646
Used vehicles	308,158	365,501	994,906	1,034,444
Wholesale vehicles	64,995	33,220	216,378	97,478
Total vehicles	1,315,624	1,224,088	4,190,170	3,301,568
Parts, service and collision repair	256,867	255,372	787,928	768,003
Finance, insurance and other	43,259	41,302	139,355	110,663
Total revenues	1,615,750	1,520,762	5,117,453	4,180,234
Cost of Sales:				
New vehicles	(878,485)	(765,116)	(2,777,201)	(2,017,510)
Used vehicles	(282,275)	(336,949)	(906,709)	(948,463)
Wholesale vehicles	(66,249)	(35,003)	(220,417)	(100,741)
Total vehicles	(1,227,009)	(1,137,068)	(3,904,327)	(3,066,714)
Parts, service and collision repair	(129,381)	(126,226)	(396,778)	(383,793)
Total cost of sales	(1,356,390)	(1,263,294)	(4,301,105)	(3,450,507)
Gross profit	259,360	257,468	816,348	729,727
Selling, general and administrative expenses	(220,413)	(203,694)	(656,799)	(588,834)
Impairment charges	(25,476)	(339)	(25,809)	(4,164)
Depreciation and amortization	(8,286)	(8,080)	(23,562)	(24,523)
Operating income	5,185	45,355	110,178	112,206
Other income (expense):	,	,	, in the second of	,
Interest expense, floor plan	(8,987)	(4,324)	(31,591)	(14,368)
Non-cash interest expense, convertible debt	(2,696)	7,818	(7,991)	1,556
Interest expense, other, net	(15,859)	(19,305)	(40,803)	(57,496)
Other income (expense), net	(9)	2,442	88	2,504
Total other expense	(27,551)	(13,369)	(80,297)	(67,804)
Income from continuing operations before taxes	(22,366)	31.986	29.881	44,402
Income tax provision	7,301	(13,506)	(13,598)	(19,093)
Income from continuing operations	(15,065)	18,480	16,283	25,309
Discontinued operations:	(13,003)	10,400	10,203	25,507
Loss from operations and the sale of discontinued franchises	(17,878)	(3,848)	(30,581)	(10,681)
Income tax (provision) benefit	5,977	962	9,174	2,670
Loss from discontinued operations	(11,901)	(2,886)	(21,407)	(8,011)
•				
Net income	<u>\$ (26,966)</u>	<u>\$ 15,594</u>	\$ (5,124)	<u>\$ 17,298</u>
Basic earnings per share:				
Earning (loss) per share from continuing operations	\$ (0.38)	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.61
Loss per share from discontinued operations	(0.29)	(0.06)	(0.53)	(0.19)
Earning (loss) per share	\$ (0.67)	\$ 0.37	\$ (0.13)	\$ 0.42
Weighted average common shares outstanding	40,138	42,305	40,447	41,130
Diluted earnings per share:				
Earnings (loss) per share from continuing operations	\$ (0.38)	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.42
Loss per share from discontinued operations	(0.29)	(0.05)	(0.53)	(0.16)
Earnings (loss) per share	\$ (0.67)	\$ 0.17	\$ (0.13)	\$ 0.26
Weighted average common shares outstanding	40,138	63,195	40,626	52,529
Dividends declared per common share	\$ 0.12	\$ —	\$ 0.36	\$ —
•				

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

SONIC AUTOMOTIVE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Dollars in thousands) (Unaudited)

	December 31, 2008 (1)	September 30, 2009	
ASSETS			
Current Assets:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 6,971	\$ 614	
Restricted cash	_	106,913	
Receivables, net	247,025	163,161	
Inventories	916,837	657,475	
Assets held for sale	406,576	176,128	
Other current assets	16,822	16,900	
Total Current Assets	1,594,231	1,121,191	
Property and Equipment, net	369,892	378,170	
Goodwill	327,007	403,048	
Other Intangible Assets, net	82,328	79,685	
Other Assets	32,087	22,674	
Total Assets	\$ 2,405,545	\$ 2,004,768	
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY			
Current Liabilities:			
Notes payable — floor plan — trade	\$ 208,438	\$ 156,743	
Notes payable — floor plan — non-trade	712,585	448,316	
Trade accounts payable	53,215	51,977	
Accrued interest	17,096	9,456	
Other accrued liabilities	207,627	162,944	
Liabilities associated with assets held for sale — trade	65,405	24,503	
Liabilities associated with assets held for sale — non-trade	134,077	28,620	
Current maturities of long-term debt	738,447	105,750	
Total Current Liabilities	2,136,890	988,309	
Long-Term Debt		556,243	
Other Long-Term Liabilities	71,132	109,562	
Commitments and Contingencies	,	,	
Stockholders' Equity:			
Class A convertible preferred stock, none issued	_	_	
Class A common stock, \$.01 par value; 100,000,000 shares authorized; 42,922,557 shares issued and 28,063,141 shares			
outstanding at December 31, 2008; 54,986,875 shares issued and 40,099,559 shares outstanding at September 30, 2009	429	550	
Class B common stock; \$.01 par value; 30,000,000 shares authorized; 12,400,000 shares issued and 12,029,375 shares			
outstanding at December 31, 2008 and September 30, 2009	121	121	
Paid-in capital	537,022	662,895	
Accumulated deficit	(66,900)	(49,430)	
Accumulated other comprehensive income	(36,635)	(26,907)	
Treasury stock, at cost (14,859,416 Class A shares held at December 31, 2008 and 14,887,317 Class A shares held at September 30, 2009)	(236,514)	(236,575)	
Total Stockholders' Equity	197,523	350,654	
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	171,323	330,034	
rotal Elaumites and Stockholders Equity	\$ 2,405,545	\$ 2,004,768	

⁽¹⁾ Restated for the adoption effects of ASC 470, "Debt".

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

SONIC AUTOMOTIVE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (Dollars and shares in thousands) (Unaudited)

	Clas Commo Shares		Clas Commo Shares		Paid-In Accumulated Capital Deficit				Treasury Stock	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Total Stockholders' Equity	Compre- hensive Income
BALANCE AT												
DECEMBER 31, 2008	42,923	\$ 429	12,029	\$ 121	\$ 502,985	\$ (40,597)	\$ (236,514)	\$ (36,635)	\$ 189,789			
ASC 470 adoption					34,037	(26,303)			7,734			
BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31, 2008 (1)	42,923	429	12,029	121	537,022	(66,900)	(236,514)	(36,635)	197,523			
Shares awarded under stock compensation plans	104	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		
Purchases of treasury stock	_			_			(61)	_	(61)	_		
Income tax benefit associated with convertible note hedge	_	_	_	_	4,442	_	(01)	_	4,442	_		
Fair value of interest rate swap agreements, net of tax expense of \$5,859	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	9,728	9,728	9,728		
Issuance of Common Stock	11,699	117			105,642				105,759			
ASC 470 derecognition – 4.25% Convertible	11,099	117	_	_	ĺ	_	_	_	,	_		
Notes ASC 470 – 5.0%	_	_	_	_	(5,500)	_	_	_	(5,500)	_		
Convertible Notes	_	_	_	_	19,235	_	_	_	19,235	_		
Stock-based compensation expense	_	_	_	_	437	_	_	_	437	_		
Restricted stock amortization, net of												
forfeitures	_				1,620			_	1,620			
Net income	261	_	_	_	(2)	17,298		_	17,298	17,298		
Other	261	4			(3)	172			173			
BALANCE AT SEPTEMBER 30, 2009	54,987	\$ 550	12,029	\$ 121	\$ 662,895	\$ (49,430)	<u>\$ (236,575)</u>	\$ (26,907)	\$ 350,654	\$ 27,026		

⁽¹⁾ Restated for the adoption effects of ASC 470, "Debt".

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

SONIC AUTOMOTIVE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Dollars in thousands) (Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2008 (1)	2009	
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:	Φ (5.10.4)	A 17 200	
Net income	\$ (5,124)	\$ 17,298	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: Depreciation and amortization of property, plant and equipment	26,418	24,905	
Provision for bad debt expense	2,072	1,255	
Other amortization	966	1,233	
Debt issuance cost amortization	909	9,909	
Debt discount amortization, net of premium amortization	8,710	9,909	
Stock — based compensation expense	2,102	437	
Amortization of restricted stock	4,206	1,802	
Restricted stock forfeiture	(106)	(182)	
Deferred income taxes	(23,728)	(2,390)	
Equity interest in earnings of investees	(23,728)	(501)	
Asset impairment charges	41,721	9,116	
(Gain) Loss on disposal of franchises and property and equipment	2,579	(226)	
Gain on retirement of debt	2,379	(2,095)	
Loss on exit of leased dealerships	16.440	7,511	
Derivative liability – 6.0% Convertible Notes, fair value adjustments	10,440	(11,300)	
Changes in assets and liabilities that relate to operations:	_	(11,500)	
Receivables	130,612	68,535	
Inventories	54,156	383,205	
Other assets	2,325	(24,362)	
Notes payable — floor plan — trade	(77,668)	(92,597)	
Trade accounts payable and other liabilities	(27,964)	41,207	
Total adjustments	163,471	425,428	
Net cash provided by operating activities	158,347	442,726	
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Purchase of businesses, net of cash acquired	(22,929)	_	
Purchases of property and equipment	(122,312)	(36,048)	
Proceeds from sales of property and equipment	2,156	1,797	
Proceeds from sale of franchises	23,851	22,839	
Distributions from equity investees	600	300	
Net cash used in investing activities	(118,634)	(11,112	
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Net borrowings on notes payable floor plan — non-trade	(62,095)	(369,726)	
Borrowings on revolving credit facilities	703,132	534,585	
Repayments on revolving credit facilities	(703,961)	(584,854)	
Proceeds from long-term debt	56,913	167,039	
Payments on long-term debt	(7,807)	(162,948)	
Increase in restricted cash	(7,607)	(106,913	
Settlement of cash flow swaps		(16,454	
Purchases of treasury stock	(28,636)	(61)	
Income tax benefit associated with stock compensation plans	1,195	(01)	
Income tax benefit associated with stock compensation plans Income tax benefit associated with convertible hedge	1,625	4,442	
Issuance of shares under stock compensation plans	5,147		
Issuance of common stock	J,147	101,812	
Dividends paid	(14,399)	(4,893)	
Net cash provided used in financing activities	(48,886)	(437,971	
NET DECREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(9,173)	(6,357	
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF PERIOD	16,514	6,971	
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD	\$ 7,341	\$ 614	
CLIDDI EMENITAL SCHEDLILE OF NON CASH FINANCING ACTIVITIES.			
SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULE OF NON-CASH FINANCING ACTIVITIES: Change in fair value of each flow hadring instruments (not of tay (hopefit) / avenues of \$216 and \$5,850 for the nine month)			
Change in fair value of cash flow hedging instruments (net of tax (benefit) / expense of \$216 and \$5,859 for the nine month	n (2.52)	A 0.730	
period ended September 30, 2008 and 2009, respectively)	\$ (352)	\$ 9,728	
Issuance of shares related to debt refinance	\$ —	\$ 3,947	
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:			
Cash paid (received) during the year for:			
Interest, net of amount capitalized	\$ 87,590	\$ 90,116	
Income taxes	\$ 12,804	\$ (21,229	

⁽¹⁾ Restated for the adoption effects of ASC 470, "Debt".

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation — The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial information for the third quarter and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2008 and 2009 has been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements reflect, in the opinion of management, all material adjustments (which include only normal recurring adjustments) necessary to fairly state the financial position and the results of operations for the periods presented. The results for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the entire fiscal year. These interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements of Sonic for the year ended December 31, 2008, which were included in Sonic's Current Report on Form 8-K furnished to the SEC on August 21, 2009.

Recent Developments — On September 23, 2009, Sonic issued \$172.5 million in principal of 5.0% Convertible Senior Notes due 2029 (the "5.0% Convertible Notes") and 10,350,000 shares of Class A common stock. Net proceeds received from the issuance of the 5.0% Convertible Notes were \$167.0 million and net proceeds received from the issuance of 10,350,000 shares of Class A common stock were \$99.4 million. Proceeds from these issuances have been used to repurchase \$143.0 million of 4.25% Convertible Senior Subordinated Notes due 2015 (the "4.25% Convertible Notes"), plus accrued interest, and to repay approximately \$15.0 million of amounts outstanding on Sonic's 2006 Credit Facility (as defined herein) during the third quarter ended September 30, 2009. Subsequent to the end of the third quarter, on October 28, 2009, we used certain of the remaining proceeds to redeem the 6.0% Convertible Notes pursuant to a redemption notice issued to note holders in September 2009. The remaining proceeds will be used to repurchase the remaining \$17.0 million in principal balance of the 4.25% Convertible Notes outstanding and/or applied to further reduce Sonic's borrowings under its 2006 Credit Facility.

On June 1, 2009, General Motors Corp. and certain of its subsidiaries ("General Motors") filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection. On July 10, 2009, General Motors emerged from bankruptcy as the new General Motors Company, with the former General Motors Corp. henceforth known as Motors Liquidation Company. With the exception of the sale of the Hummer and the discontinuation of the Saturn brand discussed below, the new General Motors expects to continue its current brand portfolio going forward. However, Sonic is unable to predict what impact the discontinuation or sale of additional brands in the future will have on its operations. As of September 30, 2009, Sonic operated 33 General Motors franchises (under the Cadillac, Chevrolet, Hummer, Saab, Buick and Saturn nameplates) at 26 physical dealerships. Six of Sonic's General Motors dealerships, representing twelve franchises, including three Hummer franchises at multi-franchise dealerships, two Saab franchises at multi-franchise dealerships and one additional General Motors franchise at a multi-franchise dealership received letters stating that the franchise agreements between General Motors and Sonic will not be continued by General Motors on a long-term basis. General Motors has offered assistance with winding down the operations of these franchises in exchange for Sonic's execution of termination agreements. Sonic executed all of the termination agreements. Total assistance to be received from General Motors as of September 30, 2009 as certain conditions required for the payments to occur had not yet been satisfied. The termination agreements provide for the following:

- The termination of the franchise agreement no earlier than January 1, 2010 and no later than October 31, 2010;
- The assignment and assumption of the franchise agreement by the new General Motors Company;
- The payment of financial assistance to the franchisee in installments in connection with the orderly winding down of the franchise operations;
- The waiver of any other termination assistance of any kind that may have been required under the franchise agreement;
- The release of claims against Motors Liquidation Company or the new General Motors Company and their related parties;
- The continuation of franchise operations pursuant to the franchise agreement, as supplemented by the termination

agreement, through the effective date of termination of the franchise agreement, except that Sonic shall not be entitled to order any new vehicles from Motors Liquidation Company or the new General Motors Company; and

A restriction on Sonic's ability to transfer the franchise agreement to another party.

For the remaining General Motors franchises Sonic executed "continuation agreements" which require, among other things, that existing franchise agreements will expire no later than October 31, 2010. In consideration of the execution of the "continuation agreements" General Motors recommended to the bankruptcy court the continuation or assumption of Sonic's existing franchise agreements, as amended by the "continuation agreements". All of Sonic's franchises that executed "continuation agreements" were assumed by the post-bankruptcy new General Motors Company. Sonic expects its franchises which executed "continuation agreements" to be renewed after October 31, 2010 by the new General Motors Company.

With the exception of: (1) product liability indemnifications; (2) amounts owed to Sonic through incentive programs; (3) amounts currently owed to Sonic's franchises under their open accounts with General Motors and; (4) warranty claims occurring within 90 days prior to June 1, 2009, all amounts owed to Sonic from General Motors were extinguished as a result of the execution of the termination and continuation agreements. A motion was made by General Motors to the bankruptcy court and the motion was granted by the bankruptcy court allowing General Motors to pay the claims noted in (1) — (4) above. As a result, Sonic has received payments related to all pre-bankruptcy claims.

On June 2, 2009, General Motors announced that Chinese equipment manufacturer Sichuan Tengzhong Heavy Industrial Machinery Co. ("STHIMC") will buy its Hummer brand. On October 9, 2009, the two parties signed a definitive purchase agreement. As of September 30, 2009, Sonic operated three Hummer franchises at three dealership locations. It is uncertain whether STHIMC will continue supporting the Hummer brand or whether STHIMC's ownership of the Hummer brand will have a positive or negative impact on Sonic's Hummer franchises' operations.

On June 5, 2009, General Motors announced that Penske Automotive Group ("PAG") would buy its Saturn brand. However, on September 30, 2009 General Motors announced PAG will not purchase the Saturn brand and they plan to discontinue the Saturn brand. As of September 30, 2009, Sonic operated one Saturn franchise at one dealership location

Results of operations of the General Motors franchises that received termination letters or otherwise will be shut down are classified in continuing operations and will continue to be classified in continuing operations until the related business operations are wound down. Revenues associated with the General Motors franchises that received termination letters or otherwise will be shut down for the third quarter ended September 30, 2008 and 2009 were approximately \$44.2 million and \$31.1 million, respectively. Revenues associated with the General Motors franchises that received termination letters for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2008 and 2009 were approximately \$138.1 million and \$94.9 million, respectively.

As Sonic's operations at the affected franchises that will not be renewed or will be discontinued wind down, Sonic may be required to accelerate depreciation expenses and record impairment charges related to, but not limited to, lease obligations, fixed assets, franchise assets, accounts receivable and inventory.

On April 30, 2009, Chrysler LLC filed for bankruptcy protection and submitted a plan of reorganization. On June 10, 2009, Fiat SpA purchased a substantial portion of Chrysler's assets which include rights related to Sonic's franchise agreements. It is uncertain whether Fiat will continue supporting the Chrysler brand or whether Fiat's ownership of the Chrysler brand will have a positive or negative impact on Sonic's Chrysler franchises' operations. In conjunction with Chrysler's reorganization efforts in the second quarter of 2009, three franchise agreements associated with one of Sonic's dealership locations were terminated. The result of these franchise terminations was not material to Sonic's results of operations, balance sheet or cash flows for the third quarter ended September 30, 2009. As of September 30, 2009, Sonic owned six Chrysler franchises at two dealership locations.

Reclassifications — The statement of income for the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2008 reflects the reclassification of balances from continuing operations to discontinued operations from the prior year presentation for additional franchises sold and terminated or identified for sale subsequent to September 30, 2008. The statement of income for the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2008 also reflects the reclassification of balances from discontinued operations to continuing operations for franchises identified for sale as of September 30, 2008, but which Sonic has decided to retain and operate as of September 30, 2009.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements — Effective July 1, 2009, the Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") has become the sole source of authoritative U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("U.S. GAAP"). The ASC only affects the referencing of financial accounting standards and does not change or alter existing U.S. GAAP.

Sonic adopted the provisions of ASC 470, "Debt", as of January 1, 2009. ASC 470 applies to convertible debt instruments that, by their stated terms, may be settled in cash (or other assets) upon conversion, including partial cash settlement, unless the embedded conversion option is required to be separately accounted for as a derivative under ASC 815, "Derivatives and Hedging". ASC 470 requires that the issuer of a convertible debt instrument within its scope separately account for the liability and equity components in a manner that reflects the issuer's nonconvertible debt borrowing rate when interest cost is recognized in subsequent periods. The excess of the principal amount of the liability component over its initial fair value must be amortized to interest cost using the effective interest method.

The provisions of ASC 470 apply to Sonic's 4.25% Convertible Senior Subordinated Notes due 2015 (the "4.25% Convertible Notes"), 5.0% Convertible Senior Subordinated Notes due October 2029 (the "5.0% Convertible Notes") and 5.25% Senior Subordinated Convertible Notes due May 2009 (the "5.25% Convertible Notes"). In conjunction with the adoption of ASC 470, Sonic estimated the nonconvertible borrowing rates related to its 4.25% Convertible Notes and 5.25% Convertible Notes to be 8.0% and 10.0%, respectively. Accordingly, the fair value of the equity component of the 4.25% Convertible Notes was \$25.1 million (\$15.1 million, net of tax) at the date of issuance of the 4.25% Convertible Notes and the fair value of the equity component of the 5.25% Convertible Notes was \$31.6 million (\$19.0 million, net of tax) at the date of the issuance of the 5.25% Convertible Notes. ASC 470 requires retrospective treatment of its provisions to all periods presented. Therefore, previously reported balances (prior to January 1, 2009), have been adjusted to effectively record a debt discount equal to the fair value of the equity component, a deferred tax liability for the tax effect of the recorded debt discount and an increase to paid-in capital for the tax-effected fair value of the equity component as of the date of issuance of the underlying notes. Previously reported balances have also been adjusted to provide for the amortization of the debt discount through interest expense and the associated decrease in the deferred tax liability recorded through income tax expense.

As of December 31, 2008, the unamortized debt discount associated with ASC 470 related to the 4.25% Convertible Notes and the 5.25% Convertible Notes was \$10.8 million and \$2.1 million, respectively. As of September 30, 2009, the unamortized debt discount associated with ASC 470 related to the 4.25% Convertible Notes was \$0.6 million and the debt discount associated with the 5.25% Convertible Notes had been fully amortized as a result of the restructuring of the notes on May 7, 2009. The unamortized discount at September 30, 2009 will be amortized through interest expense into earnings over the remaining expected term of the convertible notes, which is through November 2010 for the 4.25% Convertible. A summary of the effect of applying these provisions on our prior and current period consolidated statements of income is as follows:

				ollars in thousar				(dollars in the Court of the Co	ter Ended	(dollars in the Nine Month Pe September	riod Ended
	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2008	2009	2008	2009
Increase in Interest Expense	(2,108)	(3,530)	(3,899)	(4,656)	(9,044)	(9,898)	(10,704)	(2,696)	(1,379)	(7,991)	(6,115)
Tax Benefit	843	1,412	1,560	1,862	3,617	3,959	4,282	1,078	552	3,196	2,446
Effect on Net Income	(1,265)	(2,118)	(2,339)	(2,794)	(5,427)	(5,939)	(6,422)	(1,618)	(827)	(4,795)	(3,669)

In June 2008, the FASB issued ASC 260, "Earnings Per Share", under which unvested share-based payment awards that contain rights to receive nonforfeitable dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities, and thus, should be included in the two-class method of computing earnings per share. This pronouncement is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 31, 2008 and interim periods within those years and requires that all prior period earnings per share disclosures be adjusted retroactively to apply the two-class method of computing earnings per share. The adoption of this standard resulted in no material changes in the prior period or the current year period presentation of earnings per share.

In March 2008, the FASB issued ASC 815, "Derivatives and Hedging", which changes the disclosure requirements for derivative instruments and hedging activities by requiring enhanced disclosures about how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under ASC 815, and how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's operating results, financial position and cash flows. This pronouncement is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. Sonic's adoption did not have a material impact on its consolidated operating results, financial position or cash flows.

In December 2007, the FASB issued ASC 805, "Business Combinations", which provides guidance regarding the allocation of purchase price in business combinations, measurement of assets acquired and liabilities assumed as well as other intangible assets acquired. Also in December 2007, the FASB issued ASC 810, "Consolidation", which provides accounting and reporting standards for a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary if certain conditions exist. These pronouncements are effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008. Early adoption is prohibited. Sonic's adoption of these standards did not materially impact its consolidated operating results, financial position and cash flows.

Sonic's adoption of the provisions of ASC 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures", on January 1, 2008, related to fair value measurements and related disclosures of financial assets and liabilities, did not have a material impact on its financial statements. Sonic's adoption of the provisions of this pronouncement related to nonfinancial assets and liabilities on January 1, 2009, affects, among other items, the valuation of goodwill, franchise assets and fixed assets when assessing for impairments and the valuation of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in business combinations.

Sonic adopted ASC 855, "Subsequent Events", in the second quarter of 2009. ASC 855 establishes the accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. It requires the disclosure of the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events and the basis for that date, that is, whether that date represents the date the financial statements were issued or were available to be issued. See Note 11 for the related disclosures. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on Sonic's financial statements.

Restricted Cash — As of September 30, 2009, we had approximately \$106.9 million of restricted cash available to extinguish existing debt. We used \$88.1 million to redeem the 6.0% Convertible Notes on October 28, 2009. The remaining \$18.8 million will either be used to repurchase the remaining 4.25% Convertible Notes and/or repay balances outstanding under our 2006 Credit Facility.

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities — Sonic utilizes derivative financial instruments to manage its capital structure and interest rate risk. The types of risks hedged are primarily related to the variability of cash flows caused by movements in LIBOR-based interest rates. Sonic documents its risk management strategy and assesses hedge effectiveness and the fair value of the derivatives at the inception and during the term of each hedge. Derivatives are reported at fair value on the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. The changes in fair value of the effective portion of the cash flow hedges are reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss.

At September 30, 2009, Sonic had interest rate swap agreements (the "Fixed Swaps") to effectively convert a portion of its LIBOR-based variable rate debt to a fixed rate. The fair value of these positions at September 30, 2009 was a liability of \$33.5 million and the effect is recorded, net of tax of \$12.3 million, in accumulated other comprehensive income in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (see Note 10). Under the terms of the Fixed Swaps, Sonic will receive and pay interest based on the following:

Notional	Pay Rate	Receive Rate (1)	Maturing Date
(in millions)	·		•
\$ 200.0	4.935%	one-month LIBOR	May 1, 2012
\$ 100.0	5.265%	one-month LIBOR	June 1, 2012
\$ 3.9	7.100%	one-month LIBOR	July 10, 2017
\$ 25.0	5.160%	one-month LIBOR	September 1, 2012
\$ 15.0	4.965%	one-month LIBOR	September 1, 2012
\$ 25.0	4.885%	one-month LIBOR	October 1, 2012
\$ 12.1	4.655%	one-month LIBOR	December 10, 2017
\$ 9.0	6.860%	one-month LIBOR	August 1, 2017
\$ 7.4	4.330%	one-month LIBOR	July 1, 2013

⁽¹⁾ One-month LIBOR was 0.246% at September 30, 2009.

Measurements of hedge effectiveness for the Fixed Swaps are based on comparisons between the gains or losses of the actual interest rate swaps and the gains or losses of hypothetical interest rate swaps, which have the exact same critical terms

of the defined hedged items. To the extent that the hedge becomes ineffective, amounts in accumulated other comprehensive loss are reclassified to interest expense in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income. During the third quarter and nine-month period ending September 30, 2009, certain cash flow swaps were ineffective due to temporary reductions in LIBOR-based debt balances, resulting in a charge of \$0.3 million to interest expense in the third quarter and nine-month period ending September 30, 2009.

All the Fixed Swaps, with the exception of one with a notional amount of \$9.0 million, have been designated and qualify as cash flow hedges and, as a result, changes in the fair value of these swaps have been recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income, net of related income taxes, in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity. The net interest payment amounts related to the Fixed Swaps reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income into results of operations for the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2008 were \$5.0 million and \$9.2 million, compared to \$6.6 million and \$19.5 million for the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, respectively. The amount expected to be reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income into earnings (through interest expense, other) in the next twelve months is approximately \$16.4 million.

The effect of the mark-to-market adjustment related to the \$9.0 million swap for which hedge accounting was not applied resulted in an increase in expense of \$0.3 million in the third quarter ended September 30, 2009 and a decrease in expense of \$1.8 million in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009. The mark-to-market effect on expense is included in selling general and administrative expenses in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income.

In conjunction with the May 7, 2009 debt restructuring, Sonic was required to record a derivative liability associated with embedded features in its 6.0% Convertible Notes. These embedded features included a convertible feature and a series of puts. The derivative liability was originally recorded at fair value of \$11.3 million at inception; however as of September 30, 2009, the derivative liability had been extinguished as a result of Sonic's redemption notice delivered to holders of the 6.0% Convertible Notes, obligating Sonic to repay the 6.0% Convertible Notes at 100% of par during the fourth quarter of 2009. The change in fair value during the third quarter of \$11.4 million was recorded as a benefit to non-cash interest expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of income for the third quarter and nine-month period ending September 30, 2009

Operating Lease Accruals — Operating lease accruals relate to facilities Sonic has ceased using in its operations. The accruals represent the present value of the lease payments, net of estimated sublease proceeds, for the remaining life of the operating leases and other accruals necessary to satisfy the lease commitment to the landlord. A summary of the activity of these operating lease accruals consists of the following:

	(donars in
	thousands)
Balance, December 31, 2008	\$ 19,882
Lease exit expense	7,511
Payments	(3,759)
Balance, September 30, 2009	\$ 23,634

(dollars in

Income Tax Expense — The overall effective tax rates for the quarter and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2008 and 2009 are higher than statutory rates due to the effect of state income taxes.

2. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

Dispositions— The operating results of franchises held for sale are included in the loss from discontinued operations in Sonic's statements of income. Assets to be disposed of in connection with franchises held for sale but not yet sold have been classified in assets held for sale in Sonic's balance sheets along with other assets held for sale. The major components of assets held for sale consist of the following:

	(dollars in	thousands)
	December 31,	September 30,
	2008	2009
Inventories	\$ 207,308	\$ 68,543
Property and equipment, net	39,094	32,769
Goodwill	154,940	71,082
Franchise assets	5,234	3,734
Assets held for sale	\$ 406,576	\$ 176,128

Liabilities to be disposed in connection with these dispositions are comprised primarily of notes payable — floor plan and are classified as liabilities associated with assets held for sale on Sonic's balance sheets. Revenues and other activities associated with franchises classified as discontinued operations were as follows:

	(dollars in thousands)								
	7	Third Quarter En	ded Septen	iber 30,	Nine Months Ended September 30,				
		2008		2009		2008		2009	
Income from operations	\$	528	\$	1,707	\$	543	\$	1,593	
Loss on disposal of franchises		(137)		(103)		(3,080)		(572)	
Lease exit charges		(10,927)		(5,171)		(12,444)		(6,751)	
Property impairment charges		(4,842)		(74)		(6,897)		(2,271)	
Goodwill impairment charges		_		(207)		_		(2,680)	
Franchise agreement and other impairments		(2,500)		_		(6,800)		_	
Favorable lease asset impairment charges						(1,903)		<u> </u>	
Pre-tax loss	\$	(17,878)	\$	(3,848)	\$	(30,581)	\$	(10,681)	
Total Revenues	\$	244,947	\$	183,153	\$	845,569	\$	544,357	

Lease exit charges recorded for the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2008 and 2009 relate to the revision of estimates and establishment of lease exit accruals. The lease exit accruals are calculated by either discounting the remaining lease payments, net of estimated sublease proceeds or estimating the amount necessary to satisfy the lease commitment to the landlord. For a discussion of property impairment charges, see Note 4 and for a discussion of goodwill impairment charges and favorable lease asset impairment charges see Note 5.

Sonic allocates corporate level interest expense to discontinued operations based on the net assets of the discontinued operations group. Interest allocated to discontinued operations for the third quarter ended September 30, 2008 and 2009 was \$1.5 million and \$1.8 million, respectively. Interest allocated to discontinued operations for the ninemonth period ended September 30, 2008 and 2009 was \$4.8 million and \$8.1 million, respectively.

3. Inventories

Inventories consist of the following:

	(dollars in t	housands)
	December 31,	September 30,
	2008	2009
New vehicles	\$ 910,462	\$ 457,882
Used vehicles	87,895	161,710
Parts and accessories	57,057	52,104
Other	68,731	54,322
	\$ 1,124,145	\$ 726,018
Less inventories classified as assets held for sale	(207,308)	(68,543)
Inventories	\$ 916,837	\$ 657,475

4. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consists of the following:

	(dollars in	thousands)
	December 31, 2008	September 30, 2009
Land	\$ 63,153	\$ 62,162
Building and improvements	308,530	318,608
Office equipment and fixtures	68,054	75,107
Parts and service equipment	54,577	55,063
Company vehicles	8,700	8,358
Construction in progress	30,989	37,977
Total, at cost	534,003	557,275
Less accumulated depreciation	(125,017)	(146,336)
Subtotal	408,986	410,939
Less assets held for sale	(39,094)	(32,769)
Property and equipment, net	\$ 369,892	\$ 378,170

Property and equipment impairment charges of approximately \$0.4 million and \$4.3 million were recorded in the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009. In the third quarter ended September 30, 2009, \$0.1 million was included in discontinued operations and \$0.3 million was included within impairment charges in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income. Of the \$4.3 million recorded in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, \$2.3 million was included in discontinued operations and \$2.0 million was included within impairment charges in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income.

Property and equipment impairment charges of approximately \$18.4 million and \$20.8 million were recorded in the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2008. In the third quarter ended September 30, 2008, \$4.8 million was included in discontinued operations and \$13.6 million was included in continuing operations in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income. Of the \$20.8 million recorded in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2008, \$6.9 million was included in discontinued operations and \$13.9 million was included within impairment charges in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income.

The impairment charges recorded in discontinued operations in all periods discussed above were recorded based on the estimated fair value of the property and equipment to be sold in connection with the disposal of associated franchises and recorded values. The impairment charges (significantly related to dealership franchises to be discontinued in future periods based on notifications from General Motors) recorded in continuing operations during the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009 were recorded based on Sonic's belief that the value of the impaired property and equipment would not be recovered through operations or through the ultimate sale of the assets. The impairment charges recorded in continuing operations during the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2008 were recorded based on Sonic's decision to abandon certain facility construction projects and Sonic's belief that the value of the impaired property and equipment would not be recovered through operations or through the ultimate sale of the assets.

5. Goodwill And Intangible Assets

	(dollars in the	nousands)
	Franchise	
	Agreements	Goodwill
Balance, December 31, 2008	\$ 64,701	\$ 327,007
Impairment of import dealerships	_	(1,751)
Impairment of domestic dealerships	(2,100)	(929)
Reductions from sales of franchises	(800)	(5,137)
Reclassification from assets held for sale, net	1,500	83,858
Balance, September 30, 2009	\$ 63,301	\$ 403,048

During the fourth quarter of 2008, Sonic recorded an estimated goodwill impairment charge of \$786.5 million in continuing operations in accordance with the provisions of ASC 350, "Intangibles — Goodwill and Other". An estimate was recorded because Sonic had not finalized the valuation of certain assets and liabilities that were necessary to complete its evaluation at December 31, 2008. During the first quarter ended March 31, 2009, Sonic completed the valuation of these certain assets and liabilities. Based on the completion of its evaluation of the goodwill impairment charge during the current year no adjustment to the estimate that was recorded at December 31, 2008 was required.

During the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, Sonic determined that a portion of the goodwill allocated to franchises held for sale was not recoverable based on estimated proceeds. Accordingly, Sonic recorded a goodwill impairment charge of \$0.2 million and \$2.7 million in discontinued operations for the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, respectively.

In the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, Sonic recorded franchise asset impairment charges of \$2.1 million within continuing operations. In the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2008, Sonic recorded franchise asset impairment charges of \$11.9 million within continuing operations. Sonic also recorded franchise asset impairment charges of \$2.5 million and \$3.8 million in the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2008, respectively, within discontinued operations. The impairment charges were recorded based on management's estimate that the recorded values would not be recoverable either through operating cash flows or through an eventual sale of the franchise. Additionally, the 2009 impairment charges recorded in continuing operations relate to dealership franchises to be discontinued in future periods based on notifications from General Motors.

At December 31, 2008, Sonic had \$17.6 million of definite life intangibles recorded relating to favorable lease agreements. After the effect of amortization of the definite life intangibles, the balance recorded at September 30, 2009 was \$16.4 million and was included in Other Intangible Assets, net, in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. In the nine-month period ended September 30, 2008, Sonic recorded an impairment charge of \$1.9 million within discontinued operations related to its definite life intangibles. The impairment charge was recorded based on management's estimate that sublease income from certain properties would not allow Sonic to recover the recorded value of favorable lease assets related to the properties.

6. Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt consists of the following:

		(dollars i	n thousand	s)
	De	cember 31,	Sej	otember 30,
		2008		2009
2006 Revolving Credit Sub-Facility (1)	\$	70,842	\$	20,573
Senior Subordinated Notes bearing interest at 8.625%		275,000		275,000
Senior Secured Second Lien Convertible Notes bearing interest at 6.0%		_		85,627
Convertible Senior Subordinated Notes bearing interest at 5.25%		105,250		_
Convertible Senior Notes bearing interest at 5.0%		_		172,500
Convertible Senior Subordinated Notes bearing interest at 4.25%		160,000		17,045
Notes payable to a finance company bearing interest from 9.52% to 10.52% (with a weighted average of 10.19%)		19,726		18,285
Mortgage notes to finance companies-fixed rate, bearing interest from 5.80% to 7.03%		80,622		78,990
Mortgage notes to finance companies-variable rate, bearing interest at 1.25 to 2.65 percentage points above one-month LIBOR		33,505		32,384
Total debt discount/premium/associated derivative liabilities (2)		(13,127)		(44,919)
Other		6,629		6,508
	\$	738,447	\$	661,993
Less current maturities (3)		(738,447)		(105,750)
Long-term debt	\$		\$	556,243

- (1) Interest rate on the revolving credit sub-facility was 2.00% above LIBOR at December 31, 2008 and 3.50% above LIBOR at September 30, 2009.
- (2) September 30, 2009 includes \$37.5 million associated with the 5.0% Convertible Notes.
- (3) At December 31, 2008, as a result of the uncertainty related to Sonic's compliance with the covenants under its 2006 Credit Facility for the fiscal year 2009, Sonic classified all its indebtedness as current in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets due to cross default provisions governing its other indebtedness. At September 30, 2009, current maturities include amounts outstanding related to the 2006 Revolving Credit Sub-Facility and the 6.0% Convertible Notes as a result of these obligations maturing or expected to be extinguished within one year of the balance sheet date.

As a result of the adoption of ASC 470, "Debt", (discussed in Note 1) debt discount was required to be recorded related to Sonic's 4.25% Convertible Notes and 5.25% Convertible Notes at the date of the issuance of the Notes. Accordingly, the balances in the table above include unamortized debt discount related to the adoption of ASC 470 of \$10.8 million and \$0.6 million related to Sonic's 4.25% Convertible Notes as of December 31, 2008 and September 30, 2009, respectively, and \$2.1 million related to Sonic's 5.25% Convertible Notes as of December 31, 2008. The debt discount associated with the 5.25% Convertible Notes was fully amortized as a result of the restructuring of the notes on May 7, 2009.

2006 Credit Facility

In connection with the amendment to the 2006 Credit Facility executed March 31, 2009, Sonic agreed to increase the interest rates for amounts outstanding and the quarterly commitment fees payable by it on the unused portion. Before April 1, 2009, the 2006 Credit Facility bore interest at a specified percentage above LIBOR according to a performance-based pricing grid determined by the Total Senior Secured Debt to EBITDA Ratio as of the last day of the immediately preceding fiscal quarter. The quarterly commitment fees were also determined according to a performance-based pricing grid determined by the Total Senior Secured Debt to EBITDA Ratio as of the last day of the immediately preceding fiscal quarter. On April 1, 2009, but before May 7, 2009, the 2006 Credit Facility bore interest as follows: 2.50% above LIBOR for amounts outstanding under the revolving credit sub-facility under the 2006 Credit Facility; and 2.00% above LIBOR for amounts outstanding under the new vehicle floor plan sub-facility under the 2006 Credit Facility. The quarterly commitment fee on and after April 1, 2009 will be 0.75% on the unused portion of the revolving credit sub-facility under the 2006 Credit Facility, 0.25% on the unused portion of the new vehicle floor plan sub-facility under the 2006 Credit Facility, under the 2006 Credit Facility, 0.25% on the unused portion of the used vehicle floor plan sub-facility under the 2006 Credit Facility, and 2.50% letter of credit fee.

There were also certain other concessions Sonic provided to the lenders under the 2006 Credit Facility in connection with the March 31, 2009 amendment, which include the following. Sonic agreed to limit its borrowing under the 2006 Credit Facility to ordinary course of business operating expenditures, and in any event, not for the repayment of certain indebtedness, including the 5.25% Convertible Notes, the 4.25% Convertible Notes and the 8.625% Notes. In addition, Sonic

was prohibited from making any acquisitions and Sonic agreed that net proceeds from certain asset sales until May 4, 2009 would be used to permanently reduce the amount available under the 2006 Revolving Credit Sub-Facility.

On May 4, 2009, Sonic executed an additional amendment to the 2006 Credit Facility. The amendment allowed for borrowings of \$15.0 million to be used in the restructuring of the 5.25% Convertible Notes and increased the interest rates related to outstanding borrowings under the Revolving Credit Sub-Facility to 3.50% above LIBOR, and increased the letter of credit fee to 3.50%. The interest rates for amounts outstanding under the new and used vehicle floor plan sub-facilities did not change from 1.75% above LIBOR and 2.00% above LIBOR, respectively. In addition the commitments under the 2006 Credit Facility were reduced to \$635.0 million related to new vehicle floor plan financing, \$100.0 million related to used vehicle floor plan financing and \$225.0 million related to general use revolving credit financing. The amendment included a change to the borrowing base which restricts the effect of twelve-month EBITDA on the borrowing base to 50% prior to September 30, 2009 and 25% beginning October 1, 2009.

The amendment executed on May 4, 2009 permanently extended the provision in the amendment executed March 31, 2009, requiring Sonic to apply 100% of the net cash proceeds received in conjunction with certain dispositions of assets to balances outstanding under the revolving credit sub-facility. However, under certain circumstances, if after giving pro forma effect to the disposition of assets, Sonic's borrowings under the revolving credit sub-facility do not exceed \$25.0 million and Sonic's borrowing availability is at least \$75.0 million, then Sonic is required to apply not less than 50% of the net cash proceeds received in conjunction with the disposition of the assets to balances outstanding under the revolving credit sub-facility. The remaining 50% is required to be used to pay down the 6.0% Convertible Notes as discussed below. The revolving commitment under the 2006 Credit Facility will be permanently reduced in the amount of such mandatory prepayments until the revolving commitment is reduced to \$185.0 million. As of September 30, 2009, the revolving commitment had been reduced to approximately \$216.0 million as a result of the application of proceeds from disposition of certain assets.

Sonic agreed to limit its borrowings to ordinary course of business operating expenses, and in any event, not the repayment of certain indebtedness, including the 4.25% Convertible Notes, the 6.0% Convertible Notes and the 8.625% Notes. The May 4, 2009 amendment restricts the payment by Sonic of cash interest with respect to the 6.0% Convertible Notes and debt to be issued in respect of Sonic's 4.25% Convertible Notes to a certain aggregate amount. This amendment also restricts the amount, including any refinancings, of the 4.25% Convertible Notes, the 8.625% Notes and the 5.25% Convertible Notes to the aggregate principal amount of such indebtedness existing as of the date of the May 4, 2009 amendment. The May 4, 2009 amendment also adjusted certain financial covenant ratios. The minimum required consolidated liquidity ratio was adjusted from 1.15 to 1.10 and the minimum required consolidated fixed charge coverage ratio was adjusted from 1.20 to 1.15.

5.0% Convertible Notes

On September 23, 2009, Sonic issued \$172.5 million in principal of its 5.0% Convertible Notes and 10,350,000 shares of Sonic's Class A common stock. Net proceeds received from the issuance of the 5.0% Convertible Notes were \$167.0 million. Proceeds from these issuances have been used to repurchase \$143.0 million of 4.25% Convertible Notes, plus accrued interest, and to repay approximately \$15.0 million of amounts outstanding on Sonic's 2006 Credit Facility during the third quarter ended September 30, 2009. Subsequent to the end of the third quarter, on October 28, 2009, Sonic used certain of the remaining proceeds to redeem the 6.0% Convertible Notes pursuant to a redemption notice issued to note holders in September 2009. The remaining proceeds will be used to repurchase the remaining \$17.0 million in principal balance of the 4.25% Convertible Notes outstanding and/or applied to further reduce Sonic's borrowings under its 2006 Credit Facility.

The 5.0% Convertible Notes bear interest at a rate of 5.0% per year, payable semiannually in arrears on April 1 and October 1 of each year, beginning on April 1, 2010. The 5.0% Convertible Notes mature on October 1, 2029. Sonic may redeem some or all of the 5.0% Convertible Notes for cash at any time subsequent to October 1, 2014 at a repurchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the Notes. Holders have the right to require Sonic to purchase the 5.0% Convertible Notes on each of October 1, 2014, October 1, 2019 and October 1, 2024 or in the event of a change in control for cash at a purchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes.

Holders of the 5.0% Convertible Notes may convert their notes at their option prior to the close of business on the business day immediately preceding July 1, 2029 only under the following circumstances: (1) during any fiscal quarter commencing after December 31, 2009, if the last reported sale price of the Class A common stock for at least 20 trading days (whether or not consecutive) during a period of 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the preceding

fiscal quarter is greater than or equal to 130% of the applicable conversion price on each applicable trading day; (2) during the five business day period after any 10 consecutive trading day period (the "measurement period") in which the trading price (as defined below) per \$1,000 principal amount of notes for each day of that measurement period was less than 98% of the product of the last reported sale price of our Class A common stock and the applicable conversion rate on each such day; (3) if we call any or all of the notes for redemption, at any time prior to the close of business on the third scheduled trading day prior to the redemption date; or (4) upon the occurrence of specified corporate events. On and after July 1, 2029 to (and including) the close of business on the third scheduled trading day immediately preceding the maturity date, holders may convert their notes at any time, regardless of the foregoing circumstances. The conversion rate is 74.7245 shares of Class A common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of notes, which is equivalent to a conversion price of approximately \$13.38 per share of Class A common stock.

In accordance with the provisions of ASC 470, "Debt", upon issuance of the 5.0% Convertible Notes in September 2009, Sonic recorded a debt discount of \$37.5 million, which included \$5.5 million of cash discount paid to the underwriters in the offering. The debt discount will be amortized through October 2014, the earliest scheduled redemption date. Sonic recorded a corresponding equity component related to the 5.0% Convertible Notes of \$32.0 million (\$19.2 million, net of taxes) at the date of the issuance of the Notes.

6.0% Convertible Notes

On May 7, 2009, Sonic paid the holders of its 5.25% Convertible Notes \$15.7 million in cash, issued \$85.6 million in aggregate principal of 6.0% Convertible Notes and issued 860,723 shares of its Class A common stock in private placements exempt from registration requirements in full satisfaction of its obligations under certain of the 5.25% Convertible Notes. The remaining 5.25% Convertible Notes were repaid in cash. Interest on the 6.0% Convertible Notes is payable semi-annually on May 1 and November 1 of each year, beginning November 1, 2009. The 6.0% Convertible Notes mature on May 15, 2012. The redemption of the 5.25% Convertible Notes with the issuance of the 6.0% Convertible Notes was accounted for as a debt modification and required the 6.0% Convertible Notes to be recorded at face value of \$85.6 million less a discount of \$11.3 million (net of \$74.3 million). A corresponding \$11.3 million derivative liability was also recorded which represents the fair value of the embedded derivatives (put and conversion features) contained in the 6.0% Convertible Notes.

During the third quarter of 2009, Sonic delivered a redemption notice to the holders of the 6.0% Convertible Notes obligating Sonic to repay the 6.0% Convertible Notes at 100% of par during the fourth quarter of 2009. As a result of Sonic's redemption notice delivered to all of the holders of the 6.0% Convertible Notes, the derivative liability associated with the 6.0% Convertible Notes has been extinguished. The change in fair value related to the extinguishment of the derivative liability resulted in a benefit to non-cash interest expense, convertible debt for the third quarter and the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, of \$11.4 million and \$11.3 million, respectively, in the accompanying consolidated statements of income. The 6.0% Convertible Notes were repurchased on October 28, 2009 and Sonic expects to record a loss on the repurchase of the 6.0% Convertible Notes of approximately \$7.9 million in the fourth quarter of 2009. This loss represents the write-off of the remaining unamortized discount at the redemption date.

4.25% Convertible Notes

In September 2009, Sonic repurchased \$143.0 million of principal of 4.25% Convertible Notes using proceeds from the issuance of the 5.0% Convertible Notes and shares of Class A common stock discussed above. The repurchase of the 4.25% Convertible Notes resulted in the recognition of a gain on debt extinguishment of \$2.1 million, as reported in other income in the accompanying consolidated statements of income for the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009.

Interest expense and amortization of debt discount are summarized in the table below:

		Third Quarter Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,		
(dollars in millions)	20	008		2009		2008		2009
Interest Expense, Other								
Revolver	\$	1.1	\$	0.5	\$	3.7	\$	1.7
8.625% Convertible Notes		6.2		5.9		18.0		18.0
6.0% Convertible Notes		_		1.3		_		2.1
5.25% Convertible Notes		1.8		_		5.6		2.1
4.25% Convertible Notes		1.9		1.8		5.8		5.6
Interest Rate Swaps		4.7		6.6		8.2		19.5
Mortgage Interest		1.0		1.5		2.6		4.3
Deferred Loan Cost — Revolver		0.3		1.9		0.7		4.1
Deferred Loan Cost — Other		0.1		0.5		0.2		5.5
Other (1)		(1.2)		(0.7)		(4.0)		(5.4)
Total Interest Expense, Other	\$	15.9	\$	19.3	\$	40.8	\$	57.5
Non-Cash Interest Expense, Convertible Debt								
6.0% Convertible Notes, Discount Amortization	\$	_	\$	2.0	\$	_	\$	3.4
6.0% Convertible Notes, Derivative Mark-to-Market		_		(11.4)		_		(11.3)
5.25% Convertible Notes, Discount Amortization		1.4		_		4.3		2.1
4.25% Convertible Notes, Discount Amortization		1.3		1.4		3.7		4.0
4.25% Convertible Notes, Deferred Loan Cost				0.2				0.2
Total Non-Cash Interest Expense, Convertible Debt	\$	2.7	\$	(7.8)	\$	8.0	\$	(1.6)

⁽¹⁾ Primarily consists of interest expense allocated to discontinued operations.

Sonic agreed under the 2006 Credit Facility not to pledge any assets to any third party (other than those explicitly allowed under the amended terms of the facility), including other lenders, subject to certain stated exceptions, including floor plan financing arrangements. In addition, the 2006 Credit Facility contains certain negative covenants, including covenants which could restrict or prohibit the payment of dividends, capital expenditures and material dispositions of assets as well as other customary covenants and default provisions. Financial covenants related to outstanding indebtedness and certain operating leases include required specified ratios of:

Covenant	Required	September 30, 2009 Actual
Consolidated liquidity ratio	≥ 1.10	1.37
Consolidated fixed charge coverage ratio	≥ 1.15	1.40
Consolidated total senior secured debt to EBITDA ratio	≦ 2.25	1.18
EBTDAR to rent ratio	≥ 1.50	1.61

Availability under the Revolving Credit Sub-Facility is subject to a borrowing base calculation and is reduced on a dollar for dollar basis by any outstanding letters of credit. The borrowing base was \$199.9 million, letters of credit outstanding were \$77.0 million and availability of additional borrowings was \$102.4 million at September 30, 2009. On October 1, 2009, in accordance with Amendment No. 5 to the Credit Agreement, the borrowing base calculation was adjusted to weight the EBITDA component 25% (adjusted from 50%), and as a result, the borrowing base was reduced to \$170.9 million and the availability of additional borrowings was \$73.3 million going into the fourth quarter of 2009.

None of the conversion features on the 6.0% Convertible Notes, the 5.25% Convertible Notes, the 5.0% Convertible Notes or the 4.25% Convertible Notes were satisfied during the third quarter and/or nine-month period ended September 30, 2009. Sonic was in compliance with all financial covenants under the above long-term debt and credit facilities as of September 30, 2009.

7. Stock-Based Compensation

Fair Value of Options Vested

Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value Per Option of Options Granted

Sonic currently has two active stock compensation plans, the Sonic Automotive, Inc. 2004 Stock Incentive Plan (the "2004 Plan") and the 2005 Formula Restricted Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors (the "2005 Formula Plan"), and three inactive stock compensation plans which only have grants outstanding, the Sonic Automotive, Inc. Formula Stock Option Plan for Independent Directors, the Sonic Automotive, Inc. 1997 Stock Option Plan and the First America Automotive, Inc. 1997 Stock Option Plan (collectively, the "Stock Plans"). See Sonic's Current Report on Form 8-K furnished to the SEC on August 21, 2009 for a more detailed description of the Stock Plans. A summary of the status of the stock options related to the Stock Plans is presented below:

Weighted Average

0.99

132

\$

	Options Outstanding			ed Average cise Price	Remaining Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value	
	(in thousands)				(in years)	(in	thousands)
Balance — December 31, 2008	3,339	\$ 7.80 - 37.50	\$	21.90	4.6	\$	_
Granted	1,398	\$ 1.81 - \$2.99		1.81			
Forfeited	(602)	\$ 1.81 - \$37.50		19.32			
Balance — September 30, 2009	4,135	\$ 1.81 - \$37.50	\$	15.49	6.0	\$	12,558
Exercisable	2,711	\$ 7.80 - \$37.50	\$	22.33	4.2	\$	492
(dollars in thousands, except per option amounts)						Nine Mont September	

The weighted average fair value of options granted in the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009 was estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions:

	2009
Stock Option Plans	
Dividend yield	0.00%
Risk free interest rate	1.67 - 1.87%
Expected life	5 years
Volatility	64.13%

Sonic used an expected term of five years for current year option grants based on observations of past grants and exercises. The historical exercise experience indicates that the expected term is at least three years (consistent with the three year graded vesting period attached to the majority of these options). Expected volatility was estimated using Sonic's stock price over the last five years.

Sonic recognized compensation expense related to stock options within selling, general and administrative expenses of \$0.3 million and \$0.1 million in the third quarter ended September 30, 2008 and 2009, respectively. Sonic recognized stock option expense of \$2.1 million and \$0.4 million in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2008 and 2009, respectively. Tax benefits recognized related to the compensation expenses were \$0.1 million for both the third quarter ended September 30, 2008 and 2009. Tax benefits recognized related to the compensation expenses were \$0.8 and \$0.2 million for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2008 and 2009, respectively. The total compensation cost related to unvested options not yet recognized at September 30, 2009 was \$1.2 million and is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.3 years.

A summary of the status of restricted stock and restricted stock unit grants related to the Stock Plans is presented below:

	Unvested Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Units	Grant	ted Average Date Fair Value
	(in thousands)		
Balance — December 31, 2008	348	\$	22.99
Granted	79		7.24
Forfeited	(10)		21.44
Vested	(104)		27.60
Balance — September 30, 2009	313	\$	17.45

In the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, 78,600 restricted shares of Class A common stock were awarded to Sonic's Board of Directors under the 2005 Formula Plan. Awards to the Board of Directors cliff-vest one year from the date of grant. Sonic recognized compensation expense related to restricted stock and restricted stock units of \$1.7 million and \$0.5 million in the third quarter ended September 30, 2008 and 2009, respectively. Sonic recognized expense of \$4.1 million and \$1.6 million in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2008 and 2009, respectively. Sonic recognized \$0.7 million and \$0.2 million of tax benefit related to the compensation expenses for the third quarter ended September 30, 2008 and 2009. Sonic recognized \$1.6 million and \$0.6 million of tax benefit related to the compensation expenses for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2008 and 2009, respectively. Total compensation cost related to unvested restricted stock and restricted stock units not yet recognized at September 30, 2009 was \$1.2 million, and is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 0.6 years.

8. Per Share Data And Stockholders' Equity

The calculation of diluted earnings per share considers the potential dilutive effect of Sonic's contingently convertible debt issuances and stock options to purchase shares of Class A common stock under the Stock Plans. Due to a net loss in the third quarter ended September 30, 2008, there was no dilutive impact of options, shares or warrants as their effect would be anti-dilutive on a loss per share basis. The following table illustrates the dilutive effect of such items on earnings per share for the third quarter ended September 30, 2009 and the nine-month period ended September 30, 2008 and 2009:

	For the Third Quarter Ended September 30, 2009						
		From Continuing From D		Loss scontinued rations	Net	Income	
	Shares	Amount	Per Common Share Amount (dollars in the	Amount usands except per sh	Per Common Share Amount are amounts)	Amount	Per Common Share Amount
Earnings (Loss) and Shares	42,305	\$ 18,480	(44-14-4-14-4-14-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4	\$ (2,886)		\$ 15,594	
Effect of Participating Securities:							
Unvested Restricted Stock and Stock Units		(136)				(136)	
Basic Earnings (Loss) Per Share	42,305	\$ 18,344	\$ 0.43	\$ (2,886)	\$ (0.06)	\$ 15,458	\$ 0.37
Effect of Dilutive Securities:							
Contingently Convertible							
Debt (2009 6.0% Convertibles)	19,004	(4,223)		(693)		(4,916)	
Contingently Convertible							
Debt (2009 5.0% Convertibles)	981	_		_		_	
Stock Plans	905						
Diluted Earnings (Loss) Per Share	63,195	\$ 14,121	\$ 0.22	\$ (3,579)	<u>\$ (0.05)</u>	\$ 10,542	\$ 0.17

	For Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008							
		From C	come ontinuing rations	From D	Loss iscontinued rations	Net l	Income	
	Shares	Amount	Per Common Share Amount (amounts in the	Amount ousands except per s	Per Common Share Amount hare amounts)	Amount	Per Common Share Amount	
Earnings (Loss) and Shares	40,447	\$ 16,283		\$ (21,407)		\$ (5,124)		
Effect of Participating Securities:								
Unvested Restricted Stock and Stock Units		(230)				(230)		
Basic Earnings (Loss) Per Share	40,447	\$ 16,053	\$ 0.40	\$ (21,407)	\$ (0.53)	\$ (5,354)	\$ (0.13)	
Effect of Dilutive Securities:								
Stock Plans	179							
Diluted Earnings (Loss) Per Share	40,626	\$ 16,053	\$ 0.40	<u>\$ (21,407)</u>	\$ (0.53)	\$ (5,354)	\$ (0.13)	

	For Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009									
		From C	come Continuing rations	From D	Loss iscontinued erations	Net	Income			
	Shares	Amount	Per Common Share Amount	Amount ousands except per s	Per Common Share Amount	Amount	Per Common Share Amount			
Earnings (Loss) and Shares	41,130	\$ 25,309	(amounts in the	\$ (8,011)	mare amounts)	\$ 17,298				
Effect of Participating Securities:	,	, ,,,,,,,		, (-)-		, ,, , ,				
Unvested Restricted Stock and Stock Units	_	(191)		_		(191)				
Basic Earnings (Loss) Per Share	41,130	\$ 25,118	\$ 0.61	\$ (8,011)	\$ (0.19)	\$ 17,107	\$ 0.42			
Effect of Dilutive Securities:) í	· ´					
Contingently Convertible										
Debt (2009 6.0% Convertibles)	10,473	(3,088)		(501)		(3,589)				
Contingently Convertible										
Debt (2009 5.0% Convertibles)	331	_		_		_				
Stock Plans	595									
Diluted Earnings (Loss) Per Share	52,529	\$ 22,030	\$ 0.42	\$ (8,512)	\$ (0.16)	\$ 13,518	\$ 0.26			

As discussed in Note 1, Sonic adopted ASC 260, "Earnings Per Share", on January 1, 2009. Sonic's unvested restricted stock and restricted stock units contain rights to receive unforfeitable dividends, and thus, are participating securities requiring the two-class method of computing earnings per common share.

In addition to the stock options included in the table above, options to purchase 3.1 million shares and 2.4 million shares of Class A common stock were outstanding at September 30, 2008 and 2009, respectively, but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the options were not dilutive. In addition, in the event the effect of potentially dilutive shares associated with our 5.25% Convertible Notes, 4.25% Convertible Notes, 6.0% Convertible Notes or 5.0% Convertible Notes were anti-dilutive, the effect of those shares have also been excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share.

9. Contingencies

Legal and Other Proceedings:

Sonic is a defendant in the matter of Galura, et al. v. Sonic Automotive, Inc., a private civil action filed in the Circuit Court of Hillsborough County, Florida. In this action, originally filed on December 30, 2002, the plaintiffs allege that Sonic and its Florida dealerships sold an antitheft protection product in a deceptive or otherwise illegal manner, and further sought representation on behalf of any customer of any of our Florida dealerships who purchased the antitheft protection product since December 30, 1998. The plaintiffs are seeking monetary damages and injunctive relief on behalf of this class of customers. In June 2005, the court granted the plaintiffs' motion for certification of the requested class of customers, but the court has made no finding to date regarding actual liability in this lawsuit. Sonic subsequently filed a notice of appeal of the court's class certification ruling with the Florida Court of Appeals. In April 2007, the Florida Court of Appeals affirmed a portion of the trial court's class certification. Sonic intends to continue its vigorous appeal and defense of this lawsuit and to assert available defenses. However, an adverse resolution of this lawsuit could result in the payment of significant costs and damages, which could have a material adverse effect on Sonic's future results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

Several private civil actions have been filed against Sonic Automotive, Inc. and several of its dealership subsidiaries that purport to represent classes of customers as potential plaintiffs and make allegations that certain products sold in the finance and insurance departments were done so in a deceptive or otherwise illegal manner. One of these private civil actions has been filed in South Carolina state court against Sonic Automotive, Inc. and 10 of Sonic's South Carolina subsidiaries. This group of plaintiffs' attorneys has filed another private civil class action lawsuit in state court in North Carolina seeking certification of a multi-state class of plaintiffs. The South Carolina state court action and the North Carolina state court action have since been consolidated into a single proceeding in private arbitration. On November 12, 2008, claimants in the consolidated arbitration filed a Motion for Class Certification as a national class action including all of the states in which Sonic operates dealerships, excluding California and Florida. Claimants are seeking monetary damages and injunctive relief on behalf of this class of customers. Sonic is aggressively opposing claimants' Motion for Class Certification, and intends to continue its vigorous defense of this arbitration. However, an adverse resolution of this arbitration could result in the payment of significant costs and damages, which could have a material adverse effect on Sonic's future results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

Sonic is involved, and expects to continue to be involved, in numerous legal and administrative proceedings arising out of the conduct of its business, including regulatory investigations and private civil actions brought by plaintiffs purporting to represent a potential class or for which a class has been certified. Although Sonic vigorously defends itself in all legal and administrative proceedings, the outcomes of pending and future proceedings arising out of the conduct of Sonic's business, including litigation with customers, employment related lawsuits, contractual disputes, class actions, purported class actions and actions brought by governmental authorities, cannot be predicted with certainty. An unfavorable resolution of one or more of these matters could have a material adverse effect on Sonic's business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows or prospects. Included in other accrued liabilities at December 31, 2008 and September 30, 2009 were \$9.0 million and \$8.7 million, respectively, in reserves that Sonic has provided for pending proceedings.

Guarantees and Indemnifications:

In accordance with the terms of agreements entered into for the sale of Sonic's franchises, Sonic generally agrees to indemnify the buyer from certain exposure and costs arising subsequent to the date of sale, including environmental exposure and exposure resulting from the breach of representations or warranties made in accordance with the agreement. While Sonic's exposure with respect to environmental remediation and repairs is difficult to quantify, Sonic estimates that the maximum exposure associated with these general indemnifications if the counterparties failed to perform under their contractual obligations was approximately \$15.9 million and \$16.2 million at December 31, 2008 and September 30, 2009, respectively. These indemnifications generally expire within a period of one to three years following the date of sale. The estimated fair value of these indemnifications was not material.

10. Fair Value Measurements

In determining fair value, Sonic uses various valuation approaches including market, income and/or cost approaches. ASC 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures", establishes a hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that the most observable inputs be used when available. Observable inputs are inputs that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of Sonic. Unobservable inputs are inputs that reflect

Sonic's assumptions about the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on the best information available in the circumstances. The hierarchy is broken down into three levels based on the reliability of inputs as follows:

- Level 1 Valuations based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that Sonic has the ability to access. Assets utilizing Level 1 inputs include marketable securities that are actively traded.
- Level 2 Valuations based on quoted prices in markets that are not active or for which all significant inputs are observable, either directly or indirectly. Assets and liabilities utilizing Level 2 inputs include fair value and cash flow swap instruments.
- Level 3 Valuations based on inputs that are unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement. Asset and liability measurements utilizing Level 3 inputs include those used in estimating fair value of non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities in purchase acquisitions, those used in assessing impairment under ASC 360, "Property, Plant and Equipment", and those used in the reporting unit valuation in the first step of the annual goodwill impairment evaluation. For instance, certain assets held for sale in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets are valued based on estimated proceeds to be received in connection with the disposal of those assets.

The availability of observable inputs can vary and is affected by a wide variety of factors. To the extent that valuation is based on models or inputs that are less observable or unobservable in the market, the determination of fair value requires more judgment. Accordingly, the degree of judgment required by Sonic in determining fair value is greatest for instruments categorized in Level 3. In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, for disclosure purposes, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement is disclosed is determined based on the lowest level input (Level 3 being the lowest level) that is significant to the fair value measurement.

Fair value is a market-based measure considered from the perspective of a market participant who holds the asset or owes the liability rather than an entity-specific measure. Therefore, even when market assumptions are not readily available, Sonic's own assumptions are set to reflect those that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability at the measurement date. Sonic uses inputs that are current as of the measurement date, including during periods when the market may be abnormally high or abnormally low. Accordingly, fair value measurements can be volatile based on various factors that may or may not be within Sonic's control.

Assets or liabilities recorded at fair value in the accompanying balance sheet as of September 30, 2009 are as follows:

			Fair Value at Reporting Date Using:			
				Significant		
			Quoted Prices in	Other	Significant	
			Active Markets for	Observable	Unobservable	
			Identical Assets	Inputs	Inputs	
	(dollars in millions)	Total	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)	
Cash Flow Swaps (1)		(33.5)		(33.5)		
Total		<u>\$ (33.5)</u>	<u> </u>	<u>\$ (33.5)</u>	<u> </u>	

^{(1) —} Included net of taxes of \$12.3 million in accumulated other comprehensive income in the accompanying balance sheet

A reconciliation of the fair value of Level 3 items from December 31, 2008 to September 30, 2009 is as follows:

The valuation of the 6.0% Convertible Notes was estimated primarily based on Level 3 inputs. The overall valuation of the 6.0% Convertible Notes includes the value of the associated derivative that contains the conversion feature and various puts. These Level 3 inputs included management estimates of the probability that the conversion feature and/or the puts would be exercised and observed yields on debt instruments with similar credit ratings and maturity.

During the first quarter ended March 31, 2009, Sonic settled its \$100 million notional, pay 5.002% and \$100 million notional, pay 5.319% swaps with a payment to the counterparty of \$16.5 million. This settlement loss was deferred and will be amortized into earnings over the swaps' initial remaining term.

As of December 31, 2008 and September 30, 2009, the fair values of Sonic's financial instruments including receivables, notes receivable from finance contracts, notes payable-floor plan, trade accounts payable, payables for acquisitions and long-term debt, excluding Sonic's 8.625% Notes, 6.0% Convertible Notes, 5.25% Convertible Notes, 5.0% Convertible Notes, 4.25% Convertible Notes, mortgage notes and certain notes payable to a finance company, approximate their carrying values due either to length of maturity or existence of variable interest rates that approximate prevailing market rates.

The fair value and carrying value of Sonic's fixed rate long-term debt was as follows:

⁽¹⁾ Embedded derivatives related to the 6.0% Convertible Notes

⁽²⁾ Included in non-cash interest expense, convertible debt (see Note 6)

(dollars in thousands)

	(donars in thousands)							
	Decem	ber 31, 2008	Septem	ber 30, 2009				
	Fair Value	Carrying Value	Fair Value	Carrying Value				
8.625% Senior Subordinated Notes (1)	\$104,500	\$ 273,116	\$262,969	\$ 273,366				
6.0% Senior Secured Convertible Notes (2)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 85,627	\$ 77,688				
5.25% Convertible Senior Subordinated Notes (1) (3)	\$ 97,883	\$ 102,990	\$ —	\$ —				
5.0% Convertible Senior Notes (1) (3)	\$ —	\$ —	\$185,006	\$ 134,981				
4.25% Convertible Senior Subordinated Notes (1) (3)	\$ 53,600	\$ 147,538	\$ 18,317	\$ 16,310				
Mortgage Notes	\$ 80,530	\$ 80,622	\$ 78,900	\$ 78,990				
Notes Payable to a Finance Company (4)	\$ 18,629	\$ 22,946	\$ 18,372	\$ 20,946				

⁽¹⁾ As determined by market quotations.

11.Subsequent Events

See Note 1 which discusses the status of General Motors' bankruptcy proceedings and the impact on Sonic. On October 28, 2009 Sonic redeemed the 6.0% Convertible Notes at 100% par (See Note 6). Sonic has evaluated all subsequent events through October 30, 2009, the date the financial statements were issued.

⁽²⁾ As determined by expected near-term repayment amount.

⁽³⁾ Adjusted for effect of ASC 470, "Debt" (See Note 1).

⁽⁴⁾ As determined by discounted cash flows.

Item 2: Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion and analysis of the results of operations and financial condition should be read in conjunction with the Sonic Automotive, Inc. and Subsidiaries Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and the related notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this report, as well as the audited financial statements and related notes and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" appearing in our Current Report on Form 8-K furnished to the SEC on August 21, 2009.

Overview

We are one of the largest automotive retailers in the United States. As of September 30, 2009, we operated 153 dealership franchises, representing 31different brands of cars and light trucks, at 130 locations and 30 collision repair centers in 15 states. Our dealerships provide comprehensive services including sales of both new and used cars and light trucks, sales of replacement parts, performance of vehicle maintenance, manufacturer warranty repairs, paint and collision repair services, and arrangement of extended service contracts, financing, insurance and other aftermarket products for our customers.

Economic Conditions

Beginning near the end of the second quarter of 2008 and continuing into and throughout the third quarter of 2009, the automobile retailing industry was severely negatively affected by prevailing economic conditions. The uncertainty that exists related to the overall economy in the United States continues through the date of this report. As discussed in the paragraphs that follow, the demand for new and used vehicles has declined significantly and has negatively impacted our results of operations. Due to the turmoil in the financial services industry, the availability of credit has declined substantially for all consumers except those with high credit scores. Our business is somewhat cyclical in nature and is dependent on consumer confidence and the availability of consumer credit. Typical sources of financing, including captive finance companies associated with vehicle manufacturers, have reduced the amount of credit available. The lack of liquidity resulting from the financial services industry crisis has also negatively affected our ability to refinance our debt obligations due in 2010. We cannot predict when an economic recovery will begin and the timing of its impact on our business

The Car Allowance Rebate System ("CARS") government program was a \$3.0 billion government program intended to help consumers buy or lease more fuel-efficient vehicles. The program was designed to boost the economy and the auto industry and to place more fuel-efficient vehicles on the roads. During the program, which ran from July 27, 2009 to August 25, 2009, approximately 0.7 million vehicles were traded-in leading to the submission of approximately \$2.9 billion rebate applications. The most purchased brands for all participants under CARS program included Toyota, General Motors, Ford and Honda. These four brands made up 64.4% of the vehicles purchases under the program. The following heading, New Vehicles, contains a discussion of the impact the CARS program on our new vehicles volume for the third quarter ended September 30, 2009.

On June 1, 2009, General Motors Corp. and certain of its subsidiaries ("General Motors") filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection. On July 10, 2009, General Motors emerged from bankruptcy as the new General Motors Company, with the former General Motors Corp. henceforth known as Motors Liquidation Company. With the exception of the sale of the Hummer and the discontinuation of the Saturn brand discussed below, the new General Motors Company announced that it expects to continue its current brand portfolio going forward. However, we are unable to predict what impact the discontinuation or sale of additional brands in the future will have on our operations. As of September 30, 2009, we operated 33 General Motors franchises (under the Cadillac, Chevrolet, Hummer, Saab, Buick and Saturn nameplates) at 26 physical dealerships. Six of our General Motors dealerships, representing twelve franchises, including three Hummer franchises at multi-franchise dealerships and one additional General Motors franchise at a multi-franchise dealership received letters stating that the franchise agreements between General Motors and us will not be continued by General Motors on a long-term basis. General Motors has offered assistance with winding down the operations of these franchises in exchange for our execution of termination agreements. We executed all of the termination agreements. Total assistance to be received from General Motors totals \$3.3 million, of which \$0.7 million was received as of September 30, 2009. The remaining assistance has not been recorded as a receivable from General Motors as of September 30, 2009 as certain conditions required for the payments to occur had not yet been satisfied. The termination agreements provide for the following:

- The termination of the franchise agreement no earlier than January 1, 2010 and no later than October 31, 2010;
- The assignment and assumption of the franchise agreement by the new General Motors Company;
- The payment of financial assistance to the franchisee in installments in connection with the orderly winding down of the franchise operations;

- The waiver of any other termination assistance of any kind that may have been required under the franchise agreement;
- The release of claims against Motors Liquidation Company or the new General Motors Company and their related parties;
- The continuation of franchise operations pursuant to the franchise agreement, as supplemented by the termination agreement, through the effective date of
 termination of the franchise agreement, except that we shall not be entitled to order any new vehicles from Motors Liquidation Company or the new General
 Motors Company; and
- A restriction on our ability to transfer the franchise agreement to another party.

For the remaining General Motors franchises we executed "continuation agreements" which require, among other things, that existing franchise agreements will expire no later than October 31, 2010. In consideration of the execution of the "continuation agreements" General Motors recommended to the bankruptcy court the continuation or assumption of our existing franchise agreements, as amended by the "continuation agreements". All of our franchises that executed "continuation agreements" were assumed by the post-bankruptcy new General Motors Company. We expect our franchises which executed "continuation agreements" to be renewed after October 31, 2010 by the new General Motors Company.

With the exception of: (1) product liability indemnifications; (2) amounts owed to us through incentive programs; (3) amounts currently owed to our franchises under their open accounts with General Motors; and (4) warranty claims occurring within 90 days prior to June 1, 2009, all amounts owed to us from General Motors were extinguished as a result of the execution of the termination and continuation agreements. A motion was made by General Motors to the bankruptcy court and the motion was granted by the bankruptcy court allowing General Motors to pay the claims noted above. As a result, we have received payments related to all pre-bankruptcy claims.

On June 2, 2009, General Motors announced that Chinese equipment manufacturer Sichuan Tengzhong Heavy Industrial Machinery Co. ("STHIMC") will buy its Hummer brand. On October 9, 2009, the two parties signed a definitive purchase agreement. As of September 30, 2009, we operated three Hummer franchises at three dealership locations. It is uncertain whether STHIMC will continue supporting the Hummer brand or whether STHIMC's ownership of the Hummer brand will have a positive or negative impact on our Hummer franchises' operations.

On June 5, 2009, General Motors announced that Penske Automotive Group ("PAG") would buy its Saturn brand. However, on September 30, 2009 General Motors announced PAG will not purchase the Saturn brand and they plan to discontinue the Saturn brand. As of September 30, 2009, we operated one Saturn franchise at one dealership location.

As our operations at the affected franchises that will not be renewed or will be discontinued wind down, we may be required to accelerate depreciation expenses and record impairment charges related to, but not limited to, lease obligations, fixed assets, franchise assets, accounts receivable and inventory.

On April 30, 2009, Chrysler LLC filed for bankruptcy protection and submitted a plan of reorganization. On June 10, 2009, Fiat SpA purchased a substantial portion of Chrysler's assets which include rights related to our franchise agreements. It is uncertain whether Fiat will continue supporting the Chrysler brand or whether Fiat's ownership of the Chrysler brand will have a positive or negative impact on our Chrysler franchises' operations. In conjunction with Chrysler's reorganization efforts in the second quarter of 2009, three franchise agreements associated with one of our dealership locations were terminated. The result of these franchise terminations was not material to our results of operations, balance sheet or cash flows for the third quarter ended September 30, 2009. As of September 30, 2009, we owned six Chrysler franchises at two dealership locations.

The following is a detail of our new vehicle revenues by brand for the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2008 and 2009:

	Third Quarter Ended	Percentage of New Vehicle Revenue Third Quarter Ended September 30,		Vehicle Revenue September 30,
D 1(1)	2008	2009	2008	2009
Brand (1)				
BMW	21.1%	17.5%	19.6%	18.5%
Honda	13.2%	13.5%	13.0%	12.9%
Toyota	11.6%	13.2%	12.1%	12.3%
Ford	7.2%	8.9%	8.9%	10.0%
General Motors (2)	9.2%	7.6%	8.7%	7.9%
Mercedes	8.6%	7.2%	8.5%	7.2%
Lexus	6.6%	6.9%	6.4%	6.4%
Other (3)	3.8%	5.0%	3.6%	4.5%
Cadillac	5.3%	3.8%	5.5%	4.1%
Audi	1.7%	2.8%	1.7%	2.8%
Volkswagen	2.0%	2.3%	1.8%	2.4%
Hyundai	1.7%	2.4%	1.5%	2.2%
Land Rover	1.2%	2.0%	1.4%	1.9%
Volvo	1.2%	1.8%	1.6%	1.7%
Porsche	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%	1.6%
Other Luxury (4)	1.1%	1.1%	1.2%	1.2%
Acura	1.2%	0.7%	1.2%	0.8%
Nissan	0.7%	0.8%	0.7%	0.7%
Infiniti	0.6%	0.5%	0.6%	0.5%
Chrysler (5)	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.4%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

⁽¹⁾ In accordance with the provisions of ASC 205, "Presentation of Financial Statements", prior years' income statement data reflect reclassifications to exclude franchises sold, identified for sale, or terminated subsequent to September 30, 2008 which had not been previously included in discontinued operations. See Notes 1 and 2 to our accompanying unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements which discusses these and other factors that affect the comparability of the information for the periods presented.

⁽²⁾ Includes Buick, Chevrolet and Saturn.

⁽³⁾ Includes Isuzu, KIA, Mini, Mitsubishi and Subaru.

⁽⁴⁾ Includes Hummer, Jaguar, and Saab.

⁽⁵⁾ Includes Chrysler, Dodge and Jeep.

Results of Operations

Except where otherwise noted, the following discussions are on a same store basis.

New Vehicles

The automobile retail industry uses the Seasonally Adjusted Annual Rate (SAAR) to measure the amount of new vehicle unit sales activity within the United States market. The SAAR averages below reflect a blended average of all brands marketed or sold in the United States market. The SAAR includes brands we do not sell and markets in which we do not operate.

	SAAR (in millions of vehicles)			
	2008	2009	% Change	
Third Quarter Ended September 30,	13.0	11.5	(11.5%)	
Nine Months Ended September 30,	14.1	10.2	(27.7%)	

Our reported and same store new vehicle results are as follows:

	Third Quarter Er	ided September 30,	Better / (Worse)		
(in thousands except units and per unit data)	2008	2009	Change	% Change	
Reported:					
Revenue	\$ 942,471	\$ 825,367	\$(117,104)	(12.4%)	
Gross profit	\$ 63,986	\$ 60,251	\$ (3,735)	(5.8%)	
Unit sales	28,797	26,276	(2,521)	(8.8%)	
Revenue per Unit	\$ 32,728	\$ 31,411	\$ (1,317)	(4.0%)	
Gross profit per unit	\$ 2,222	\$ 2,293	\$ 71	3.2%	
Gross profit as a % of revenue	6.8%	7.3%	50 bps		
		ded September 30,	Better / (W		
(in thousands except units and per unit data)	2008	2009	Change	% Change	
Reported:					
Revenue	\$ 2,978,886	\$ 2,169,646	\$(809,240)	(27.2%)	
Gross profit	\$ 201,685	\$ 152,136	\$ (49,549)	(24.6%)	
Unit sales	91,977	67,798	(24,179)	(26.3%)	
Revenue per Unit	\$ 32,387	\$ 32,002	\$ (385)	(1.2%)	
Gross profit per unit	\$ 2,193	\$ 2,244	\$ 51	2.3%	
Gross profit as a % of revenue	6.8%	7.0%	20 bps		
		ided September 30,	Better / (W		
(in thousands except units and per unit data)	Third Quarter Er 2008	aded September 30, 2009	Better / (W	orse) % Change	
Same Store:	2008	2009	Change	% Change	
Same Store: Revenue	\$ 942,471	\$ 825,367	Change \$(117,104)	% Change (12.4%)	
Same Store: Revenue Gross profit	\$ 942,471 \$ 63,403	\$ 825,367 \$ 58,867	Change \$(117,104) \$ (4,536)	% Change (12.4%) (7.2%)	
Same Store: Revenue Gross profit Unit sales	\$ 942,471 \$ 63,403 28,797	\$ 825,367 \$ 58,867 26,276	\$(117,104) \$ (4,536) (2,521)	% Change (12.4%) (7.2%) (8.8%)	
Same Store: Revenue Gross profit Unit sales Revenue per unit	\$ 942,471 \$ 63,403 28,797 \$ 32,728	\$ 825,367 \$ 58,867 26,276 \$ 31,411	\$(117,104) \$ (4,536) (2,521) \$ (1,317)	% Change (12.4%) (7.2%) (8.8%) (4.0%)	
Same Store: Revenue Gross profit Unit sales Revenue per unit Gross profit per unit	\$ 942,471 \$ 63,403 28,797 \$ 32,728 \$ 2,202	\$ 825,367 \$ 58,867 26,276 \$ 31,411 \$ 2,240	Change \$(117,104) \$ (4,536) (2,521) \$ (1,317) \$ 38	% Change (12.4%) (7.2%) (8.8%)	
Same Store: Revenue Gross profit Unit sales Revenue per unit	\$ 942,471 \$ 63,403 28,797 \$ 32,728	\$ 825,367 \$ 58,867 26,276 \$ 31,411	\$(117,104) \$ (4,536) (2,521) \$ (1,317)	% Change (12.4%) (7.2%) (8.8%) (4.0%)	
Same Store: Revenue Gross profit Unit sales Revenue per unit Gross profit per unit	\$ 942,471 \$ 63,403 28,797 \$ 32,728 \$ 2,202 6.7%	\$ 825,367 \$ 58,867 26,276 \$ 31,411 \$ 2,240 7.1%	\$(117,104) \$ (4,536) (2,521) \$ (1,317) \$ 38 40 bps	% Change (12.4%) (7.2%) (8.8%) (4.0%) 1.7%	
Same Store: Revenue Gross profit Unit sales Revenue per unit Gross profit per unit Gross profit as a % of revenue	\$ 942,471 \$ 63,403 28,797 \$ 32,728 \$ 2,202 6.7% Nine Months En	\$ 825,367 \$ 58,867 26,276 \$ 31,411 \$ 2,240 7.1% ded September 30,	Change \$(117,104) \$ (4,536) (2,521) \$ (1,317) \$ 38 40 bps Better / (W	% Change (12.4%) (7.2%) (8.8%) (4.0%) 1.7%	
Same Store: Revenue Gross profit Unit sales Revenue per unit Gross profit per unit Gross profit as a % of revenue (in thousands except units and per unit data)	\$ 942,471 \$ 63,403 28,797 \$ 32,728 \$ 2,202 6.7%	\$ 825,367 \$ 58,867 26,276 \$ 31,411 \$ 2,240 7.1%	\$(117,104) \$ (4,536) (2,521) \$ (1,317) \$ 38 40 bps	% Change (12.4%) (7.2%) (8.8%) (4.0%) 1.7%	
Same Store: Revenue Gross profit Unit sales Revenue per unit Gross profit per unit Gross profit as a % of revenue (in thousands except units and per unit data) Same Store:	\$ 942,471 \$ 63,403 28,797 \$ 32,728 \$ 2,202 6.7% Nine Months En	\$ 825,367 \$ 58,867 26,276 \$ 31,411 \$ 2,240 7.1% ded September 30,	Change \$(117,104) \$ (4,536) (2,521) \$ (1,317) \$ 38 40 bps Better / (W	% Change (12.4%) (7.2%) (8.8%) (4.0%) 1.7% Gorse) % Change	
Same Store: Revenue Gross profit Unit sales Revenue per unit Gross profit per unit Gross profit as a % of revenue (in thousands except units and per unit data) Same Store: Revenue	\$ 942,471 \$ 63,403 28,797 \$ 32,728 \$ 2,202 6.7% Nine Months En 2008	\$ 825,367 \$ 58,867 26,276 \$ 31,411 \$ 2,240 7.1% ded September 30, 2009 \$ 2,166,449	Change \$(117,104) \$ (4,536)	% Change (12.4%) (7.2%) (8.8%) (4.0%) 1.7% Forse) % Change	
Same Store: Revenue Gross profit Unit sales Revenue per unit Gross profit per unit Gross profit as a % of revenue (in thousands except units and per unit data) Same Store: Revenue Gross profit	\$ 942,471 \$ 63,403 28,797 \$ 32,728 \$ 2,202 6.7% Nine Months En 2008	\$ 825,367 \$ 58,867 26,276 \$ 31,411 \$ 2,240 7.1% ded September 30, 2009 \$ 2,166,449 \$ 149,000	Change \$(117,104) \$ (4,536)	(12.4%) (7.2%) (8.8%) (4.0%) 1.7% Forse) (27.3%) (26.1%)	
Same Store: Revenue Gross profit Unit sales Revenue per unit Gross profit per unit Gross profit as a % of revenue (in thousands except units and per unit data) Same Store: Revenue Gross profit Unit sales	\$ 942,471 \$ 63,403 28,797 \$ 32,728 \$ 2,202 6.7% Nine Months En 2008 \$ 2,978,886 \$ 201,538 91,977	\$ 825,367 \$ 58,867 26,276 \$ 31,411 \$ 2,240 7.1% ded September 30, 2009 \$ 2,166,449 \$ 149,000 67,745	Change \$(117,104) \$ (4,536) (2,521) \$ (1,317) \$ 38 40 bps Better / (W Change \$(812,437) \$ (52,538) (24,232)	(12.4%) (7.2%) (8.8%) (4.0%) 1.7% (20.3%) (26.1%) (26.3%)	
Same Store: Revenue Gross profit Unit sales Revenue per unit Gross profit per unit Gross profit as a % of revenue (in thousands except units and per unit data) Same Store: Revenue Gross profit Unit sales Revenue per unit	\$ 942,471 \$ 63,403 28,797 \$ 32,728 \$ 2,202 6.7% Nine Months En 2008 \$ 2,978,886 \$ 201,538 91,977 \$ 32,387	\$ 825,367 \$ 58,867 26,276 \$ 31,411 \$ 2,240 7.1% ded September 30, 2009 \$ 2,166,449 \$ 149,000 67,745 \$ 31,979	Change \$(117,104) \$ (4,536) (2,521) \$ (1,317) \$ 38 40 bps Better / (W Change \$(812,437) \$ (52,538) (24,232) \$ (408)	(12.4%) (7.2%) (8.8%) (4.0%) 1.7% (27.3%) (26.1%) (26.3%) (1.3%)	
Same Store: Revenue Gross profit Unit sales Revenue per unit Gross profit per unit Gross profit as a % of revenue (in thousands except units and per unit data) Same Store: Revenue Gross profit Unit sales	\$ 942,471 \$ 63,403 28,797 \$ 32,728 \$ 2,202 6.7% Nine Months En 2008 \$ 2,978,886 \$ 201,538 91,977	\$ 825,367 \$ 58,867 26,276 \$ 31,411 \$ 2,240 7.1% ded September 30, 2009 \$ 2,166,449 \$ 149,000 67,745	Change \$(117,104) \$ (4,536) (2,521) \$ (1,317) \$ 38 40 bps Better / (W Change \$(812,437) \$ (52,538) (24,232)	(12.4%) (7.2%) (8.8%) (4.0%) 1.7% (20.3%) (26.1%) (26.3%)	

For the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, new vehicle revenues declined from the same period in the prior year due primarily to lower unit volume. The decline in new unit volume we experienced in the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009 was relatively consistent with the decline in SAAR for those periods. Our import and domestic stores experienced similar declines in new vehicle revenues for both the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009 as compared to the prior year.

During the third quarter ended September 30, 2009, Sonic sold 5,896 new vehicle units, or 22.4% of our total reported new vehicle volume, under the government's CARS program which ran in July and August 2009. The brands we own that benefited the most from the CARS program were Honda, Toyota, BMW and Nissan. Furthermore, our Northern California and Texas Mid-West regions had the highest volume of new vehicles sold under the CARS program.

New vehicle unit volume decreased at our import stores in the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009 by 6.0% and 24.2%, respectively, when compared to the prior year period. The decline in import new vehicle unit sales was led by our BMW, Mercedes and Lexus stores, which posted declines of 18.7%, 21.4% and 8.3%, respectively, during the third quarter ended September 30, 2009 when compared to the prior year period. For the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, the decline in import new vehicle unit sales was led by our BMW, Mercedes, Honda and Toyota stores, which posted declines of 26.7%, 33.7%, 25.8% and 27.4%, respectively. When compared to the national industry declines for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, BMW, Honda and Toyota tracked closely to the national industry decline while Mercedes underperformed its respective brand market.

New vehicle unit volume decreased at our domestic stores in the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009 by 16.6% and 31.8%, respectively. Our GM, excluding Cadillac, and Cadillac stores experienced declines of 29.6% and 44.7%, respectively, while our Ford stores experienced an increase in new vehicle unit sales of 10.4% in the third quarter ended September 30, 2009. The increase at our Ford stores is primarily due to the government's CARS program which ran in July and August 2009. The decline in the new vehicle unit volume at our GM, excluding Cadillac, and Cadillac stores was the result of a sharp decline in fleet unit volume during the third quarter ended September 30, 2009 which decreased 72.1% and 90.2%, respectively, as compared to the prior year period. During the third quarter ended September 30, 2009, our GM and Cadillac stores underperformed their brand markets while our Ford stores outperformed their brand market. During the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, our GM, excluding Cadillac, Cadillac and Ford stores experience declines in new vehicle unit sales of 39.7%, 49.8%, and 19.2%, respectively. The decline in the new vehicle unit volume at our GM, excluding Cadillac, and Cadillac stores was the result of a sharp decline in fleet unit volume during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009 which decreased 74.3% and 92.9%, respectively. Our Ford stores outperformed their respective brand market while our GM, excluding Cadillac, and Cadillac stores underperformed their respective brand market while our GM, excluding Cadillac, and Cadillac stores underperformed their respective brand market while our GM, excluding Cadillac, and Cadillac stores underperformed their respective brand market while our GM, excluding Cadillac, and Cadillac stores underperformed their respective brand markets in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009.

New vehicle unit volume declines were concentrated primarily within our Alabama/Tennessee, Dallas and California markets, which experienced declines in new vehicle unit sales of 10.4%, 17.3% and 7.9%, respectively, for the third quarter ended September 30, 2009. In the third quarter ended September 30, 2009, approximately 45.4% of our same store new vehicle unit volume was generated from our Alabama/Tennessee, Dallas and California markets. For the nine month period ended September 30, 2009, new vehicle unit volume declines were concentrated primarily in our Alabama/Tennessee, NC/SC/Georgia, Dallas, and California markets, which experienced declines in new vehicle unit sales of 26.1%, 28.2%, 36.3% and 26.5%, respectively. These regions comprised 53.2% our same store new vehicle unit volume in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009. We expect the new vehicle market to continue to be challenging at least through the end of the current year.

For the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009 new vehicle revenue per unit experienced a decrease of 4.0% and 1.3%, respectively. These decreases are due primarily to the change in our sales mix. Our new luxury unit volume as a percentage of total new vehicle unit volume decreased in the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009 by 310 basis points and 90 basis points, respectively, as compared to the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2008. We believe the decrease in new luxury unit volume is due primarily to a shift in consumer preferences as a result of the government's CARS program and overall economic conditions experienced in 2009.

Increases in same store new vehicle gross profit for the third quarter and nine-month ended September 30, 2009 compared to the same period in the prior year were primarily due to the mix of vehicles retailed, specifically the increase of import new vehicle units sold as a percentage of total new vehicle units sold, which increased by 380 basis points in the third quarter ended September 30, 2009 and 180 basis points in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009 as compared to the prior year period. The increase in import new vehicle units sold is primarily due to the government's CARS program which ran in July and August 2009.

Used Vehicles

Our reported and same store used vehicle results are as follows:

		Third Quarter En	ded September 30,	Better / (V	Vorse)
	(in thousands except units and per unit data)	2008	2009	Change	% Change
Reported:					
Revenue		\$ 308,158	\$ 365,501	\$57,343	18.6%
Gross profit		\$ 25,883	\$ 28,552	\$ 2,669	10.3%
Unit sales		15,444	19,360	3,916	25.4%
Revenue per I		\$ 19,953	\$ 18,879	\$ (1,074)	(5.4%)
Gross profit p	per unit	\$ 1,676	\$ 1,475	\$ (201)	(12.0%)
Gross profit a	as a % of revenue	8.4%	7.8%	(60)bps	
CPO revenue		\$ 164,935	\$ 172,357	\$ 7,422	4.5%
CPO unit sale	es	6,425	6,583	158	2.5%
		Nine Months En	ded September 30,	Better / (V	Vorse)
	(in thousands except units and per unit data)	2008	2009	Change	% Change
Reported:	(
Revenue		\$ 994,906	\$ 1,034,444	\$39,538	4.0%
Gross profit		\$ 88,197	\$ 85,981	\$ (2,216)	(2.5%)
Unit sales		49,617	55,062	5,445	11.0%
Revenue per l	Unit	\$ 20,052	\$ 18,787	\$ (1,265)	(6.3%)
Gross profit p	per unit	\$ 1,778	\$ 1,562	\$ (216)	(12.1%)
	as a % of revenue	8.9%	8.3%	(60)bps	
CPO revenue		\$ 517,855	\$ 528,665	\$10,810	2.1%
CPO unit sale	es	20,139	20,822	683	3.4%
		Third Quarter En		Better / (V	
g g	(in thousands except units and per unit data)	Third Quarter En	ded September 30, 2009	Better / (V Change	Vorse) % Change
Same Store:	(in thousands except units and per unit data)	2008	2009	Change	% Change
Revenue	(in thousands except units and per unit data)	\$ 308,158	\$ 365,501	Change \$57,343	% Change 18.6%
Revenue Gross profit	(in thousands except units and per unit data)	\$ 308,158 \$ 25,830	\$ 365,501 \$ 28,585	\$57,343 \$ 2,755	% Change 18.6% 10.7%
Revenue Gross profit Unit sales		\$ 308,158 \$ 25,830 15,444	\$ 365,501 \$ 28,585 19,360	\$57,343 \$ 2,755 3,916	% Change 18.6% 10.7% 25.4%
Revenue Gross profit Unit sales Revenue per u	unit	\$ 308,158 \$ 25,830 15,444 \$ 19,953	\$ 365,501 \$ 28,585 19,360 \$ 18,879	\$57,343 \$ 2,755 3,916 \$ (1,074)	% Change 18.6% 10.7% 25.4% (5.4%)
Revenue Gross profit Unit sales Revenue per u Gross profit p	unit per unit	\$ 308,158 \$ 25,830 15,444 \$ 19,953 \$ 1,673	\$ 365,501 \$ 28,585 19,360 \$ 18,879 \$ 1,477	\$57,343 \$ 2,755 3,916 \$ (1,074) (196)	% Change 18.6% 10.7% 25.4%
Revenue Gross profit Unit sales Revenue per u Gross profit p Gross profit a	unit per unit as a % of revenue	\$ 308,158 \$ 25,830 15,444 \$ 19,953 \$ 1,673 8.4%	2009 \$ 365,501 \$ 28,585 19,360 \$ 18,879 \$ 1,477 7.8%	\$57,343 \$ 2,755 3,916 \$ (1,074) (196) (60)bps	% Change 18.6% 10.7% 25.4% (5.4%) (11.7%)
Revenue Gross profit Unit sales Revenue per u Gross profit p Gross profit a CPO revenue	unit per unit as a % of revenue	\$ 308,158 \$ 25,830 15,444 \$ 19,953 \$ 1,673 8.4% \$ 164,935	\$ 365,501 \$ 28,585 19,360 \$ 18,879 \$ 1,477 7.8% \$ 172,357	\$57,343 \$ 2,755 3,916 \$ (1,074) (196) (60)bps \$ 7,422	% Change 18.6% 10.7% 25.4% (5.4%) (11.7%)
Revenue Gross profit Unit sales Revenue per u Gross profit p Gross profit a	unit per unit as a % of revenue	\$ 308,158 \$ 25,830 15,444 \$ 19,953 \$ 1,673 8.4%	2009 \$ 365,501 \$ 28,585 19,360 \$ 18,879 \$ 1,477 7.8%	\$57,343 \$ 2,755 3,916 \$ (1,074) (196) (60)bps	% Change 18.6% 10.7% 25.4% (5.4%) (11.7%)
Revenue Gross profit Unit sales Revenue per u Gross profit p Gross profit a CPO revenue	unit per unit as a % of revenue	\$ 308,158 \$ 25,830 \$ 15,444 \$ 19,953 \$ 1,673 \$ 8,4% \$ 164,935 \$ 6,425	\$ 365,501 \$ 28,585 19,360 \$ 18,879 \$ 1,477 7.8% \$ 172,357	\$57,343 \$ 2,755 3,916 \$ (1,074) (196) (60)bps \$ 7,422	% Change 18.6% 10.7% 25.4% (5.4%) (11.7%) 4.5% 2.5%
Revenue Gross profit Unit sales Revenue per u Gross profit p Gross profit a CPO revenue	unit per unit as a % of revenue	\$ 308,158 \$ 25,830 \$ 15,444 \$ 19,953 \$ 1,673 \$ 8,4% \$ 164,935 \$ 6,425	\$ 365,501 \$ 28,585 19,360 \$ 18,879 \$ 1,477 7.8% \$ 172,357 6,583	\$57,343 \$ 2,755 3,916 \$ (1,074) (196) (60)bps \$ 7,422 158	% Change 18.6% 10.7% 25.4% (5.4%) (11.7%) 4.5% 2.5%
Revenue Gross profit Unit sales Revenue per u Gross profit p Gross profit a CPO revenue	unit per unit as a % of revenue	\$ 308,158 \$ 25,830 \$ 15,444 \$ 19,953 \$ 1,673 \$ 4,4% \$ 164,935 \$ 6,425	2009 \$ 365,501 \$ 28,585 19,360 \$ 18,879 \$ 1,477 7.8% \$ 172,357 6,583 ded September 30,	Change \$57,343 \$ 2,755 3,916 \$ (1,074) (196) (60)bps \$ 7,422 158 Better / (V	% Change 18.6% 10.7% 25.4% (5.4%) (11.7%) 4.5% 2.5%
Revenue Gross profit Unit sales Revenue per t Gross profit p Gross profit a CPO revenue CPO unit sale	unit per unit as a % of revenue	\$ 308,158 \$ 25,830 15,444 \$ 19,953 \$ 1,673 8.4% \$ 164,935 6,425 Nine Months En	2009 \$ 365,501 \$ 28,585 19,360 \$ 18,879 \$ 1,477 7.8% \$ 172,357 6,583 ded September 30,	Change \$57,343 \$ 2,755 3,916 \$ (1,074) (196) (60)bps \$ 7,422 158 Better / (V	% Change 18.6% 10.7% 25.4% (5.4%) (11.7%) 4.5% 2.5%
Revenue Gross profit Unit sales Revenue per u Gross profit p Gross profit a CPO revenue CPO unit sale	unit per unit as a % of revenue	\$ 308,158 \$ 25,830 \$ 15,444 \$ 19,953 \$ 1,673 \$ 8.4% \$ 164,935 \$ 6,425 \$ Nine Months Engage	\$ 365,501 \$ 28,585 19,360 \$ 18,879 \$ 1,477 7.8% \$ 172,357 6,583 ded September 30, 2009	Change \$57,343 \$ 2,755 3,916 \$ (1,074) (196) (60)bps \$ 7,422 158 Better / (V	% Change 18.6% 10.7% 25.4% (5.4%) (11.7%) 4.5% 2.5% Vorse) % Change
Revenue Gross profit Unit sales Revenue per u Gross profit p Gross profit a CPO revenue CPO unit sale Same Store: Revenue	unit per unit as a % of revenue	\$ 308,158 \$ 25,830 15,444 \$ 19,953 \$ 1,673 8.4% \$ 164,935 6,425 Nine Months En- 2008	\$ 365,501 \$ 28,585 19,360 \$ 18,879 \$ 1,477 7.8% \$ 172,357 6,583 ded September 30, 2009	Change \$57,343 \$ 2,755 3,916 \$ (1,074) (196) (60)bps \$ 7,422 158 Better / (V	% Change 18.6% 10.7% 25.4% (5.4%) (11.7%) 4.5% 2.5% Vorse) % Change
Revenue Gross profit Unit sales Revenue per u Gross profit a CPO revenue CPO unit sale Same Store: Revenue Gross profit	unit per unit us a % of revenue es (in thousands except units and per unit data)	\$ 308,158 \$ 25,830 15,444 \$ 19,953 \$ 1,673 8.4% \$ 164,935 6,425 Nine Months En- 2008 \$ 994,906 \$ 87,310	\$ 365,501 \$ 28,585 \$ 19,360 \$ 18,879 \$ 1,477 \$ 7.8% \$ 172,357 \$ 6,583 ded September 30, \$ 2009 \$ 1,033,492 \$ 87,000	Change \$57,343 \$ 2,755 3,916 \$ (1,074) (196) (60)bps \$ 7,422 158 Better / (V Change \$38,586 \$ (310)	% Change 18.6% 10.7% 25.4% (5.4%) (11.7%) 4.5% 2.5% /orse) % Change 3.9% (0.4%)
Revenue Gross profit Unit sales Revenue per u Gross profit a CPO revenue CPO unit sale Same Store: Revenue Gross profit Unit sales	unit per unit us a % of revenue es (in thousands except units and per unit data)	\$ 308,158 \$ 25,830 15,444 \$ 19,953 \$ 1,673 8.4% \$ 164,935 6,425 Nine Months Ence 2008 \$ 994,906 \$ 87,310 49,617	\$ 365,501 \$ 28,585 19,360 \$ 18,879 \$ 1,477 7.8% \$ 172,357 6,583 ded September 30, 2009 \$ 1,033,492 \$ 87,000 55,017	Change \$57,343 \$ 2,755 3,916 \$ (1,074) (196) (60)bps \$ 7,422 158 Better / (V Change \$38,586 \$ (310) 5,400	% Change 18.6% 10.7% 25.4% (5.4%) (11.7%) 4.5% 2.5% Vorse) % Change 3.9% (0.4%) 10.9%
Revenue Gross profit Unit sales Revenue per u Gross profit p Gross profit a CPO revenue CPO unit sale Same Store: Revenue Gross profit Unit sales Revenue per u Gross profit p	unit per unit us a % of revenue es (in thousands except units and per unit data)	\$ 308,158 \$ 25,830 15,444 \$ 19,953 \$ 1,673 8,4% \$ 164,935 6,425 Nine Months Engagons 2008 \$ 994,906 \$ 87,310 49,617 \$ 20,052	\$ 365,501 \$ 28,585 19,360 \$ 18,879 \$ 1,477 7.8% \$ 172,357 6,583 ded September 30, 2009 \$ 1,033,492 \$ 87,000 55,017 \$ 18,785	Change \$57,343 \$ 2,755 3,916 \$ (1,074) (196) (60)bps \$ 7,422 158 Better / (V Change \$38,586 \$ (310) 5,400 \$ (1,267)	% Change 18.6% 10.7% 25.4% (5.4%) (11.7%) 4.5% 2.5% Vorse) % Change 3.9% (0.4%) 10.9% (6.3%)
Revenue Gross profit Unit sales Revenue per u Gross profit p Gross profit a CPO revenue CPO unit sale Same Store: Revenue Gross profit Unit sales Revenue per u Gross profit p	unit per unit us a % of revenue es (in thousands except units and per unit data) unit per unit us a % of revenue	\$ 308,158 \$ 25,830 15,444 \$ 19,953 \$ 1,673 8,4% \$ 164,935 6,425 Nine Months Engelow 2008 \$ 994,906 \$ 87,310 49,617 \$ 20,052 \$ 1,760	\$ 365,501 \$ 28,585 19,360 \$ 18,879 \$ 1,477 7.8% \$ 172,357 6,583 ded September 30, 2009 \$ 1,033,492 \$ 87,000 55,017 \$ 18,785 \$ 1,581	Change \$57,343 \$ 2,755 3,916 \$ (1,074)	% Change 18.6% 10.7% 25.4% (5.4%) (11.7%) 4.5% 2.5% Vorse) % Change 3.9% (0.4%) 10.9% (6.3%)
Revenue Gross profit Unit sales Revenue per u Gross profit a CPO revenue CPO unit sales Same Store: Revenue Gross profit Unit sales Revenue per u Gross profit p Gross profit a	unit per unit as a % of revenue es (in thousands except units and per unit data) unit per unit as a % of revenue	\$ 308,158 \$ 25,830 15,444 \$ 19,953 \$ 1,673 8,4% \$ 164,935 6,425 Nine Months Engagons 2008 \$ 994,906 \$ 87,310 49,617 \$ 20,052 \$ 1,760 8.8%	\$ 365,501 \$ 28,585 19,360 \$ 18,879 \$ 1,477 7.8% \$ 172,357 6,583 ded September 30, 2009 \$ 1,033,492 \$ 87,000 55,017 \$ 18,785 \$ 1,581 8.4%	Change \$57,343 \$ 2,755 3,916 \$ (1,074) (196) (60)bps \$ 7,422 158 Better / (V Change \$38,586 \$ (310) 5,400 \$ (1,267) (179) (40)bps	% Change 18.6% 10.7% 25.4% (5.4%) (11.7%) 4.5% 2.5% Vorse) % Change 3.9% (0.4%) 10.9% (6.3%) (10.2%)

Used vehicle unit volume increased for the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, as compared to the same period in the prior year, despite a significantly weaker economic environment. This increase is primarily due to the continued implementation of our standardized used vehicle merchandising process. This process allows us to price our used vehicles more competitively, market them more effectively and physically move certain used vehicles to specific dealerships within a particular

region that have shown success in retailing the specific type of used vehicle. We also continue to see improvements in our certified pre-owned (CPO) unit volume.

For the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009 gross profit per unit from used vehicles declined due to a shift toward purchasing more vehicles from auction rather than obtaining through trade, and our implementation of more competitive pricing of our used vehicles.

Wholesale Vehicles

Our wholesale vehicle reported and same store results are as follows:

	Third Quarter E	nded September 30,	Better / (Worse)		
(in thousands except units and per unit data)	2008	2009	Change	% Change	
Reported:					
Revenue	\$ 64,995	\$ 33,220	\$(31,775)	(48.9%)	
Gross profit	\$ (1,254)	\$ (1,783)	\$ (529)	(42.2%)	
Unit sales	8,636	6,612	(2,024)	(23.4%)	
Revenue per Unit	\$ 7,526	\$ 5,024	\$ (2,502)	(33.2%)	
Gross profit per unit	\$ (145)	\$ (270)	\$ (125)	(86.2%)	
Gross profit as a % of revenue	(1.9%)	(5.4%)	(350)bps		
	Nine Months End	led September 30.	Better / (W	orse)	
(in thousands except units and per unit data)	2008	2009	Change	% Change	
Reported:					
Revenue	\$ 216,378	\$ 97,478	\$(118,900)	(55.0%)	
Gross profit	\$ (4,039)	\$ (3,263)	\$ 776	19.2%	
Unit sales	27,559	17,414	(10,145)	(36.8%	
Revenue per Unit	\$ 7,851	\$ 5,598	\$ (2,253)	(28.7%	
Gross profit per unit	\$ (147)	\$ (187)	\$ (40)	(27.2%	
Gross profit as a % of revenue	(1.9%)	(3.3%)	(140)bps	(
1	(" " ")	()	(), F		
	Third Quarter E	nded September 30,	Better / (V	Vorse)	
(in thousands except units and per unit data)	2008	2009	Change	% Change	
Same Store:					
Revenue	\$ 64,995	\$ 33,220	\$(31,775)	(48.9%)	
Gross profit	\$ (1,254)	\$ (1,783)	\$ (529)	(42.2%	
Unit sales	8,636	6,612	(2,024)	(23.4%	
Revenue per unit	\$ 7,526	\$ 5,024	\$ (2,502)	(33.2%	
Gross profit per unit	\$ (145)	\$ (270)	\$ (125)	(86.2%	
Gross profit as a % of revenue	(1.9%)	(5.4%)	(350)bps		
	Nine Months End	ed September 30.	Better / (W	orse)	
(in thousands except units and per unit data)	2008	2009	Change	% Change	
Same Store:					
Revenue	\$ 216,378	\$ 97,460	\$(118,918)	(55.0%	
Gross profit	\$ (4,039)	\$ (3,264)	\$ 775	19.2%	
Unit sales	27,559	17,412	(10,147)	(36.8%	
Revenue per unit	\$ 7,851	\$ 5,597	\$ (2,254)	(28.7%	
Gross profit per unit	\$ (147)	\$ (187)	\$ (40)	(27.2%	
Gross profit as a % of revenue	(1.9%)	(3.3%)	(140)bps	(/ v	
1	(374)	(= .= . =)	(· / · I**		
	33				

Lower wholesale vehicle revenues during the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, as compared to the prior year periods, resulted from a decline in wholesale unit volume coupled with a decrease in average wholesale price per unit. The decrease in wholesale unit volume and wholesale price per unit can be primarily attributed to fewer vehicles received in trades for new and used vehicles due to declines in overall retail activity. In addition, fewer units were sold through wholesale channels as a result of our efforts to sell vehicles we historically would have wholesaled through our retail channel.

Wholesale vehicles gross loss increased slightly during the third quarter due to a slight decrease in market value of wholesale vehicles as consumers purchased more new vehicles under the government's CARS program, which ran in the months of July and August 2009. During the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, wholesale vehicle gross loss slightly decreased compared to the same periods in the prior year due to the higher market value of wholesale vehicles as consumer preferences have shifted from new retail vehicles to the purchase of pre-owned vehicles.

Parts, Service and Collision Repair ("Fixed Operations")

Our reported and same store Fixed Operations results are as follows:

Reported: Revenue Parts \$ 138,708 \$ 137,417 \$ (1,291) (0 Service 105,109 105,943 834 (1,038) (1,048) (1,048) (1,048) (1,048) (1,048) (1,048) (1,048) (1,048) (1,04) (1,048) (1,048)			Third Quarter Ended September 30,				Better / (Worse)		
Revenue Parts \$138,708 \$137,417 \$(1,291) 0 Service 105,109 105,943 834 0 Collision Repair \$256,867 \$255,372 \$(1,038) 0 Gross profit \$256,867 \$255,372 \$(1,045) 0 Parts \$47,647 \$47,955 \$308 \$308 Service 72,244 6,766 (478) 0 Collision Repair \$127,486 \$129,146 \$1,660 Gross profit as a % of revenue \$127,486 \$129,146 \$1,660 Parts \$34,4% 34.9% \$50bps Service 66.91% 70.3% \$120bps Collision Repair \$34,4% 34.9% \$50bps Total \$9,6% \$0.6% \$100bps No part of the par		(in thousands)	2008		2008 2009		Change	% Change	
Parts \$138,708 \$137,417 \$(1,291) (0 Service 105,109 105,943 834 (1,038) (1 Total \$256,867 \$255,372 \$(1,045) (0 Gross profit Parts \$47,647 \$47,955 \$308 Service 72,595 74,425 1,830 Collision Repair 7,244 6,766 (478) (0 Total \$127,486 \$129,146 \$1,660 (478) (0 Gross profit as a % of revenue 834,4% 34.9% \$50bps \$50bps \$100bps \$100bps </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>									
Service 105,109 105,943 38.4 Collision Repair 13,050 12,012 (1,038) (Total \$ 258,867 \$ 255,372 \$ (1,495) (Gross profit *** *** \$ (2,586) \$ 255,372 \$ (1,495) (Parts \$ 47,647 \$ 47,955 \$ 308 *** \$ 308 *** Service 72,595 74,425 1,830 (*** <									
Collision Repair 13,050 12,012 (1,038) (1 Total \$ 256,867 \$ 253,372 \$ (1,038) (1 Gross profit \$ 256,867 \$ 253,372 \$ (1,049) (1 Parts \$ 47,647 \$ 47,955 \$ 1,830 (1 Service 72,244 6,766 (478) (1 Collision Repair 34,4% \$ 129,146 \$ 1,660 Gross profit as a % of revenue Parts 34,4% 34,9% \$ 50bps Service 69,1½ 70,3% 120bps Collision Repair 49,6% 50.6% 100bps Total 49,6% 50.6% 100bps Total fulnousands) 80 pps 100mps 100mps Revenue Revenue Revenue Revenue Revenue Revenue Revenue Revenue Revenue <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>\$</td><td></td><td>\$</td><td></td><td></td><td>(0.9%)</td></t<>			\$		\$			(0.9%)	
Total \$ 256,867 \$ 255,372 \$ (1,495) (0 Gross profit \$ 47,647 \$ 47,955 \$ 308 Service 72,595 74,425 1,830 (0 Collision Repair 7,244 6,766 (478) (0 Total \$ 127,486 \$ 129,146 \$ 1,660 (1,660 (1,660 (1,660) (1,				,				0.8%	
Gross profit \$47,647 \$47,955 \$308 Service 72,595 74,425 1,830 Collision Repair 7,244 6,766 (478) (678) Total \$127,486 \$129,146 \$1,660 (878) Gross profit as a % of revenue \$127,486 \$129,146 \$1,660 (878) (878) \$120,188 \$129,146 \$1,660 (878) \$120,188 \$129,146 \$1,660 \$1,660 \$127,486 \$129,146 \$1,660 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 <td< td=""><td>Collision Repair</td><td></td><td></td><td>13,050</td><td></td><td>12,012</td><td>(1,038)</td><td>(8.0%)</td></td<>	Collision Repair			13,050		12,012	(1,038)	(8.0%)	
Parts \$ 47,647 \$ 47,955 \$ 308 Service 72,595 74,425 1,830 Collision Repair 72,244 6,766 (478) (€ Total \$ 127,486 \$ 129,146 \$ 1,660 Gross profit as a % of revenue Parts \$ 34,4% 34.9% \$ 50bps Service 69,1% 70,3% \$ 120bps Collision Repair \$ 55,5% \$ 56,3% 80bps Total 49,6% \$ 50,6% \$ 100bps Reported: Reverue \$ 100 months Ended September 30, Better / (Worse) \$ 100 months <	Total		\$	256,867	\$	255,372	\$ (1,495)	(0.6%)	
Service 72,595 74,425 1,830 Collision Repair 7,244 6,766 (478) (0 Total 127,486 129,146 \$1,600 (0 Gross profit as a % of revenue Parts 34,4% 34,9% 50bps 50bps 50cm 120bps 50cm 120bps 50cm 60cm 60cm 60cm 70.3% 120bps 70cm 80bps 80bps 70cm 80bps 80cm	Gross profit								
Collision Repair 7,244 6,766 (478) (C Total \$127,486 \$129,146 \$1,660	Parts		\$	47,647	\$	47,955	\$ 308	0.6%	
Total \$ 127,486 \$ 129,146 \$ 1,660 Gross profit as a % of revenue Parts 34,4% 34,9% 50bps Service 69,1% 70,3% 120bps Collision Repair 55,5% 56,3% 80bps Total 49,6% 50,6% 100bps Nine Months Ended Sept=mber 30, a 2009 Reture (Worse) Change Meter (Worse) Nine Months Ended Sept=mber 30, a 2009 Change % Change Revenue Revenue Revenue Parts \$ 424,132 \$ 416,960 \$ (7,172) € Service 322,174 313,436 (8,738) € Collision Repair 41,622 37,607 (4,015) € Total \$ 78,928 \$ 768,003 \$ (19,25) € Gross profit 222,740 220,716 2,024) € Service	Service			72,595		74,425	1,830	2.5%	
Gross profit as a % of revenue Parts 34.4% 34.9% 50bps Service 69.1% 70.3% 120bps Collision Repair 55.5% 56.3% 80bps Total 49.6% 50.6% 100bps Reported: Revenue Parts \$424,132 \$416,960 \$(7,172) €(6,960) Service 322,174 313,436 (8,738) €(7,172)	Collision Repair			7,244		6,766	(478)	(6.6%)	
Parts 34.4% 34.9% 50bps Service 69.1% 70.3% 120bps Collision Repair 55.5% 56.3% 80bps Total Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009 Better / (Worse) Reported: Revenue Parts \$ 424,132 \$ 416,960 \$ 7,172) (Service 322,174 313,436 (8,738) (Collision Repair 41,622 37,607 (4,015) (Total \$ 787,928 \$ 768,003 \$ (19,925) (Gross profit \$ 145,199 \$ 142,342 \$ (2,857) (Service 222,740 220,716 (2,024) (Collision Repair 23,211 21,152 (2,059) (Gross profit as a % of revenue \$ 391,150 \$ 384,210 \$ (6,940) (Gross profit as a % of revenue \$ 34,2% \$ 4,1% (10)bps Service 69,1% 70.4% 130bps	Total		\$	127,486	\$	129,146	\$ 1,660	1.3%	
Parts 34.4% 34.9% 50bps Service 69.1% 70.3% 120bps Collision Repair 55.5% 56.3% 80bps Total 49.6% 50.6% 100bps Reported: Revenue Parts \$ 424,132 \$ 416,960 \$ 7,172) (Service 322,174 313,436 (8,738) (Collision Repair 41,622 37,607 (4,015) (Gross profit \$ 787,928 \$ 768,003 \$ (19,925) (Gross profit \$ 145,199 \$ 142,342 \$ (2,857) (Service 222,740 220,716 (2,024) (Collision Repair 23,211 21,152 (2,059) (Total \$ 391,150 \$ 384,210 \$ (6,940) (Gross profit as a % of revenue \$ 34.2% \$ 41,69 \$ (10)bps \$ (6,940) (Parts \$ 34.2% \$ 34.1% (10)bps \$ (7,92)	Gross profit as a % of reven	ue							
Service Collision Repair 69.1% 55.5% 56.3% 56.3% 80bps 120 bps Total 49.6% 55.5% 56.3% 56.3% 80bps 80bps Total Nime Months Ended September 30, 2009 Change Months Better / (Worse) Reported: Revenue Parts \$424,132 \$416,960 \$(7,172) (4015) \$(7,172)				34.4%		34.9%	50bps		
Collision Repair 55.5% 56.3% 80bps Total 49.6% 50.6% 100bps Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009 Better / (Worse) Change % Change Reported: Revenue Parts \$ 424,132 \$ 416,960 \$ (7,172) (Collision Repair) (Collision Repair) 41,622 37,607 (4,015) (Collision Repair) (Collision Repair) 41,622 37,607 (4,015) (Collision Repair) (Collision Repair	Service			69.1%		70.3%			
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009 Better / (Worse) Change % Change % Change Reported:	Collision Repair			55.5%		56.3%			
Reported: Revenue Reported: Revenue Reported: Revenue Reported: Revenue Reported: Revenue Reve	Total			49.6%		50.6%	100bps		
Reported: Revenue Reported: Revenue Reported: Revenue Reported: Revenue Reported: Revenue Reported: Revenue Re							_		
Reported: Revenue \$424,132 \$416,960 \$ (7,172) (Service 322,174 313,436 (8,738) (Collision Repair 41,622 37,607 (4,015) (Total \$787,928 \$768,003 \$(19,925) (Gross profit \$145,199 \$142,342 \$(2,857) (Service 222,740 220,716 (2,024) (Collision Repair 23,211 21,152 (2,059) (Total \$391,150 \$384,210 \$(6,940) (Gross profit as a % of revenue \$34.2% 34.1% (10)bps Service 69.1% 70.4% 130bps Collision Repair 55.8% 56.2% 40bps Total 49.6% 50.0% 40bps		(in the	_		ed Septem				
Revenue \$ 424,132 \$ 416,960 \$ (7,172) (Service 322,174 313,436 (8,738) (Collision Repair 41,622 37,607 (4,015) (Total \$ 787,928 \$ 768,003 \$ (19,925) (Gross profit \$ 145,199 \$ 142,342 \$ (2,857) (Service 222,740 220,716 (2,024) (Collision Repair 23,211 21,152 (2,059) (Total \$ 391,150 \$ 384,210 \$ (6,940) (Gross profit as a % of revenue \$ 34.2% 34.1% (10)bps Service 69.1% 70.4% 130bps Collision Repair 55.8% 56.2% 40bps Total 49.6% 50.0% 40bps	Reported:	(in thousands)	_	2008	_	2009	Change	70 Change	
Parts \$ 424,132 \$ 416,960 \$ (7,172) (Service 322,174 313,436 (8,738) (Collision Repair 41,622 37,607 (4,015) (Total \$ 787,928 \$ 768,003 \$ (19,925) (Gross profit \$ 145,199 \$ 142,342 \$ (2,857) (Service 222,740 220,716 (2,024) (Collision Repair 23,211 21,152 (2,059) (Total \$ 391,150 \$ 384,210 \$ (6,940) (Gross profit as a % of revenue \$ 34.2% 34.1% (10)bps Service 69.1% 70.4% 130bps Collision Repair 55.8% 56.2% 40bps Total 49.6% 50.0% 40bps									
Service 322,174 313,436 (8,738) (Collision Repair 41,622 37,607 (4,015) (Total \$ 787,928 \$ 768,003 \$ (19,925) (Gross profit Parts \$ 145,199 \$ 142,342 \$ (2,857) (Service 222,740 220,716 (2,024) (Collision Repair 23,211 21,152 (2,059) (Total \$ 391,150 \$ 384,210 \$ (6,940) (Gross profit as a % of revenue Parts 34.2% 34.1% (10)bps Service 69.1% 70.4% 130bps Collision Repair 55.8% 56.2% 40bps Total 49.6% 50.0% 40bps			\$	424 132	S	416 960	\$ (7.172)	(1.7%)	
Collision Repair 41,622 37,607 (4,015) (7,015) Total \$ 787,928 \$ 768,003 \$ (19,925) (7,015) Gross profit \$ 145,199 \$ 142,342 \$ (2,857) (7,015) Service 222,740 220,716 (2,024) (7,015) Collision Repair 23,211 21,152 (2,059) (7,015) Total \$ 391,150 \$ 384,210 \$ (6,940) (7,015) Gross profit as a % of revenue Parts 34.2% 34.1% (10)bps Service 69.1% 70.4% 130bps Collision Repair 55.8% 56.2% 40bps Total 49.6% 50.0% 40bps			Ψ	,	Ψ			(2.7%)	
Total \$ 787,928 \$ 768,003 \$ (19,925) (20,924) (20,924)								(9.6%)	
Gross profit Parts \$ 145,199 \$ 142,342 \$ (2,857) (2,857) (2,857) (3,87) (4,87) (5,940) (6,940) (7,940) (8,940) (9,940) (1,940)	*		\$		S			(2.5%)	
Parts \$ 145,199 \$ 142,342 \$ (2,857) (0 Service 222,740 220,716 (2,024) (0 Collision Repair 23,211 21,152 (2,059) (0 Total \$ 391,150 \$ 384,210 \$ (6,940) (0 Gross profit as a % of revenue Parts 34.2% 34.1% (10)bps Service 69.1% 70.4% 130bps Collision Repair 55.8% 56.2% 40bps Total 49.6% 50.0% 40bps			<u> </u>	707,520	<u> </u>	, 00,002	\$ (15,520)	(2.0 / 0)	
Service 222,740 220,716 (2,024) (0 Collision Repair 23,211 21,152 (2,059) (0 Total \$ 391,150 \$ 384,210 \$ (6,940) (0 Gross profit as a % of revenue Parts 34.2% 34.1% (10)bps Service 69.1% 70.4% 130bps Collision Repair 55.8% 56.2% 40bps Total 49.6% 50.0% 40bps			¢	145 100	¢	142 242	¢ (2.957)	(2.0%)	
Collision Repair 23,211 21,152 (2,059) (1 Total \$ 391,150 \$ 384,210 \$ (6,940) (Gross profit as a % of revenue 8 \$ 34.2% \$ 34.1% \$ (10)bps Service 69.1% 70.4% \$ 130bps \$ (20) Collision Repair \$ 55.8% \$ 56.2% \$ 40bps \$ 400ps \$ (20) Collision Repair \$ 49.6% \$ 50.0% \$ 40bps \$ (20) Collision Repair \$ 50.0% \$ 400ps \$ (20) Collision Repair \$ (20) Collision Rep			φ		Ф			(0.9%)	
Total \$ 391,150 \$ 384,210 \$ (6,940) (Gross profit as a % of revenue 34.2% 34.1% (10)bps Parts 69.1% 70.4% 130bps Service 69.1% 70.4% 130bps Collision Repair 55.8% 56.2% 40bps Total 49.6% 50.0% 40bps				,				(8.9%)	
Gross profit as a % of revenue 34.2% 34.1% (10)bps Parts 69.1% 70.4% 130bps Service 69.1% 70.4% 130bps Collision Repair 55.8% 56.2% 40bps Total 49.6% 50.0% 40bps			2		2			(1.8%)	
Parts 34.2% 34.1% (10)bps Service 69.1% 70.4% 130bps Collision Repair 55.8% 56.2% 40bps Total 49.6% 50.0% 40bps			Ψ	371,130	Ψ	304,210	ψ (0,540)	(1.070)	
Service 69.1% 70.4% 130bps Collision Repair 55.8% 56.2% 40bps Total 49.6% 50.0% 40bps		uc		3/1/20%		3/1 10/2	(10)bpg		
Collision Repair 55.8% 56.2% 40bps Total 49.6% 50.0% 40bps									
Total 49.6% 50.0% 40bps									
•	•		_		_				
35	1 otai			49.0%		30.0%	40bps		
			35						

		T	hird Quarter End	ed Septen	nber 30,		Better / (Worse)
(in thousands)			2008		2009	(Change	% Change
Same Store:								
Revenue								
Parts	:	\$	139,056	\$	137,417	\$	(1,639)	(1.2%)
Service			105,109		105,943		834	0.8%
Collision Repair			13,050		12,012		(1,038)	(8.0%)
Total	<u>:</u>	\$	257,215	\$	255,372	\$	(1,843)	(0.7%)
Gross profit								
Parts	:	\$	47,995	\$	47,955	\$	(40)	(0.1%)
Service			72,595		74,425		1,830	2.5%
Collision Repair			7,243		6,766	_	(477)	(6.6%)
Total		\$	127,833	\$	129,146	\$	1,313	1.0%
Gross profit as a % of revenue			<u>.</u>					
Parts			34.5%		34.9%		40 bps	
Service			69.1%		70.3%		120 bps	
Collision Repair			55.5%		56.3%		80 bps	
Total			49.7%		50.6%		90 bps	
		N	ina Months Enda	d Santam	har 30		Battar / C	Worse
(in thousands)	-		ine Months Ende	d Septem			Better / (
(in thousands)			ine Months Ende	d Septem	ber 30, 2009	C	Better / (Worse) % Change
Same Store:				d Septem		C		
	_	2	2008	d Septem	2009		hange	% Change
Same Store: Revenue	-	\$				\$		% Change (1.9%)
Same Store: Revenue Parts	-	\$	424,132		2009 416,024	\$	hange (8,108)	% Change
Same Store: Revenue Parts Service		\$	424,132 322,174		2009 416,024 312,734	\$	(8,108) (9,440)	% Change (1.9%) (2.9%)
Same Store: Revenue Parts Service Collision Repair Total		\$	424,132 322,174 41,622	\$	416,024 312,734 37,606	\$	(8,108) (9,440) (4,016)	% Change (1.9%) (2.9%) (9.6%)
Same Store: Revenue Parts Service Collision Repair	<u>.</u>	\$ \$	424,132 322,174 41,622	\$	416,024 312,734 37,606	\$ <u>\$ (</u>	(8,108) (9,440) (4,016)	% Change (1.9%) (2.9%) (9.6%)
Same Store: Revenue Parts Service Collision Repair Total Gross profit	<u>.</u>	\$ \$	424,132 322,174 41,622 787,928	\$ \$	416,024 312,734 37,606 766,364	\$ <u>\$ (</u>	(8,108) (9,440) (4,016) 21,564)	% Change (1.9%) (2.9%) (9.6%) (2.7%)
Same Store: Revenue Parts Service Collision Repair Total Gross profit Parts	<u>.</u>	\$ \$	424,132 322,174 41,622 787,928	\$ \$	416,024 312,734 37,606 766,364	\$ <u>\$ (</u>	(8,108) (9,440) (4,016) 21,564) (3,226)	% Change (1.9%) (2.9%) (9.6%) (2.7%) (2.2%)
Same Store: Revenue Parts Service Collision Repair Total Gross profit Parts Service	<u>\$</u>	\$ \$ \$	424,132 322,174 41,622 787,928 145,199 222,740	\$ \$	416,024 312,734 37,606 766,364 141,973 220,219	\$ <u>\$ (</u>	(8,108) (9,440) (4,016) 21,564) (3,226) (2,521)	(1.9%) (2.9%) (9.6%) (2.7%) (2.2%) (1.1%)
Same Store: Revenue Parts Service Collision Repair Total Gross profit Parts Service Collision Repair Total Total Total	<u>\$</u>	\$ \$ \$	424,132 322,174 41,622 787,928 145,199 222,740 23,211	\$ <u>\$</u> \$	2009 416,024 312,734 37,606 766,364 141,973 220,219 21,151	\$ <u>\$ (</u>	(8,108) (9,440) (4,016) 21,564) (3,226) (2,521) (2,060)	(1.9%) (2.9%) (9.6%) (2.17%) (2.2%) (1.1%) (8.9%)
Same Store: Revenue Parts Service Collision Repair Total Gross profit Parts Service Collision Repair	<u>\$</u>	\$ \$ \$	424,132 322,174 41,622 787,928 145,199 222,740 23,211	\$ <u>\$</u> \$	2009 416,024 312,734 37,606 766,364 141,973 220,219 21,151	\$ <u>\$ (</u>	(8,108) (9,440) (4,016) 21,564) (3,226) (2,521) (2,060) (7,807)	(1.9%) (2.9%) (9.6%) (2.17%) (2.2%) (1.1%) (8.9%)
Same Store: Revenue Parts Service Collision Repair Total Gross profit Parts Service Collision Repair Total Gross profit Parts Service Collision Repair Total Gross profit as a % of revenue	<u>\$</u>	\$ \$ \$	424,132 322,174 41,622 787,928 145,199 222,740 23,211 391,150	\$ <u>\$</u> \$	2009 416,024 312,734 37,606 766,364 141,973 220,219 21,151 383,343	\$ <u>\$ (</u>	(8,108) (9,440) (4,016) 21,564) (3,226) (2,521) (2,060)	(1.9%) (2.9%) (9.6%) (2.17%) (2.2%) (1.1%) (8.9%)
Same Store: Revenue Parts Service Collision Repair Total Gross profit Parts Service Collision Repair Total Gross profit Parts Service Collision Repair Total Gross profit as a % of revenue Parts	<u>\$</u>	\$ \$ \$	424,132 322,174 41,622 787,928 145,199 222,740 23,211 391,150 34.2%	\$ <u>\$</u> \$	2009 416,024 312,734 37,606 766,364 141,973 220,219 21,151 383,343 34.1%	\$ <u>\$ (</u>	(8,108) (9,440) (4,016) 21,564) (3,226) (2,521) (2,060) (7,807) (10) bps	(1.9%) (2.9%) (9.6%) (2.17%) (2.2%) (1.1%) (8.9%)

Our domestic brands experienced declines in overall fixed operations revenue, as compared to the same period in 2008, decreasing 7.4% and 9.6%, for the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, respectively. The overall fixed operations revenue for our import stores experienced an increase of 1.3% for the third quarter ended September 30, 2009, and a decrease of 0.5% for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, when compared to the same period in the prior year.

Customer pay revenue increased 0.4% for the third quarter ended September 30, 2009, but decreased 1.0% for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, when compared to the same periods in 2008. Our BMW dealerships had the most significant increase for customer pay, up 7.5% and 9.1%, respectively, for the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, as compared to the prior year. Warranty revenue declined 5.5% and 1.8% for the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, respectively, when compared to the same periods in the prior year. Our Mercedes dealerships continued to experience significant decreases in warranty revenue, declining 15.7% and 16.3%, respectively, for the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, as compared to the same prior year period. However, our Lexus stores experienced a significant increase in warranty revenue, improving 47.7% and 130.9%, respectively, for the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, over the same prior year period, due to a recall that began in February 2009. The mix of customer pay and warranty revenue can be affected by consumer spending habits and changes in manufacturer warranty programs. While difficult to

quantify, revenue and gross profit for our collision repair business can be negatively affected by changes in consumer driving habits such as driving fewer miles or postponing certain repairs as a result of other changes in their individual financial situation.

Gross margin rates for service for the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, increased over the comparative prior year period, as a result of new technologies created to drive efficiencies and improve sales processes and profitability in our service department.

Finance, Insurance and Other ("F&I")

Our reported and same store F&I results are as follows:

	Third Quarter Ended September 30,			Better /	Better / (Worse)		
(in thousands except per unit data)		2008		2009	Change	% Change	
Reported:							
Revenue	\$	43,259	\$	41,302	\$(1,957)	(4.5%)	
Gross profit per retail unit (excluding fleet)	\$	1,043	\$	946	\$ (97)	(9.3%)	
	Nine Months Ended September 30,				Better / (Worse)		
(in thousands except per unit data)		2008	•	2009	Change	% Change	
Reported:							
Revenue	\$	139,355	\$	110,663	\$(28,692)	(20.6%)	
Gross profit per retail unit (excluding fleet)	\$	1,072	\$	954	\$ (118)	(11.0%)	
		Third Quarter I	Ended Senter	iber 30	Better /	(Worse)	
(in thousands except per unit data)		2008	silded Septen	2009	Change	% Change	
Same Store:							
Revenue	\$	42,299	\$	40,680	\$(1,619)	(3.8%)	
Gross profit per retail unit (excluding fleet)	\$	1,020	\$	932	\$ (88)	(8.6%)	
		Nine Months En	ided Septemb		Better / (
(in thousands except per unit data)		2008		2009	Change	% Change	
Same Store:							
Revenue	\$	136,223	\$	108,661	\$(27,562)	(20.2%)	
Gross profit per retail unit (excluding fleet)	\$	1,048	\$	937	\$ (111)	(10.6%)	

F&I revenue decreased in the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009 primarily due to a decrease in total retail unit sales, and lower penetration rates, especially in used vehicles finance contracts and aftermarket product contracts. Furthermore, F&I gross profit per retail unit decreased primarily due to a decrease in gross profit per finance contract as a result of less finance contract income from commercial banks. Finance revenues and penetration rates may come under pressure during the remainder of 2009 in the event manufacturers offer attractive financing rates from their captive finance affiliates.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses ("SG&A")

Selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") expenses are comprised of four major groups: compensation expense, advertising expense, rent and rent related expense, and other expense. Compensation expense primarily relates to dealership personnel who are paid a commission or a modest salary plus commission (which typically vary depending on gross profits realized) and support personnel who are paid a fixed salary. Due to the salary component for certain dealership and corporate personnel, gross profits and compensation expense are not 100% correlated. Advertising expense and other expenses vary based on the level of actual or anticipated business activity and number of dealerships owned. Rent and rent related expense typically varies with the number of dealerships owned, investments made for facility improvements and interest rates. Although not completely correlated, we believe the best way to measure SG&A expenses is as a percentage of gross profit.

Our SG&A reported results are as follows:

		Third Quarter Ended September 30,					Better / (Worse)		
(in thousan	nds)		2008		2009		Change	% Change	
Reported Expense:									
Compensation		\$	116,949	\$	118,345	\$	(1,396)	(1.2%)	
Advertising			12,817		10,734		2,083	16.3%	
Rent and Rent Related			38,461		34,398		4,063	10.6%	
Other			52,186		40,217		11,969	22.9%	
Total		\$	220,413	\$	203,694	\$	16,719	7.6%	
SG&A as a % of gross									
Compensation			45.1%		45.9%		(80) bps		
Advertising			4.9%		4.2%		70 bps		
Rent and Rent Related			14.8%		13.4%		140 bps		
Other			20.2%		15.6%		460 bps		
Total			85.0%		79.1%		590 bps		
			Nine Months End	led Septen	iber 30,		Better / (W	vorse)	
(in thousa	nds)		2008		2009		Change	% Change	
Reported Expense:									
Compensation		\$	365,618	\$	337,737	\$	27,881	7.6%	
Advertising			42,405		31,333		11,072	26.1%	
Rent and Rent Related			101,081		100,418		663	0.7%	
Other			147,695		119,346	_	28,349	19.2%	
Total		\$	656,799	\$	588,834	\$	67,965	10.3%	
SG&A as a % of gross									
Compensation			44.7%		46.3%		(160) bps		
Advertising			5.2%		4.3%		90 bps		
Rent and Rent Related			12.4%		13.7%		(130) bps		
Other			18.2%		16.4%		180 bps		
Total			80.5%		80.7%	_	(20) bps		

For the third quarter and nine-month periods, comparatively, the decrease in overall SG&A expense can largely be attributed to physical damage and legal charges recorded in the prior year and strategic cost cutting efforts in advertising and other fixed and variable costs that were implemented due to the downturn of the economic environment. For the third quarter periods, comparatively, SG&A expenses as a percentage of gross profit decreased primarily due to decreases in rent and other expenses. For the nine month periods, comparatively, SG&A expenses as a percentage of gross profit increased due to lower overall gross profit levels and the fixed nature of certain SG&A expenses.

For the third quarter and nine-month periods, comparatively, compensation expense as a percentage of gross profit increased primarily due to lower overall gross profit levels and fixed nature of some employee compensation expenses. Due to the decline in the economy over the past year, Sonic has made efforts to reduce overall costs, while remaining competitive in employee compensation. But, as gross profit levels decline, compensation will decline at a slower pace, which will cause an increase in compensation expense as a percentage of gross profit.

For the third quarter and nine-month periods, comparatively, total advertising costs were lower versus the same prior year period due to adjustments in advertising volume in response to the soft operating environment. In addition, we continue to shift our advertising strategy away from traditional media and more towards internet and other outlets.

For the third quarter periods, comparatively, rent and rent related expenses decreased as a percentage of gross profit due to lease exit accruals incurred in the prior year period of \$3.9 million. For the nine-month periods, comparatively, rent and rent related expenses increased as a percentage of gross profit primarily due to the overall declines in gross profit due to the slow sales environment and the fixed nature of these expenses.

Other SG&A expenses in the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2008 were negatively impacted by physical damage charges for hurricane damage of \$2.0 million and legal charges of \$4.0 million. The nine-month period ended September 30, 2008 also was impacted by charges for hail damage of \$2.0 million. Further, in the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, there were decreases in Other SG&A expenses such as travel, supplies, training, and delivery costs that contributed to the overall decline when compared to the prior period.

Impairment Charges

Impairment charges decreased \$25.1 million and \$21.6 million, respectively, in the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, as compared to the same periods last year. The decline can be attributed to impairment charges taken in the third quarter ended September 30, 2008 based on our belief that the value of certain fixed assets and franchise assets would not be recovered through operations or through the ultimate sale of the assets.

Depreciation and Amortization

Reported depreciation and amortization expense decreased \$0.2 million, or 2.5%, and increased \$1.0 million, or 4.1%, in the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, respectively, as compared to the same period last year. The decrease of \$0.2 million for the third quarter ended September 30, 2009 was a result of fixed asset impairments recorded during the third and fourth quarter of 2008. The increase for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009 was due to our strategic shift to continue to own rather than lease more dealership properties.

Interest Expense, Floor Plan

Floor plan interest expense for new vehicles decreased approximately \$4.4 million, or 53.2%, and \$15.6 million, or 54.1% in the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, respectively, compared to the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2008. The weighted average new vehicle floor plan interest rate incurred by continuing dealerships was 2.6% and 2.5% for the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, respectively, compared to 3.6% and 4.2% for the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2008, respectively, which decreased interest expense by approximately \$1.4 million and \$9.1 million for the third quarter and nine-month periods then ended, respectively. In addition, during the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, the average floor plan balance for new vehicles decreased by approximately \$325.1 million and \$209.9 million, respectively, resulting in a decrease in interest expense of approximately \$3.0 million and \$6.5 million compared to the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2008, respectively. The benefit of lower interest rates was primarily due to lower LIBOR rates which affect our floor plan financing rates.

Floor plan interest expense for used vehicles decreased approximately \$0.3 million, or 37.4%, and \$1.6 million, or 58.4%, in the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, respectively, compared to the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2008. Before considering used vehicle floor plan interest expense allocated to discontinued operations for the third quarter ended September 30, 2009 and September 30, 2008 of \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, the weighted average used vehicle floor plan interest rate incurred by both continuing and discontinued operations was 2.4% for the third quarter ended September 30, 2009, compared to 3.9% for the third quarter ended September 30, 2008, which decreased interest expense by approximately \$0.4 million. Before considering used vehicle floor plan interest expense allocated to discontinued operations for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009 and September 30, 2008 of \$0.1 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, the weighted average used vehicle floor plan interest rate incurred by both continuing and discontinued operations was 2.3% for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, compared to 4.4% for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2008, which decreased interest expense by approximately \$1.2 million. The average used vehicle floor plan notes payable balance from continuing and discontinued dealerships remained flat in the third quarter ended September 30, 2009 and decreased \$24.4 million in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, compared to the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2008, respectively, resulting in a decrease in used vehicle floor plan interest expense of approximately \$0.8 million in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009 compared to the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009 compared to the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009 compared to the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009 compared to the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009 c

Non-Cash Interest Expense, Convertible Debt

Non-cash convertible debt interest expense is comprised of the amortization of the debt discount and deferred loan costs associated with our 5.25% Convertible Notes, 5.0% Convertible Notes and 4.25% Convertible Notes in addition to the amortization of the debt discount and mark-to-market effect of the derivative liability associated with the 6.0% Convertible Notes. The initial debt discount was determined based on a valuation of the debt component of these notes, and is being amortized monthly to interest

expense over the life of the notes. See Note 1 in the accompanying notes to financial statements which discusses the adoption of ASC 470, "Debt". Interest expense of approximately \$2.7 million and \$1.4 million in the third quarter ended September 30, 2008 and 2009, respectively, and \$8.0 million and \$6.1 million in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2008 and 2009, respectively, represent the non-cash amortization of the debt discount recorded as a result of adoption of ASC 470. We recognized a non-cash benefit primarily due to the mark-to-market related to the extinguishment of the derivative liability associated with the 6.0% Convertible Notes in the amount of \$11.4 million and \$11.3 million for the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, respectively. These mark-to-market gains resulted from the extinguishment of the derivative associated with the 6.0% Convertible Notes due to the issuance of the redemption notice for our 6.0% Convertible Notes. We expect to incur a charge in the fourth quarter of 2009 of approximately \$7.9 million related to the write-off of the unamortized debt discount associated with the 6.0% Convertible Notes in conjunction with the redemption of these Notes during the fourth quarter of 2009. A portion of this expense will be recognized as amortization expense to non-cash interest expense, convertible debt, while the remainder will be recorded as a loss on debt extinguishment in other income (expense) on the consolidated statements of income. Deferred loan cost amortization related to the 4.25% Convertible Notes and 5.25% Convertible Notes was \$0.2 million in the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, respectively.

Interest Expense, Other

The change in interest expense, other, between the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2008 and 2009 is summarized in the table below:

	Increa	se / (Decrease) in	Interest Expens	e, Other
		Third Quarter Ended		
(dollars in millions)	Septembe	r 30, 2009	Septemb	per 30, 2009
Interest Rates —				
— Decrease in interest rates	\$	(0.3)	\$	(1.3)
Debt balances —				
Decrease in debt balances		(0.8)		(0.5)
Other factors —				
 Decrease in capitalized interest 		0.3		0.5
 Incremental interest expense related to variable to fixed rate swaps 		1.7		10.3
 Incremental interest expense related to fixed to variable rate swaps 		0.2		0.9
Higher deferred loan cost amortization		2.1		8.7
 Higher interest allocation to discontinued operations 		(0.4)		(3.7)
— Other		0.6		1.8
	\$	3.4	\$	16.7

Other Income (Expense), Net

Other income for the third quarter and nine-month period ending September 30, 2009 includes a gain of approximately \$2.1 million related to the derecognition of liability and equity components of the 4.25% Convertible Notes in accordance with ASC 470, "Debt", upon repurchase of a portion of the 4.25% Convertible Notes (see Note 6).

We expect to incur a charge in the fourth quarter of 2009 of approximately \$7.9 million related to the unamortized debt discount associated with the 6.0% Convertible Notes in conjunction with the redemption of these notes during the fourth quarter of 2009. A portion of this charge will be recognized as amortization expense to non-cash interest expense, convertible debt, while the remainder will be recorded as a loss on debt extinguishment in other income (expense) in the consolidated statements of income.

Income Taxes

The overall effective tax rate from continuing operations was 42.2% and 43.0% for the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009. The overall effective tax rate from continuing operations was 32.6% and 45.5% for the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2008. The effective rate for the nine-month period ended of 2009 was lower than the prior year nine-month period due to the shift in the distribution of taxable income between states in which we operate. At the end

2008, we provided income tax valuation allowances totaling \$99.6 million related to certain deferred tax assets based on our judgment that it was more likely than not that we would not be able to realize recorded balances. This judgment was based on our operating loss generated in 2008 as a result of a goodwill impairment charge, the overall downturn in the economy of the United States and, in particular, the automotive retail industry. As of September 30, 2009, in our judgment, there is still significant uncertainty related to our ability to realize the recorded deferred tax assets. However, in the event circumstances change in the fourth quarter of 2009, a portion or all of the valuation allowances currently recorded, with the exception of those related to net operating loss carryforwards, may not be necessary. Accordingly, in the event we do reduce the level of valuation allowances recorded our effective tax rate could be materially affected. Absent any activity related to income tax valuation allowances, we expect the effective tax rate for continuing operations in future periods to fall within a range of 40% to 45%.

Discontinued Operations

The pre-tax losses from operations and the sale of discontinued franchises were as follows:

		(dollars in thousands)						
	7	Third Quarter En	ded Septem	iber 30,	Nine Months Ended September 30,			
		2008		2009		2008		2009
Income from operations	\$	528	\$	1,707	\$	543	\$	1,593
Loss on disposal of franchises		(137)		(103)		(3,080)		(572)
Lease exit charges		(10,927)		(5,171)		(12,444)		(6,751)
Property impairment charges		(4,842)		(74)		(6,897)		(2,271)
Goodwill impairment charges		_		(207)		_		(2,680)
Franchise agreement and other impairments		(2,500)		_		(6,800)		_
Favorable lease asset impairment charges						(1,903)		
Pre-tax loss	\$	(17,878)	\$	(3,848)	\$	(30,581)	\$	(10,681)
Total Revenues	\$	244,947	\$	183,153	\$	845,569	\$	544,357

Lease exit charges recorded relate to the revision of estimates and establishment of lease exit accruals. The lease exit accruals are calculated by either discounting the remaining lease payments, net of estimated sublease proceeds or estimating the amount necessary to satisfy the lease commitment to the landlord. Property impairment charges were recorded based on the estimated fair value of the property and equipment to be sold in connection with the disposal of associated franchises and recorded values. Goodwill impairment charges were recorded based on the determination that a portion of the goodwill allocated to franchises held for sale was not recoverable based on estimated proceeds. Franchise asset and other asset impairment charges related to changes in the estimated fair value of franchises and other assets held for sale. Favorable lease asset impairment charges were recorded based on management's estimate that sublease income from certain properties would not allow Sonic to recover the recorded value of favorable lease assets related to the properties.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We require cash to fund debt service and working capital requirements. We rely on cash flows from operations, borrowings under our 2006 Credit Facility, real estate mortgage financing, asset sales and offerings of debt and equity securities to meet these requirements. Our liquidity could be negatively affected if we fail to comply with the financial covenants in our existing debt or lease arrangements.

Because the majority of our consolidated assets are held by our dealership subsidiaries, the majority of our cash flows from operations are generated by these subsidiaries and are dependent to a substantial degree on the results of operations of these subsidiaries. In the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, our liquidity continued to be negatively affected by the downturn in the overall economy and particularly the downturn experienced in the automotive retail industry that began in the second half of 2008 and continued into 2009. For the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2008, the average SAAR of new vehicle sales was 13.0 million units and 14.1 million units, respectively, compared to 11.5 million units and 10.2 million units for the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, respectively. The third quarter SAAR

includes the effects of an increase in unit sales in July and August as a result of the CARS program. The annual average SAAR since 1990 was 15.5 million units compared to 13.2 million units for the year 2008. At the current level of SAAR, we believe we will continue to be able to generate positive adjusted cash flows from operations (defined as cash flows from operating activities, net of net borrowings on notes payable floor plan — non-trade, which is included in cash flows from financing activities) in the foreseeable future. In the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, adjusted cash flows from operating activities provided cash of \$42.9 million and \$73.0 million, respectively.

Floor Plan Facilities

The weighted average interest rate for all of our new vehicle floor plan facilities (both continuing and discontinued operations) was 2.7% and 2.5% for the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, respectively, compared to 3.6% and 4.2% for the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2008, respectively. Interest payments under each of our floor plan facilities are due monthly, and we are generally not required to make principal repayments prior to the sale of the particular vehicles. We were in compliance with all restrictive covenants under our floor plan facilities as of September 30, 2009.

The weighted average interest rate for our used vehicle floor plan facility (for which interest expense is allocated to continuing and discontinued operations) was 2.4% and 2.3% for the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, respectively, compared to 3.9% and 4.4% for the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2008, respectively.

We expect interest expense related to floor plan financing to increase in the future as a result of recent amendments to our 2006 Credit Facility and our expectation that manufacturer captive finance entities may also increase interest rates charged related to new vehicle inventory floor plan facilities.

Long-Term Debt and Credit Facilities

See Note 6, "Long-Term Debt" in the notes to the accompanying unaudited financial statements for a discussion of amendments to the 2006 Credit Facility, the repayment of the 5.25% Convertible Notes with the issuance of 6.0% Convertible Notes, cash payments and issuance of Class A common stock, and the repayment of a portion of the 4.25% Convertible Notes with the issuance of 5.0% Convertible Notes and Class A common stock. Also see Note 6 for discussions of compliance with debt covenants.

Dealership Acquisitions and Dispositions

During the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, we disposed of 11 franchises. These disposals generated cash of \$22.8 million.

Capital Expenditures

Our capital expenditures generally include purchases of land, the construction of new dealerships and collision repair centers, building improvements and equipment purchased for use in our dealerships. Capital expenditures in the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009 were approximately \$8.0 million and \$34.6 million, respectively. All of the \$34.6 million used for capital expenditures related to the completion of construction and improvement projects to our dealerships which were underway at the end of 2008. As of September 30, 2009, contractual commitments to contractors for facilities construction projects totaled approximately \$41.5 million.

Capital expenditures were lower in the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009 than historical activity due to our strategy of reducing expenditures to minimum levels in 2009. Furthermore, we do not anticipate significant refurbishment projects of dealership properties to occur beyond those already underway at the end of the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009.

Stock Repurchase Program

As of September 30, 2009, pursuant to previous authorizations from our Board of Directors, we had approximately \$44.6 million available to repurchase shares of our Class A common stock or redeem securities convertible into Class A common stock. Due to current economic conditions and liquidity concerns, we have curtailed our stock repurchase activities and do not anticipate significant activity during 2009. Share repurchases, with the exception of those related to tax withholding arrangements associated with our Stock Plans, are expressly prohibited by our 2006 Credit Facility.

Dividando

During the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, our recurring quarterly dividend program was suspended. The payment of dividends is expressly prohibited by our 2006 Credit Facility.

Cash Flows

For the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, net cash provided by operating activities was approximately \$442.7 million. This provision of cash was comprised primarily of cash inflows related to reductions in inventories and accounts receivable, partially offset by a decrease in notes payable — floor plan — trade. Net cash used in investing activities during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009 was approximately \$11.1 million. This use of cash was primarily comprised of purchases of property and equipment, partially offset by proceeds received from sales of franchises. Net cash used in financing activities for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009 was approximately \$438.0 million, primarily comprised of the decrease in notes payable — floor plan — non-trade, the settlement of cash flow swaps, the increase in restricted cash designated for the repurchase of the 6.0% Convertible Notes in the fourth quarter of 2009 or the repurchase or repayment of our other debt obligations and payments on long-term debt, partially offset by cash received for issuances of long-term debt and common stock.

We arrange our inventory floor plan financing through both manufacturer captive finance companies and a syndicate consisting of banks and manufacturer captive finance companies. Generally, our floor plan financed with manufacturer captives is recorded as trade floor plan liabilities (with the resulting change being reflected as an operating cash flow). Our dealerships that obtain floor plan financing from a syndicate of captive finance companies and commercial banks record their obligation as non-trade floor plan liabilities (with the resulting change being reflected as a financing cash flow).

Due to the presentation differences for changes in trade floor plan and non-trade floor plan in the statement of cash flows, decisions made by us to move dealership floor plan financing arrangements from one finance source to another may cause significant variations in operating and financing cash flows without affecting our overall liquidity, working capital, or cash flow. Accordingly, if all changes in floor plan notes payable were classified as an operating activity, the result would have been net cash

provided by operating activities of \$96.3 million and \$73.0 million for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2008 and 2009, respectively.

Guarantees and Indemnifications

In connection with the operation and disposition of dealership franchises, we have entered into various guarantees and indemnifications. See Note 9 in the accompanying notes to financial statements.

Future Liquidity Outlook

See Notes 1 and 6 of the notes to the accompanying unaudited financial statements for a discussion of the full satisfaction of our obligation under the 5.25% Convertible Notes through the payment of cash, the issuance of 6.0% Convertible Notes and the issuance of Class A common stock in May 2009. In addition, Notes 1 and 6 discuss the partial repurchase of our 4.25% Convertible Notes funded by cash generated through the issuance of 5.0% Convertible Notes and Class A common stock in September 2009.

As of September 30, 2009, we had approximately \$106.9 million of restricted cash available to extinguish existing debt. We used \$88.1 million to redeem the 6.0% Convertible Notes in October 2009. The remaining \$18.8 million will either be used to repurchase the remaining 4.25% Convertible Notes and/or repay balances outstanding on our 2006 Credit Facility. We are currently negotiating with various lenders to replace the 2006 Credit Facility which matures in February 2010. We expect to complete the 2006 Credit Facility refinancing in the fourth quarter of 2009. To the extent that current economic conditions continue, the interest rates, borrowing capacity and other terms associated with a new facility may be less favorable than those that currently exist.

Although we will attempt to refinance the 2006 Credit Facility, we cannot assure our investors that we will succeed in these efforts. A default under one or more of our debt arrangements, including the 2006 Credit Facility, could cause cross defaults of other debt, lease facilities and operating agreements, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, liquidity and operations.

We believe our best source of liquidity for operations and debt service remains cash flows generated from operations combined with our availability of borrowings under our floor plan facilities (or any replacements thereof), our 2006 Credit Facility, selected dealership and other asset sales and our ability to raise funds in the capital markets. We are currently restricted in borrowing under the 2006 Credit Facility to borrowings for ordinary course of business operating expenses. Continued uncertainties in the economic environment, the lack of availability of consumer credit, and uncertainty regarding the domestic automotive manufacturers will affect our ability to generate cash from operations. In addition to actions already taken such as cost reductions, eliminating share repurchases and suspending our dividend, we will attempt to further mitigate the impact of declines in cash flow from operations by suspending our acquisition activities, increasing asset sales and reducing capital expenditures.

The table below represents our contractual obligations as of September 30, 2009, after taking into consideration the issuance and repayment of debt obligations in the nine months ended September 30, 2009:

			(Amounts in thousand	s)		
	Q4 2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	Thereafter	Total
Floor Plan Facilities (1)	\$ 658,182	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 658,182
Long-Term Debt (2)	108,242	23,321	6,706	7,163	288,421	273,059	706,912
Letters of Credit	76,971	_	_	_	_	_	76,971
Estimated Interest Payments on Floor							
Plan Facilities (3)	2,857	_	_	_	_	_	2,857
Estimated Interest Payments on Long-							
Term Debt (4)	10,967	41,941	40,784	40,261	30,585	65,546	230,084
Operating Leases (Net of Sublease							
Rentals)	29,583	109,684	100,465	97,246	91,238	515,945	944,161
Construction Contracts	41,500	_	_	_	_	_	41,500
Other Purchase Obligations (5)	2,856	12,725	11,225	3,960	2,640	_	33,406
ASC 470 Liability (6)	500	_	_	_	_	22,745	23,245
Total	\$ 931,658	\$ 187,671	\$ 159,180	\$ 148,630	\$ 412,884	\$ 877,295	\$2,717,318

⁽¹⁾ Floor plan facilities include amounts classified as liabilities associated with assets held for sale.

⁽²⁾ Long-Term Debt consists of contractual principal payments only. Maturities are presented as the earliest date we may be required to repay amounts owed and do not assume any forced conversions.

⁽³⁾ Floor plan facilities balances (including amounts classified as liabilities associated with assets held for sale) are correlated with the amount of vehicle inventory and are generally due at the time that a vehicle is sold. Estimated interest payments were calculated using the September 30, 2009 floor plan facilities balance, the weighted average interest rate for the third quarter of 2009 of 2.64% and the assumption that floor plan facilities balances at September 30, 2009 would be relieved within sixty days in connection with the sale of the associated vehicle inventory.

⁽⁴⁾ Based on schedule of contractual maturities discussed in (2) above.

⁽⁵⁾ Other Purchase Obligations include contracts for office supplies, utilities, and various other items or services.

⁽⁶⁾ Amount represents recorded liability, including interest and penalties, related to ASC 470.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements" in our Current Report on Form 8-K furnished to the SEC on August 21, 2009, for the year ended December 31, 2008 for a description of our off-balance sheet arrangements.

Seasonality

Our business is subject to seasonal variations in revenues. In our experience, demand for automobiles is generally lower during the first and fourth quarters of each year. We therefore receive a disproportionate amount of revenues generally in the second and third quarters, and expect our revenues and operating results to be generally lower in the first and fourth quarters. Consequently, if conditions surface during the second and third quarters that impair vehicle sales, such as higher fuel costs, depressed economic conditions or similar adverse conditions, our revenues for the year could be adversely affected.

Item 3: Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Interest Rate Risk

Our variable rate notes payable—floor plan, 2006 Credit Facility (as defined in our Current Report on Form 8-K furnished to the SEC on August 21, 2009 for the year ended December 31, 2008) borrowings and other variable rate notes expose us to risks caused by fluctuations in the underlying interest rates. The total outstanding balance of such instruments was approximately \$313.8 million at September 30, 2009. A change of 100 basis points in the underlying interest rate would have caused a change in interest expense of approximately \$3.9 million in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009. Of the total change in interest expense, approximately \$3.5 million would have resulted from notes payable—floor plan.

In addition to our variable rate debt, as of September 30, 2009, approximately 19% of our dealership lease facilities have monthly lease payments that fluctuate based on LIBOR interest rates. Many of our lease agreements have interest rate floors whereby our lease expense would not fluctuate significantly in periods when LIBOR is relatively low.

During the first quarter ended March 31, 2009, we settled two of our cash flow swaps with notional values totaling \$200.0 million. The swaps were settled with a net settlement payment by us of approximately \$16.5 million. This settlement loss was deferred and will be amortized into earnings through interest expense over the swaps initial remaining term.

At the end of the third quarter and nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, the following interest rate swaps were outstanding:

Notional	Pay Rate	Receive Rate (1)	Maturing Date
(in millions)			
\$200.0	4.935%	one-month LIBOR	May 1, 2012
\$100.0	5.265%	one-month LIBOR	June 1, 2012
\$ 3.9	7.100%	one-month LIBOR	July 10, 2017
\$ 25.0	5.160%	one-month LIBOR	September 1, 2012
\$ 15.0	4.965%	one-month LIBOR	September 1, 2012
\$ 25.0	4.885%	one-month LIBOR	October 1, 2012
\$ 12.1	4.655%	one-month LIBOR	December 10, 2017
\$ 9.0	6.860%	one-month LIBOR	August 1, 2017
\$ 7.4	4.330%	one-month LIBOR	July 1, 2013
\$ 3.9 \$ 25.0 \$ 15.0 \$ 25.0 \$ 12.1 \$ 9.0	7.100% 5.160% 4.965% 4.885% 4.655% 6.860%	one-month LIBOR	July 10, 2017 September 1, 2012 September 1, 2012 October 1, 2012 December 10, 2017 August 1, 2017

⁽¹⁾ One-month LIBOR was 0.246% at September 30, 2009.

All cash flow swaps (fixed pay rate and variable receive rate), with the exception of the swap with a notional amount of \$9.0 million, have been designated and qualify as cash flow hedges and, as a result, changes in the fair value of the swaps are recorded in other comprehensive (loss)/income, net of related income taxes in the Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity. During the third quarter and nine-month period ending September 30, 2009, certain cash flow swaps were ineffective due to temporary reductions in LIBOR-based debt balances, resulting in a charge of \$0.3 million to interest expense in the third quarter and nine-month period ending September 30, 2009. The cash flow swap with a notional amount of \$9.0 million was not designated as a hedging instrument and therefore changes in the fair value of this swap are recorded in selling, general and administrative expenses in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income. The loss recognized related to this swap that was not designated as a hedging instrument for the third quarter ended September 30, 2009 was \$0.3 million, compared to a gain of \$1.8 million for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009.

Foreign Currency Risk

We purchase certain of our new vehicle and parts inventories from foreign manufacturers. Although we purchase our inventories in U.S. Dollars, our business is subject to foreign exchange rate risk, which may influence automobile manufacturers' ability to provide their products at competitive prices in the United States. To the extent this volatility negatively impacts consumer demand through higher retail prices for our products, it could adversely affect our future operations results.

Item 4: Controls and Procedures.

Our management, under the supervision and with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Based on this evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. During our last fiscal quarter, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II — OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1: Legal Proceedings.

We are a defendant in the matter of Galura, et al. v. Sonic Automotive, Inc., a private civil action filed in the Circuit Court of Hillsborough County, Florida. In this action, originally filed on December 30, 2002, the plaintiffs allege that we and our Florida dealerships sold an antitheft protection product in a deceptive or otherwise illegal manner, and further sought representation on behalf of any customer of any of our Florida dealerships who purchased the antitheft protection product since December 30, 1998. The plaintiffs are seeking monetary damages and injunctive relief on behalf of this class of customers. In June 2005, the court granted the plaintiffs' motion for certification of the requested class of customers, but the court has made no finding to date regarding actual liability in this lawsuit. We subsequently filed a notice of appeal of the court's class certification, and overruled a portion of the trial court's class certification. We intend to continue our vigorous appeal and defense of this lawsuit and to assert available defenses. However, an adverse resolution of this lawsuit could result in the payment of significant costs and damages, which could have a material adverse effect on our future results of operations, financial condition and cash flows. Currently, we are unable to estimate a range of potential loss related to this matter.

Several private civil actions have been filed against Sonic Automotive, Inc. and several of our dealership subsidiaries that purport to represent classes of customers as potential plaintiffs and make allegations that certain products sold in the finance and insurance departments were done so in a deceptive or otherwise illegal manner. One of these private civil actions has been filed in South Carolina state court against Sonic Automotive, Inc. and 10 of our South Carolina subsidiaries. This group of plaintiffs' attorneys has filed another private civil class action lawsuit in state court in North Carolina seeking certification of a multi-state class of plaintiffs. The South Carolina state court action and the North Carolina state court action have since been consolidated into a single proceeding in private arbitration. On November 12, 2008, claimants in the consolidated arbitration filed a Motion for Class Certification as a national class action including all of the states in which Sonic operates dealerships, excluding California and Florida. Claimants are seeking monetary damages and injunctive relief on behalf of this class of customers. We are aggressively opposing claimants' Motion for Class Certification, and intend to continue our vigorous defense of this arbitration. However, an adverse resolution of this arbitration could result in the payment of significant costs and damages, which could have a material adverse effect on our future results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

We are involved, and expect to continue to be involved, in numerous legal and administrative proceedings arising out of the conduct of our business, including regulatory investigations and private civil actions brought by plaintiffs purporting to represent a potential class or for which a class has been certified. Although we vigorously defend ourselves in all legal and administrative proceedings, the outcomes of pending and future proceedings arising out of the conduct of our business, including litigation with customers, employment related lawsuits, contractual disputes, class actions, purported class actions and actions brought by governmental authorities, cannot be predicted with certainty. An unfavorable resolution of one or more of these matters could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows or prospects.

Item 1A: Risk Factors

Risks Related to Our Sources of Financing and Liquidity

Our significant indebtedness could materially adversely affect our financial health, limit our ability to finance future acquisitions and capital expenditures and prevent us from fulfilling our financial obligations.

As of September 30, 2009, our total outstanding indebtedness was approximately \$1.3 billion, including the following:

- \$20.6 million under the 2006 Revolving Credit Sub-Facility (as defined below), classified as current;
- \$658.2 million under the secured new and used inventory floor plan facilities, including \$53.1 million classified as liabilities associated with assets held for sale;
- \$135.0 million in 5.0% Convertible Notes representing \$172.5 million in aggregate principal amount outstanding less unamortized discount of approximately \$37.5 million;
- \$77.7 million in 6.0% Convertible Notes, classified as current, representing \$85.6 million in aggregate principal amount outstanding less unamortized discount of approximately \$7.9 million;
- \$16.3 million in 4.25% Convertible Notes, representing \$17.0 million in aggregate principal amount outstanding less unamortized discount of approximately \$0.7 million:
- \$273.4 million in 8.625% Notes, representing \$275.0 million in aggregate principal amount outstanding less unamortized net discount of approximately \$1.6 million:
- \$111.6 million of mortgage notes, representing \$111.4 million in aggregate principal amount plus unamortized premium of approximately \$0.2 million, due from June, 2013 to September, 2028, with a weighted average interest rate of 5.2%; and
- \$27.5 million of other secured debt, representing \$24.8 million in aggregate principal amount plus unamortized premium of approximately \$2.7 million.

As of September 30, 2009, we had \$102.4 million available for additional borrowings under the 2006 Revolving Credit Sub-Facility (as defined below) based on the borrowing base calculation on that date, which is affected by numerous factors including eligible asset balances, the market value of certain collateral and historical consolidated EBITDA (as defined). On October 1, 2009, in accordance with Amendment No. 5 to the Credit Agreement, the borrowing base calculation was adjusted to weight the EBITDA component 25% (adjusted from 50%, and as a result, the amount available for additional borrowings was reduced to \$73.3 million going into the fourth quarter of 2009. We are also able to borrow under our 2006 Revolving Credit Sub-Facility only if we are in compliance with our financial and other covenants and all of our representations and warranties contained in the 2006 Revolving Credit Sub-Facility are true on the date of borrowing. Based on the most recent amendment to the 2006 Credit Facility, we can only use proceeds from borrowings for ordinary course of business expenditures. We also have additional capacity under new and used inventory floor plan facilities. In addition, the indentures relating to our 8.625% Notes, 6.0% Convertible Notes issued in May 2009, 5.0% Convertible Notes issued in September 2009, 4.25% Convertible Notes and our other debt instruments allow us to incur additional indebtedness, including secured indebtedness, as long as we are in compliance with the terms thereunder. We refer to the \$216.0 million of availability under a revolving credit facility ("2006 Revolving Credit Sub-Facility"), up to \$635.0 million in borrowing availability for used vehicle inventory floor plan financing collectively as our "2006 Credit Facility".

In addition, the majority of our dealership properties are leased under long-term operating lease arrangements that generally have initial terms of fifteen to twenty years with one or two ten-year renewal options. These operating leases require compliance of financial and operating covenants similar to those under our 2006 Credit Facility, monthly payments of rent that may fluctuate based on interest rates and local consumer price indices. The total future minimum lease payments related to these operating leases and certain equipment leases are significant and are disclosed in the notes to our financial statements under the heading "Commitments and Contingencies" in our Current Report on Form 8-K furnished to the SEC on August 21, 2009.

As of September 30, 2009, we had approximately \$781.4 million of debt that matures or which we are obligated to repay in 2009 and 2010. This amount includes \$678.8 million outstanding related to our 2006 Credit Facility (revolving credit, used and new vehicle financing), \$85.6 million of principal related to our 6.0% Convertible Notes which we have called for redemption on October 28, 2009 and the remaining \$17.0 million principal outstanding related to our 4.25% Convertible Notes. Although we currently have the liquidity to retire the debt outstanding related to the 6.0% Convertible

Notes and the 4.25% Convertible Notes, we do not have sufficient liquidity to repay amounts outstanding related to the 2006 Credit Facility. We are currently negotiating with lenders to refinance this facility. Although we believe we will be successful in refinancing this facility resulting with terms that are not more restrictive or more costly than the current facility, we can not assure you we will be able to do so.

Our leverage and the result of our refinancing of the 2006 Credit Facility could have important consequences to the holders of our securities, including the following:

- our ability to obtain additional financing for acquisitions, capital expenditures, working capital or general corporate purposes or to refinance existing indebtedness
 may be limited in the future;
- a substantial portion of our current cash flow from operations must be dedicated to the payment of principal and interest on our indebtedness and rents under long-term operating leases, thereby reducing the funds available to us for our operations and other purposes;
- some of our borrowings and facility leases are and will continue to be at variable rates of interest, which exposes us to the risk of increasing interest rates;
- future interest rates may be higher than those currently applicable to our outstanding debt;
- the indebtedness outstanding under our 2006 Credit Facility and other floor plan facilities and the indenture governing our 6.0% Convertible Notes are secured by a pledge of substantially all the assets of our dealerships, which may limit our ability to borrow money from other sources; and
- we may be substantially more leveraged than some of our competitors, which may place us at a relative competitive disadvantage and make us more vulnerable to changing market conditions and regulations.

In addition, our debt agreements contain numerous covenants that limit our discretion with respect to certain business matters, including mergers or acquisitions, paying dividends, incurring additional debt, making capital expenditures or disposing of assets. These covenants may become more restrictive as a result of refinancing in the current environment.

An acceleration of our obligation to repay all or a substantial portion of our outstanding indebtedness or lease obligations would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Our 2006 Credit Facility, the indenture governing our 8.625% Notes and many of our facility operating leases contain numerous financial and operating covenants. A breach of any of these covenants could result in a default under the applicable agreement or indenture. If a default were to occur, we may be unable to adequately finance our operations and the value of our common stock would be materially adversely affected because of acceleration and cross default. In addition, a default under one agreement or indenture could result in a default and acceleration of our repayment obligations under the other agreements or indentures, including the indentures governing our outstanding 6.0% Convertible Notes, 4.25% Convertible Notes, 5.0% Convertible Notes and the 8.625% Notes, under the cross default provisions in those agreements or indentures. If a cross default were to occur, we may not be able to pay our debts or borrow sufficient funds to refinance them. Even if new financing were available, it may not be on terms acceptable to us. As a result of this risk, we could be forced to take actions that we otherwise would not take, or not take actions that we otherwise might take, in order to comply with the covenants in these agreements and indentures.

Our ability to make interest and principal payments when due to holders of our debt securities depends upon our future performance.

Our ability to meet our debt obligations and other expenses will depend on our future performance, which will be affected by financial, business, domestic and foreign economic conditions, the regulatory environment and other factors, many of which we are unable to control. If our cash flow is not sufficient to service our debt as it becomes due, we may be required to refinance the debt, sell assets or sell shares of our stock on terms that we do not find attractive, if it can be done at all. Further, our failure to comply with the financial and other restrictive covenants relating to the 2006 Credit Facility and the indentures pertaining to our outstanding notes could result under these agreements that would prevent us from borrowing under the 2006 Revolving Credit Sub-Facility, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our ability to make interest and principal payments when due to holders of our debt securities depends upon the receipt of sufficient funds from our subsidiaries.

Substantially all of our consolidated assets are held by our subsidiaries and substantially all of our consolidated cash flow and net income are generated by our subsidiaries. Accordingly, our cash flow and ability to service debt depends to a substantial degree on the results of operations of our subsidiaries and upon the ability of our subsidiaries to provide us with cash. We may receive cash from our subsidiaries in the form of dividends, loans or otherwise. We may use this cash to service our debt obligations or for working capital. Our subsidiaries are separate and distinct legal entities and have no obligation, contingent or otherwise, to distribute cash to us or to make funds available to service debt. In addition, the ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends or make loans to us are subject to contractual limitations under the floor plan facilities, minimum net capital requirements under manufacturer franchise agreements and laws of the state in which a subsidiary is organized and depend to a significant degree on the results of operations of our subsidiaries and other business considerations. In addition, if we sell a dealership subsidiary, our cash flows will decline and consequently, the sale of a significant portion of our dealership subsidiaries could have a material adverse effect on our cash flows.

We depend on the performance of sublessees to offset costs related to certain of our lease agreements.

In most cases when we sell a dealership franchise, the buyer of the franchise will sublease the dealership property from us, but we are not released from the underlying lease obligation to the primary landlord. We rely on the sublease income from the buyer to offset the expense incurred related to our obligation to pay the primary landlord. We also rely on the buyer to maintain the property in accordance with the terms of the sublease (which in most cases mirror the terms of the lease we have with the primary landlord). Although we assess the financial condition of a buyer at the time we sell the franchise, and seek to obtain guarantees of the buyer's sublease obligation from the stockholders or affiliates of the buyer, the financial condition of the buyer and/or the sublease guarantors may deteriorate over time. In the event the buyer does not perform under the terms of the sublease agreement (due to the buyer's financial condition or other factors), we may not be able to recover amounts owed to us under the terms of the sublease agreement or the related guarantees. Our operating results, financial condition and cash flows may be materially adversely affected if sublessees do not perform their obligations under the terms of the sublease agreements.

Our use of hedging transactions could limit our gains and result in financial losses.

To reduce our exposure to fluctuations in cash flow due to interest rate fluctuations, we have entered into, and in the future expect to enter into, derivative instruments (or hedging agreements). No hedging activity can completely insulate us from the risks associated with changes in interest rates. As of September 30, 2009, Sonic had interest rate swap agreements to effectively convert a portion of its LIBOR-based variable rate debt to a fixed rate. See "Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" under Note 1 to our Consolidated Financial Statements. In 2009, we settled our \$100 million notional, pay 5.002% and \$100 million notional, pay 5.319% swaps with a payment to the counterparty of \$16.5 million. We generally intend to hedge as much of the interest rate risk as management determines is in our best interests given the cost of such hedging transactions.

Our hedging transactions expose us to certain risks and financial losses, including, among other things:

- counterparty credit risk;
- available interest rate hedging may not correspond directly with the interest rate risk for which we seek protection;
- the duration of the amount of the hedge may not match the duration or amount of the related liability;
- the credit quality of the party owing money on the hedge may be downgraded to such an extent that it impairs our ability to sell or assign our side of the hedging transaction; and
- the value of derivatives used for hedging may be adjusted from time to time in accordance with accounting rules to reflect changes in fair-value. Downward adjustments, or "mark-to-market losses," would reduce our stockholders' equity.

A failure on our part to effectively hedge against interest rate changes may adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Risks Related to Our Relationships with Vehicle Manufacturers

Our operations may be adversely affected if one or more of our manufacturer franchise agreements is terminated or not renewed.

Each of our dealerships operates under a franchise agreement with the applicable automobile manufacturer or distributor. Without a franchise agreement, we cannot obtain new vehicles from a manufacturer. As a result, we are significantly dependent on our relationships with these manufacturers.

Manufacturers exercise a great degree of control over the operations of our dealerships through the franchise agreements. The franchise agreements govern, among other things, our ability to purchase vehicles from the manufacturer and to sell vehicles to customers. Each of our franchise agreements provides for termination or non-renewal for a variety of causes, including certain changes in the financial condition of the dealerships and any unapproved change of ownership or management. Manufacturers may also have a right of first refusal if we seek to sell dealerships.

Actions taken by manufacturers to exploit their superior bargaining position in negotiating the terms of franchise agreements or renewals of these agreements or otherwise could also have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows. We cannot guarantee you that any of our existing franchise agreements will be renewed or that the terms and conditions of such renewals will be favorable to us.

Our sales volume and profit margin on each sale may be materially adversely affected if manufacturers discontinue or change their incentive programs.

Our dealerships depend on the manufacturers for certain sales incentives, warranties and other programs that are intended to promote and support dealership new vehicle sales. Manufacturers routinely modify their incentive programs in response to changing market conditions. Some of the key incentive programs include:

- customer rebates or below market financing on new vehicles;
- · employee pricing;
- dealer incentives on new vehicles;
- manufacturer floor plan interest and advertising assistance;
- · warranties on new and used vehicles; and
- sponsorship of used vehicle sales by authorized new vehicle dealers.

Manufacturers frequently offer incentives to potential customers. A reduction or discontinuation of a manufacturer's incentive programs may materially adversely impact vehicle demand and affect our profitability. For example, in the fourth quarter of 2008, General Motors delayed payments related to certain incentive programs. In the event this delay was longer or of greater scale, the effect on our overall liquidity could have been material.

Our sales volume may be materially adversely affected if manufacturer captives change their customer financing programs or are unable to provide floor plan financing.

One of the primary finance sources used by consumers in connection with the purchase of a new or used vehicle is the manufacturer captive finance companies. These captive finance companies rely, to a certain extent, on the public debt markets to provide the capital necessary to support their financing programs. In addition, the captive finance companies will occasionally change their loan underwriting criteria to alter the risk profile of their loan portfolio. A limitation or reduction of available consumer financing for these or other reasons could affect a consumer's ability to purchase a vehicle, and thus, could have a material adverse effect on our sales volume. For example, for a period of time in the fourth quarter, GMAC stated they would not make loans to customers with FICO credit scores below 700. If this continues or if consumer credit is further restricted, the adverse effect on our overall liquidity could be material.

Our parts and service sales volume and profitability are dependent on manufacturer warranty programs.

Franchised automotive retailers perform service work and sell replacement parts on vehicles covered by warranties issued by the automotive manufacturer. Dealerships which perform work covered by a manufacturer warranty are reimbursed at rates established by the manufacturer. For the nine months ended September 30, 2009, approximately 19.1% of our parts and service revenue was for work covered by manufacturer warranties. To the extent a manufacturer reduces the labor rates or markup of replacement parts for such warranty work, our fixed operations sales volume and profitability could be adversely affected.

We depend on manufacturers to supply us with sufficient numbers of popular and profitable new models.

Manufacturers typically allocate their vehicles among dealerships based on the sales history of each dealership. Supplies of popular new vehicles may be limited by the applicable manufacturer's production capabilities. Popular new vehicles that are in limited supply typically produce the highest profit margins. We depend on manufacturers to provide us with a desirable mix of popular new vehicles. Our operating results may be materially adversely affected if we do not obtain a sufficient supply of these vehicles.

Adverse conditions affecting one or more key manufacturers may negatively impact our profitability.

In the nine months ended September 30, 2009, a significant portion of our new vehicle revenue was derived from the sale of new vehicles manufactured by BMW, Honda (including Acura), Toyota (including Lexus), Mercedes, General Motors (including Cadillac) and Ford. Our success depends to a great extent on these manufacturers':

- financial condition;
- marketing;
- vehicle design;
- publicity concerning a particular manufacturer or vehicle model;
- · production capabilities;
- management;
- · reputation; and
- labor relations.

Events such as labor strikes that may adversely affect a manufacturer may also adversely affect us. In particular, labor strikes at a manufacturer that continue for a substantial period of time could have a material adverse effect on our business. Similarly, the delivery of vehicles from manufacturers at a time later than scheduled, which may occur particularly during periods of new product introductions, could limit sales of those vehicles during those periods. This has been experienced at some of our dealerships from time to time. Adverse conditions affecting these and other important aspects of manufacturers' operations and public relations may adversely affect our ability to sell their automobiles and, as a result, significantly and detrimentally affect our profitability.

On June 1, 2009, General Motors Corp. and certain of its subsidiaries ("General Motors") filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection. On July 10, 2009, General Motors emerged from bankruptcy as the new General Motors Company, with the former General Motors Corp. henceforth known as Motors Liquidation Company. As of September 30, 2009, we operated 33 General Motors franchises (under the Cadillac, Chevrolet, Hummer, Saab, Buick and Saturn nameplates) at 26 physical dealerships. Six of our General Motors dealerships, representing twelve franchises, including three Hummer franchises at multi-franchise dealerships, two Saab franchises at multi-franchise dealerships and one additional General Motors franchise at a multi-franchise dealership received letters stating that the franchise agreements between General Motors and us will not be continued by General Motors on a long-term basis. General Motors has offered assistance with winding down the operations of these franchises in exchange for our execution of termination agreements. We executed all of the termination agreements. Assistance to be received from General Motors totals \$3.3 million, of which \$0.7 million has been received as of September 30, 2009. The remaining assistance has not been recorded as a receivable from General Motors as of September 30, 2009 due to certain conditions required for the additional payments to occur had not yet been satisfied. The termination agreements provide for the following:

- The termination of the franchise agreement no earlier than January 1, 2010 and no later than October 31, 2010;
- The assignment and assumption of the franchise agreement by the purchaser of General Motors' assets;
- The payment of financial assistance to the franchisee in installments in connection with the orderly winding down of the franchise operations;
- The waiver of any other termination assistance of any kind that may have been required under the franchise agreement;
- The release of claims against General Motors or the purchaser of General Motors' assets and their related parties;
- The continuation of franchise operations pursuant to the franchise agreement, as supplemented by the termination agreement, through the effective date of termination of the franchise agreement, except that we shall not be entitled to order any new vehicles from General Motors or the purchaser of General Motors' assets: and
- A restriction on our ability to transfer the franchise agreement to another party.

For the remaining General Motors franchises we executed "continuation agreements" which require, among other things, that existing franchise agreements will expire no later than October 31, 2010. In consideration of the execution of the "continuation agreements" General Motors recommended to the bankruptcy court the continuation or assumption of our existing franchise agreements, as amended by the "continuation agreements". All of our franchises that executed "continuation agreements" were assumed by the post-bankruptcy General Motors. We cannot be assured that General Motors will renew our franchise agreements when they expire on October 31, 2010.

With the exception of: (1) product liability indemnifications, (2) amounts owed to us through incentive programs, (3) amounts currently owed to our franchises under their open accounts with General Motors and (4) warranty claims occurring within 90 days prior to June 1, 2009, all amounts owed to us from General Motors were extinguished as a result of the execution of the termination and continuation agreements. A motion was made by General Motors to the bankruptcy court and the motion was granted by the bankruptcy court allowing General Motors to pay the claims noted in (1) — (4) above. As a result, we have received payments related to all pre-bankruptcy claims.

On June 2, 2009, General Motors announced that Chinese equipment manufacturer Sichuan Tengzhong Heavy Industrial Machinery Co. ("STHIMC") will buy its Hummer brand. On October 9, 2009, the two parties signed a definitive asset purchase agreement. As of September 30, 2009, we operated three Hummer franchises at three dealership locations. It

is uncertain whether STHIMC will continue supporting the Hummer brand or whether STHIMC's ownership of the Hummer brand will have a positive or negative impact on our Hummer franchises' operations.

Although General Motors originally announced that Penske Automotive Group ("PAG"), will buy its Saturn brand, on September 30, 2009, General Motors announced PAG will not purchase the Saturn brand and it plans to discontinue the Saturn brand. As of September 30, 2009, we operated one Saturn franchise at one dealership location.

As our operations at the affected franchises that will not be renewed or will be discontinued wind down, we may be required to accelerate depreciation expenses and record impairment charges related to, but not limited to, lease obligations, fixed assets, franchise assets, accounts receivable and inventory. These charges could have a material adverse impact on our results, financial position and cash flows.

On April 30, 2009, Chrysler LLC filed for bankruptcy protection and submitted a plan of reorganization. On June 10, 2009, Fiat SpA purchased a substantial portion of Chrysler's assets which include rights related to our franchise agreements. As of June 30, 2009, we owned six Chrysler franchises at two dealership locations. It is uncertain whether Fiat will continue supporting the Chrysler brand or whether Fiat's ownership of the Chrysler brand will have a positive or negative impact on our Chrysler franchises' operations.

At September 30, 2009 we had the following balances recorded related to domestic manufacturers:

	Septe	in millions) ember 30, 2009
General Motors		
New Vehicle Inventory	\$	82.3
Parts Inventory		10.2
Factory Receivables		7.6
Property and Equipment, net		20.6
Franchise Assets		15.9
Ford (including Volvo)		
New Vehicle Inventory		50.7
Parts Inventory		3.9
Factory Receivables		4.2
Property and Equipment, net		8.9
Franchise Assets		2.2
Chrysler		
New Vehicle Inventory		1.0
Parts Inventory		1.1
Factory Receivables		0.1
Property and Equipment, net		1.5
Franchise Assets		_

The manner in which these manufacturers maintain relations with their franchisees may change as a result of the bankruptcy filings and subsequent emergence from bankruptcy. We can give no assurances that future practices of these manufacturers will be consistent with the way they have historically operated.

In addition, we rely on the manufacturer captive finance companies associated with these domestic manufacturers for new vehicle floor plan financing. The changes in circumstances related to any of these domestic manufacturers could result in an attempt by the related captive finance company to terminate our floor plan financing, which would have a material adverse impact on our operations and liquidity.

Manufacturer stock ownership restrictions may impair our ability to maintain or renew franchise agreements or issue additional equity.

Some of our franchise agreements prohibit transfers of any ownership interests of a dealership and, in some cases, its parent, without prior approval of the applicable manufacturer. A number of manufacturers impose restrictions on the transferability of our Class A common stock and our ability to maintain franchises if a person acquires a significant percentage of the voting power of our common stock. Our existing franchise agreements could be terminated if a person or entity acquires a substantial ownership interest in us or acquires voting power above certain levels without the applicable manufacturer's approval. Violations of these levels by an investor are generally outside of our control and may result in the termination or non-renewal of existing franchise agreements or impair our ability to negotiate new franchise agreements for dealerships we acquire in the future. In addition, if we cannot obtain any requisite approvals on a timely basis, we may not be able to issue additional equity or otherwise raise capital on terms acceptable to us. These restrictions may also prevent or deter a prospective acquirer from acquiring control of us. This could adversely affect the market price of our Class A common stock and also may limit our ability to restructure our debt obligations.

The current holders of our Class B common stock maintain voting control over us. However, we are unable to prevent our stockholders from transferring shares of our common stock, including transfers by holders of the Class B common stock. If such transfer results in a change in control, it could result in the termination or non-renewal of one or more of our existing franchise agreements, the triggering of provisions in our agreements with certain manufacturers requiring us to sell our dealerships franchised with such manufacturers and/or a default under our credit arrangements.

Our dealers depend upon vehicle sales and, therefore, their success depends in large part upon customer demand for the particular vehicles they carry.

The success of our dealerships depends in large part on the overall success of the vehicle lines they carry. New vehicle sales generate the majority of our total revenue and lead to sales of higher-margin products and services such as finance, insurance, vehicle protection products and other aftermarket products, and parts and service operations. Although we have sought to limit our dependence on any one vehicle brand and our parts and service operations and used vehicle sales may serve to offset some of this risk, we have focused our new vehicle sales operations in mid-line import and luxury brands.

Our failure to meet a manufacturer's customer satisfaction, financial and sales performance and facility requirements may adversely affect our ability to acquire new dealerships and our profitability.

Many manufacturers attempt to measure customers' satisfaction with their sales and warranty service experiences through manufacturer-determined CSI scores. The components of CSI vary from manufacturer to manufacturer and are modified periodically. Franchise agreements also may impose financial and sales performance standards. Under our agreements with certain manufacturers, a dealership's CSI scores, sales and financial performance may be considered a factor in evaluating applications for additional dealership acquisitions. From time to time, some of our dealerships have had difficulty meeting various manufacturers' CSI requirements or performance standards. We cannot assure you that our dealerships will be able to comply with these requirements in the future. A manufacturer may refuse to consent to an acquisition of one of its franchises if it determines our dealerships do not comply with its CSI requirements or performance standards, which could impair the execution of our acquisition strategy. In addition, we receive incentive payments from the manufacturers based, in part, on CSI scores, which could be materially adversely affected if our CSI scores decline

In addition, a manufacturer may condition its allotment of vehicles, participation in bonus programs, or acquisition of additional franchises upon our compliance with its facility standards. This may put us in a competitive disadvantage with other competing dealerships and may ultimately result in our decision to sell a franchise when we believe it may be difficult to recover the cost of the required investment to reach the manufacturer's facility standards.

If state dealer laws are repealed or weakened, our dealerships will be more susceptible to termination, non-renewal or renegotiation of their franchise agreements.

State dealer laws generally provide that a manufacturer may not terminate or refuse to renew a franchise agreement unless it has first provided the dealer with written notice setting forth good cause and stating the grounds for termination or nonrenewal. Some state dealer laws allow dealers to file protests or petitions or attempt to comply with the manufacturer's criteria within the notice period to avoid the termination or nonrenewal. Though unsuccessful to date, manufacturers' lobbying efforts may lead to the repeal or revision of state dealer laws. If dealer laws are repealed in the states in which we operate, manufacturers may be able to terminate our franchises without providing advance notice, an opportunity to cure or a showing of good cause. Without the protection of state dealer laws, it may also be more difficult for our dealers to renew their franchise agreements upon expiration.

In addition, these laws restrict the ability of automobile manufacturers to directly enter the retail market in the future. However, the ability of a manufacturer to grant additional franchises is based on several factors which are not within our

control. If manufacturers grant new franchises in areas near or within our existing markets, this could significantly impact our revenues and/or profitability. Further, if manufacturers obtain the ability to directly retail vehicles and do so in our markets, such competition could have a material adverse effect on us.

Risks Related to Our Acquisition Strategy

Pursuant to the terms of the most recent amendment to the 2006 Credit Facility, our ability to make acquisitions is restricted.

Pursuant to the most recent amendment to the 2006 Credit Facility, we are prohibited from making acquisitions. This restriction will continue until we either further amend or refinance the 2006 Credit Facility. Without the ability to make acquisitions, our growth strategy will be limited. In addition, due to these restrictions, we may forfeit the opportunity to acquire profitable dealerships at attractive valuations and may forfeit the opportunity for additional franchise awards from manufacturers.

We may not be able to capitalize on acquisition opportunities because our ability to obtain capital to fund these acquisitions is limited.

We intend to finance our acquisitions with cash generated from operations, through issuances of our stock or debt securities and through borrowings under credit arrangements. We may not be able to obtain additional financing by issuing stock or debt securities due to the market price of our Class A common stock, overall market conditions or the need for manufacturer consent to the issuance of equity securities. Using cash to complete acquisitions could substantially limit our operating or financial flexibility.

In addition, we are dependent to a significant extent on our ability to finance our new vehicle inventory with "floor plan financing." Floor plan financing arrangements allow us to borrow money to buy a particular vehicle from the manufacturer and pay off the loan when we sell that particular vehicle. We must obtain new floor plan financing or obtain consents to assume existing floor plan financing in connection with our acquisition of dealerships.

Substantially all the assets of our dealerships are pledged to secure the indebtedness under the 2006 Credit Facility and our separate floor plan indebtedness with lenders, including the captive finance subsidiaries of BMW, Ford, General Motors and Mercedes. In addition, in connection with the issuance of the 6.0% Convertible Notes, a second lien was granted on those assets pledged under the 2006 Credit Facility in favor of the 6.0% Convertible Notes holders. These pledges may impede our ability to borrow from other sources. Moreover, because the identified manufacturer captive finance subsidiaries are either owned or affiliated with BMW, Mercedes, Ford, General Motors, Nissan and Toyota, respectively, any deterioration of our relationship with the particular captive finance subsidiary could adversely affect our relationship with the affiliated manufacturer, and vice-versa.

Manufacturers' restrictions on acquisitions could limit our future growth.

Although we have currently suspended our plans to grow our business through acquisitions, we intend to grow through acquisitions in the future. We are required to obtain the approval of the applicable manufacturer before we can acquire an additional dealership franchise of that manufacturer. In determining whether to approve an acquisition, manufacturers may consider many factors such as our financial condition, CSI scores and our compliance with the particular manufacturer's facility requirements. Obtaining manufacturer approval of acquisitions also takes a significant amount of time, typically three to five months. We cannot assure you that manufacturers will approve future acquisitions or do so on a timely basis, which could impair the execution of our acquisition strategy.

Certain manufacturers also limit the number of its dealerships that we may own, our national market share of that manufacturer's products or the number of dealerships we may own in a particular geographic area. In addition, under an applicable franchise agreement or under state law, a manufacturer may have a right of first refusal to acquire a dealership that we seek to acquire.

A manufacturer may condition approval of an acquisition on the implementation of material changes in our operations or extraordinary corporate transactions, facilities improvements or other capital expenditures. If we are unable or unwilling to comply with these conditions, we may be required to sell the assets of that manufacturer's dealerships or terminate our franchise agreement.

Failure to effectively integrate acquired dealerships with our existing operations could adversely affect our future operating results.

Our future operating results depend on our ability to integrate the operations of recently acquired dealerships, as well as dealerships we acquire in the future, with our existing operations. In particular, we need to integrate our management information systems, procedures and organizational structures, which can be difficult. Our growth strategy has focused on the pursuit of strategic acquisitions that either expand or complement our business.

We cannot assure you that we will effectively and profitably integrate the operations of these dealerships without substantial costs, delays or operational or financial problems, due to:

- the difficulties of managing operations located in geographic areas where we have not previously operated;
- the management time and attention required to integrate and manage newly acquired dealerships;
- · the difficulties of assimilating and retaining employees;
- the challenges of keeping customers; and
- the challenge of retaining or attracting appropriate dealership management personnel.

These factors could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

We may not adequately anticipate all of the demands that growth through acquisitions will impose.

In pursuing a strategy of acquiring other dealerships, we face risks commonly encountered with growth through acquisitions. These risks include, but are not limited to:

- incurring significantly higher capital expenditures and operating expenses;
- failing to assimilate the operations and personnel of acquired dealerships;
- entering new markets with which we are unfamiliar;
- potential undiscovered liabilities and operational difficulties at acquired dealerships;
- · disrupting our ongoing business;
- · diverting our management resources;
- failing to maintain uniform standards, controls and policies;
- · impairing relationships with employees, manufacturers and customers as a result of changes in management;
- increased expenses for accounting and computer systems, as well as integration difficulties;
- failure to obtain a manufacturer's consent to the acquisition of one or more of its dealership franchises or renew the franchise agreement on terms acceptable to us;
- incorrectly valuing entities to be acquired.

We may not adequately anticipate all of the demands that growth will impose on our systems, procedures and structures.

We may not be able to reinstitute our acquisition strategy without the costs of future acquisitions escalating.

We have grown our business primarily through acquisitions. We may not be able to consummate any future acquisitions at acceptable prices and terms or identify suitable candidates. In addition, increased competition for acquisition candidates could result in fewer acquisition opportunities for us and higher acquisition prices. The magnitude, timing, pricing and nature of future acquisitions will depend upon various factors, including:

- the availability of suitable acquisition candidates;
- competition with other dealer groups for suitable acquisitions;
- the negotiation of acceptable terms with the seller and with the manufacturer;
- our financial capabilities and ability to obtain financing on acceptable terms;
- our stock price; and
- the availability of skilled employees to manage the acquired companies.

We may not be able to determine the actual financial condition of dealerships we acquire until after we complete the acquisition and take control of the dealerships.

The operating and financial condition of acquired businesses cannot be determined accurately until we assume control. Although we conduct what we believe to be a prudent level of investigation regarding the operating and financial condition of the businesses we purchase, in light of the circumstances of each transaction, an unavoidable level of risk remains regarding the actual operating condition of these businesses. Similarly, many of the dealerships we acquire, including some of our largest acquisitions, do not have financial statements audited or prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. We may not have an accurate understanding of the historical financial condition and performance of our acquired entities. Until we actually assume control of business assets and their operations, we may not be able to ascertain the actual value or understand the potential liabilities of the acquired entities and their operations.

Although O. Bruton Smith, our chairman and chief executive officer, and his affiliates have previously assisted us with obtaining financing, we cannot assure you that he or they will be willing or able to do so in the future.

Our obligations under the 2006 Credit Facility are secured with a pledge of five million shares of Speedway Motorsports, Inc. Common Stock, a publicly traded owner and operator of automobile racing facilities. These shares of Speedway Motorsports, Inc. Common Stock are owned by Sonic Financial Corporation ("SFC"), an entity controlled by Mr. Smith. Presently, the \$216.0 million borrowing limit of our 2006 Revolving Credit Sub-Facility is subject to a borrowing base calculation that is based, in part, on the value of the Speedway Motorsports shares pledged by SFC. Consequently, a withdrawal of this pledge by SFC or a significant decrease in the value of Speedway Motorsports common stock could reduce the amount we can borrow under the 2006 Revolving Credit Sub-Facility.

In the past, Mr. Smith has also guaranteed additional indebtedness incurred to complete certain dealership acquisitions. Mr. Smith may not be willing or able to provide similar guarantees or credit support in the future. This could impair our ability to obtain acquisition financing on favorable terms.

Risks Related to the Automotive Retail Industry

Increasing competition among automotive retailers reduces our profit margins on vehicle sales and related businesses. Further, the use of the Internet in the car purchasing process could materially adversely affect us.

Automobile retailing is a highly competitive business. Our competitors include publicly and privately owned dealerships, some of which are larger and have greater financial and marketing resources than we do. Many of our competitors sell the same or similar makes of new and used vehicles that we offer in our markets at competitive prices. We do not have any cost advantage in purchasing new vehicles from manufacturers due to economies of scale or otherwise. In addition, the popularity of short-term vehicle leasing in the past few years has resulted, as these leases expire, in a large increase in the number of late model used vehicles available in the market, which puts added pressure on new and used vehicle margins. We typically rely on advertising, merchandising, sales expertise, service reputation and dealership location to sell new vehicles. Our revenues and profitability could be materially adversely affected if manufacturers decide to enter the retail market directly.

Our F&I business and other related businesses, which have higher margins than sales of new and used vehicles, are subject to strong competition from various financial institutions and other third parties.

The Internet has become a significant part of the sales process in our industry. Customers are using the Internet to compare pricing for cars and related F&I services, which may further reduce margins for new and used cars and profits for related F&I services. If Internet new vehicle sales are allowed to be conducted without the involvement of franchised dealers, our business could be materially adversely affected. In addition, other franchise groups have aligned themselves with services offered on the Internet or are investing heavily in the development of their own Internet capabilities, which could materially adversely affect our business.

Our franchise agreements do not grant us the exclusive right to sell a manufacturer's product within a given geographic area. Our revenues or profitability could be materially adversely affected if any of our manufacturers award franchises to others in the same markets where we operate or if existing franchised dealers increase their market share in our markets.

We may face increasingly significant competition as we strive to gain market share through acquisitions or otherwise. Our operating margins may decline over time as we expand into markets where we do not have a leading position.

Our business will be harmed if overall consumer demand continues to suffer from a severe or sustained downturn.

Our business is heavily dependent on consumer demand and preferences. Our revenues have been materially and adversely affected by the recent downturn in overall levels of consumer spending. We expect this downturn to continue

through at least 2009 and into 2010. Retail vehicle sales are cyclical and historically have experienced periodic downturns characterized by oversupply and weak demand. These cycles are often dependent on general economic conditions and consumer confidence, as well as the level of discretionary personal income and credit availability. Economic conditions may have a material adverse effect on our retail business, particularly sales of new and used automobiles.

In addition, severe or sustained increases in gasoline prices may lead to a reduction in automobile purchases or a shift in buying patterns from luxury and sport utility vehicle models (which typically provide high margins to retailers) to smaller, more economical vehicles (which typically have lower margins).

A decline of available financing in the lending market has, and may continue to, adversely affect our vehicle sales volume.

A significant portion of vehicle buyers, particularly in the used car market, finance their purchases of automobiles. Sub-prime lenders have historically provided financing for consumers who, for a variety of reasons including poor credit histories and lack of down payment, do not have access to more traditional finance sources. In the event lenders further tighten their credit standards or there is a further decline in the availability of credit in the lending market, the ability of these consumers to purchase vehicles could be limited which could have a material adverse effect on our business, revenues and profitability.

Our business may be adversely affected by import product restrictions and foreign trade risks that may impair our ability to sell foreign vehicles profitably.

A significant portion of our new vehicle business involves the sale of vehicles, parts or vehicles composed of parts that are manufactured outside the United States. As a result, our operations are subject to customary risks of importing merchandise, including fluctuations in the relative values of currencies, import duties, exchange controls, trade restrictions, work stoppages and general political and socio-economic conditions in other countries. The United States or the countries from which our products are imported may, from time to time, impose new quotas, duties, tariffs or other restrictions, or adjust presently prevailing quotas, duties or tariffs, which may affect our operations and our ability to purchase imported vehicles and/or parts at reasonable prices.

The seasonality of our business magnifies the importance of second and third quarter operating results.

Our business is subject to seasonal variations in revenues. In our experience, demand for automobiles is generally lower during the first and fourth quarters of each year. We therefore receive a disproportionate amount of revenues generally in the second and third quarters and expect our revenues and operating results to be generally lower in the first and fourth quarters. Consequently, if conditions surface during the second and third quarters that impair vehicle sales, such as higher fuel costs, depressed economic conditions or similar adverse conditions, our revenues for the year could be adversely affected.

General Risks Related to Investing in Our Securities

Concentration of voting power and anti-takeover provisions of our charter, bylaws, Delaware law and our dealer agreements may reduce the likelihood of any potential change of control.

Our common stock is divided into two classes with different voting rights. This dual class stock ownership allows the present holders of the Class B common stock to control us. Holders of Class A common stock have one vote per share on all matters. Holders of Class B common stock have 10 votes per share on all matters, except that they have only one vote per share on any transaction proposed or approved by the Board of Directors or a Class B common stockholder or otherwise benefiting the Class B common stockholders constituting a:

- "going private" transaction;
- disposition of substantially all of our assets;
- transfer resulting in a change in the nature of our business; or
- merger or consolidation in which current holders of common stock would own less than 50% of the common stock following such transaction.

The holders of Class B common stock currently hold less than a majority of our outstanding common stock, but a majority of our voting power (which include O. Bruton Smith Sonic Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and Director, his

family members and entities they control). This may prevent or discourage a change of control of us even if the action was favored by holders of Class A common stock.

Our charter and bylaws make it more difficult for our stockholders to take corporate actions at stockholders' meetings. In addition, stock options, restricted stock and restricted stock units granted under our 1997 Stock Option Plan and 2004 Stock Incentive Plan become immediately exercisable or automatically vest upon a change in control. Delaware law also makes it difficult for stockholders who have recently acquired a large interest in a company to consummate a business combination transaction with the company against its directors' wishes. Finally, restrictions imposed by our dealer agreements may impede or prevent any potential takeover bid. Generally, our franchise agreements allow the manufacturers the right to terminate the agreements upon a change of control of our company and impose restrictions upon the transferability of any significant percentage of our stock to any one person or entity who may be unqualified, as defined by the manufacturer, to own one of its dealerships. The inability of a person or entity to qualify with one or more of our manufacturers may prevent or seriously impede a potential takeover bid. In addition, provisions of our lending arrangements create an event of default on a change in control. These agreements, corporate governance documents and laws may have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control or preventing stockholders from realizing a premium on the sale of their shares if we were acquired.

The outcome of legal and administrative proceedings we are or may become involved in could have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations and profitability.

We are involved, and expect to continue to be involved, in numerous legal and administrative proceedings arising out of the conduct of our business, including regulatory investigations and private civil actions brought by plaintiffs purporting to represent a potential class or for which a class has been certified.

Several private civil actions have been filed against Sonic Automotive, Inc. and several of our dealership subsidiaries that purport to represent classes of customers as potential plaintiffs and make allegations that certain products sold in the finance and insurance departments were done so in a deceptive or otherwise illegal manner. One of these private civil actions has been filed in South Carolina state court against Sonic Automotive, Inc. and 10 of our South Carolina subsidiaries. This group of plaintiffs' attorneys has filed another one of these private civil class action lawsuits in state court in North Carolina seeking certification of a multi-state class of plaintiffs. The South Carolina state court action and the North Carolina state court action have since been consolidated into a single proceeding in private arbitration.

The outcomes of the civil actions brought by plaintiffs purporting to represent a class of customers, as well as other pending and future legal proceedings arising out of the conduct of our business, including litigation with customers, employment related lawsuits, contractual disputes, class actions, purported class actions and actions brought by governmental authorities, cannot be predicted with certainty. An unfavorable resolution of one or more of these matters could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows or prospects.

Our company is a defendant in the matter of *Galura*, et al. v. Sonic Automotive, Inc., a private civil action filed in the Circuit Court of Hillsborough County, Florida. In this action, originally filed on December 30, 2002, the plaintiffs allege that we and our Florida dealerships sold an antitheft protection product in a deceptive or otherwise illegal manner, and further sought representation on behalf of any customer of any of our Florida dealerships who purchased the antitheft protection product since December 30, 1998. The plaintiffs are seeking monetary damages and injunctive relief on behalf of this class of customers. In June 2005, the court granted the plaintiffs' motion for certification of the requested class of customers, but the court has made no finding to date regarding actual liability in this lawsuit. We have subsequently filed a notice of appeal of the court's class certification ruling with the Florida Court of Appeals. In April 2007, the Florida Court of Appeals affirmed a portion of the trial court's class certification, and overruled a portion of the trial court's class certification order, and to assert available defenses. However, an adverse resolution of this lawsuit, including the aforementioned appeal of the trial court's class certification order, and to assert available defenses. However, an adverse resolution of this lawsuit in the payment of significant costs and damages, which could have a material adverse effect on our future results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

Our business may be adversely affected by claims alleging violations of laws and regulations in our advertising, sales and finance and insurance activities.

Our business is highly regulated. In the past several years, private plaintiffs and state attorney generals have increased their scrutiny of advertising, sales, and finance and insurance activities in the sale and leasing of motor vehicles. The conduct of our business is subject to numerous federal, state and local laws and regulations regarding unfair, deceptive and/or fraudulent trade practices (including advertising, marketing, sales, insurance, repair and promotion practices), truth-in-

lending, consumer leasing, fair credit practices, equal credit opportunity, privacy, insurance, motor vehicle finance, installment finance, closed-end credit, usury and other installment sales. Claims arising out of actual or alleged violations of law may be asserted against us or any of our dealers by individuals, either individually or through class actions, or by governmental entities in civil or criminal investigations and proceedings. Such actions may expose us to substantial monetary damages and legal defense costs, injunctive relief and criminal and civil fines and penalties, including suspension or revocation of our licenses and franchises to conduct dealership operations.

Our business may be adversely affected by unfavorable conditions in our local markets, even if those conditions are not prominent nationally.

Our performance is subject to local economic, competitive, weather and other conditions prevailing in geographic areas where we operate. We may not be able to expand geographically and any geographic expansion may not adequately insulate us from the adverse effects of local or regional economic conditions. In addition, due to the provisions and terms contained in our operating lease agreements, we may not be able to relocate a dealership operation to a more favorable location without incurring significant costs or penalties.

The loss of key personnel and limited management and personnel resources could adversely affect our operations and growth.

Our success depends to a significant degree upon the continued contributions of our management team, particularly our senior management, and service and sales personnel. Additionally, manufacturer franchise agreements may require the prior approval of the applicable manufacturer before any change is made in franchise general managers. We do not have employment agreements with certain members of our senior management team, our dealership managers and other key dealership personnel. Consequently, the loss of the services of one or more of these key employees could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

In addition, as we expand we may need to hire additional managers. The market for qualified employees in the industry and in the regions in which we operate, particularly for general managers and sales and service personnel, is highly competitive and may subject us to increased labor costs during periods of low unemployment. The loss of the services of key employees or the inability to attract additional qualified managers could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. In addition, the lack of qualified management or employees employed by potential acquisition candidates may limit our ability to consummate future acquisitions.

Governmental regulation and environmental regulation compliance costs may adversely affect our profitability.

We are subject to a wide range of federal, state and local laws and regulations, such as local licensing requirements, retail financing and consumer protection laws and regulations, and wage-hour, anti-discrimination and other employment practices laws and regulations. Our facilities and operations are also subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to environmental protection and human health and safety, including those governing wastewater discharges, air emissions, the operation and removal of underground and aboveground storage tanks, the use, storage, treatment, transportation, release, recycling and disposal of solid and hazardous materials and wastes and the cleanup of contaminated property or water. The violation of these laws and regulations can result in administrative, civil or criminal penalties against us or in a cease and desist order against our operations that are not in compliance. Our future acquisitions may also be subject to regulation, including antitrust reviews. We believe that we comply in all material respects with all laws and regulations applicable to our business, but future regulations may be more stringent and require us to incur significant additional compliance costs.

Our past and present business operations are subject to environmental laws and regulations. We may be required by these laws to pay the full amount of the costs of investigation and/or remediation of contaminated properties, even if we are not at fault for disposal of the materials or if such disposal was legal at the time. Like many of our competitors, we have incurred, and will continue to incur, capital and operating expenditures and other costs in complying with these laws and regulations. In addition, soil and groundwater contamination exists at certain of our properties. We cannot assure you that our other properties have not been or will not become similarly contaminated. In addition, we could become subject to potentially material new or unforeseen environmental costs or liabilities because of our acquisitions.

Potential conflicts of interest between us and our officers or directors could adversely affect our future performance.

O. Bruton Smith serves as the chairman and chief executive officer of Speedway Motorsports. Accordingly, we compete with Speedway Motorsports for the management time of Mr. Smith.

We have in the past and will likely in the future enter into transactions with Mr. Smith, entities controlled by Mr. Smith or our other affiliates. We believe that all of our existing arrangements with affiliates are as favorable to us as if the arrangements were negotiated between unaffiliated parties, although the majority of these transactions have neither been verified by third parties in that regard nor are likely to be so verified in the future. Potential conflicts of interest could arise in the future between us and our officers or directors in the enforcement, amendment or termination of arrangements existing between them.

We may be subject to substantial withdrawal liability assessments in the future related to a multi-employer pension plan to which certain of our dealerships make contributions pursuant to collective bargaining agreements.

Seven of our dealership subsidiaries in Northern California currently make fixed-dollar contributions to the Automotive Industries Pension Plan (the "AI Pension Plan") pursuant to collective bargaining agreements between our subsidiaries and the International Association of Machinists (the "IAM"). The AI Pension Plan is a "multi-employer pension plan" as defined under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended, and our seven dealership subsidiaries are among approximately 120 automobile dealerships that make contributions to the AI Pension Plan pursuant to collective bargaining agreements with the IAM. In June 2006, we received information that the AI Pension Plan was substantially underfunded as of December 31, 2005. In July 2007, we received updated information that the AI Pension Plan continued to be substantially underfunded as of December 31, 2006, with the amount of such underfunding increasing versus year end 2005. In March 2008, the Board of Trustees of the AI Pension Plan notified participants, participating employers and local unions that the Plan's actuary, in accordance with the requirements of the federal Pension Protection Act of 2006, had issued a certification that the AI Pension Plan is in Critical Status effective with the plan year commencing January 1, 2008. In conjunction with this finding, the Board of Trustees of the AI Pension Plan adopted a Rehabilitation Plan that implements reductions or eliminations of certain adjustable benefits that were previously available under the Plan (including some forms of early retirement benefits, and disability and death benefits), and also implements a requirement on all participating employers to increase employer contributions to the Plan for a seven year period commencing in 2013. Under applicable federal law, any employer contributing to a multiemployer pension plan that completely ceases participating in the plan while the plan is underfunded is subject to payment of such employer's assessed share of the aggregate unfunded vested benefits of the plan. In certain circumstances, an employer can be assessed withdrawal liability for a partial withdrawal from a multi-employer pension plan. In addition, if the financial condition of the AI Pension Plan were to continue to deteriorate to the point that the Plan is forced to terminate and be assumed by the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, the participating employers could be subject to assessments by the PBGC to cover the participating employers' assessed share of the unfunded vested benefits. If any of these adverse events were to occur in the future, it could result in a substantial withdrawal liability assessment that could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

A change in historical experience and/or assumptions used to estimate reserves could have a material impact on our earnings.

As described in Item 7 under the heading "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Use of Estimates and Critical Accounting Policies" in our Current Report on Form 8-K furnished to the SEC on August 21, 2009, our estimates for finance, insurance and service contracts and insurance reserves are based on historical experience. Differences between actual results and our historical experiences and/or our assumptions could have a material impact on our earnings in the period of the change and in periods subsequent to the change.

A further impairment of our goodwill could have a material adverse impact on our earnings.

Pursuant to applicable accounting pronouncements, we test goodwill for impairment annually or more frequently if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount. We describe the process for testing goodwill more thoroughly in our Current Report on Form 8-K furnished to the SEC on August 21, 2009, in Item 7 under the heading "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations —Use of Estimates and Critical Accounting Policies." If we determine that the amount of our goodwill is impaired at any point in time, we are required to reduce goodwill on our balance sheet. Based on the results of Sonic's step one test as of December 31, 2008, we were required to complete step two of the impairment evaluation. Sonic recorded an estimated goodwill impairment charge in continuing operations of \$787.9 million at December 31, 2008 and a \$9.5 million charge related to franchises held for sale in discontinued operations. An estimate was recorded because we had not finalized the valuation of certain assets and liabilities that are necessary for us to complete our evaluation. During the first quarter of 2009, we completed the valuation of these certain assets and liabilities. Based on the completion of our evaluation of the goodwill impairment in the first quarter of 2009, no adjustment to the estimate that was recorded at December 31, 2008 was required. As of December 31, 2008, after recording this charge for impairment, our balance sheet reflected a carrying amount of approximately \$481.9 million in goodwill (including goodwill classified as assets held for

sale). If goodwill is further impaired based on a future impairment test, we will record another non-cash impairment charge that may also have a material adverse effect on our earnings for the period in which the impairment of goodwill occurs. As of September 30, 2009, our balance sheet reflected a carrying amount of approximately \$474.1 million in goodwill classified as assets held for sale).

Item 2: Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Issuer Repurchases of Equity Securities

The following table sets forth information about the shares of Class A Common Stock we repurchased during the third quarter ended September 30, 2009:

(in thousands, except price per share amounts)

	Total Number of Shares Purchased (1)	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs (2)	Value o May Ye Under	oximate Dollar of Shares That et Be Purchased r the Plans or Programs
July 2009	0	\$ —	0	\$	44,624
August 2009	0	\$ —	0	\$	44,624
September 2009	0	\$ —	0	\$	44,624
Total	0	\$ —	0	\$	44.264

⁽¹⁾ Shares repurchased were a result of the delivery of shares by employees and officers in satisfaction of withholding tax obligations upon vesting of restricted stock and restricted stock units.

⁽²⁾ Our publicly announced Class A Common Stock repurchase authorizations occurred as follows:

	(in	thousands)
November 1999	\$	25,000
February 2000		25,000
December 2000		25,000
May 2001		25,000
August 2002		25,000
February 2003		20,000
December 2003		20,000
July 2004		20,000
July 2007		30,000
October 2007		40,000
April 2008		40,000
Total	\$	295,000

Share repurchases and the payment of dividends are expressly prohibited by our 2006 Credit Facility, with limited exceptions.

Table of Contents

Item 4: Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

A Special Meeting of Stockholders of Sonic Automotive, Inc. ("Sonic") was held August 19, 2009, for the purpose of approving the issuance of shares of Class A common stock upon the conversion of Sonic's 6.0% Senior Secured Convertible Notes due 2012 (the "6.0% Convertible Notes") at the conversion price of \$4.00, subject of further adjustment, as described in the 6.0% Convertible Notes indenture (filed as Exhibit 4.5 to Sonic's registration statement on Form S-3 (Reg. No. 333-160452).

	Votes For	Votes Against	Votes Abstained
Approval of the issuance of shares of Class A common stock upon conversion of our 6.0% senior secured convertible notes due 2012 at the conversion price	139,783,541	59,256	21,713
66			

Item 6: Exhibits.

(a) Exhibits:

Exhibit No.	Description
1.1	Underwriting Agreement (Class A common stock) dated as of September 17, 2009 by and among Sonic Automotive, Inc. and J.P. Morgan Securities, Inc. and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Incorporated, as representatives of the several underwriters named therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.1 to the September 17, 2009 Form 8-K).
1.2	Underwriting Agreement (convertible senior notes) dated as of September 17, 2009 by and among Sonic Automotive, Inc. and J.P. Morgan Securities, Inc. and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Incorporated, as representatives of the several underwriters named therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.2 to the September 17, 2009 Form 8-K).
4.1	Form of 5.0% Convertible Senior Note due October 2029 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the September 2009 Form 8-K (Reg. No. 333-1691519)).
4.2	Indenture dated as of September 23, 2009 by and among Sonic Automotive, Inc, the guarantors named therein, and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the September 2009 Form 8-K).
4.3	First Supplemental Indenture dated as of September 23, 2009 to the Base Indenture between Sonic and the Trustee (the Supplemental Indenture" and together with the Base Indenture, the "Indenture") (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the September 2009 Form 8-K).
10.1	Amendment No. 6 to Credit Agreement dated September 11, 2009.
10.2	Notes Purchase Agreement
	67

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description
31.1	Certification of Mr. David P. Cosper pursuant to rule 13a-14(a)
31.2	Certification of Mr. O. Bruton Smith pursuant to rule 13a-14(a)
32.1	Certification of Mr. David P. Cosper pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certification of Mr. O. Bruton Smith pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
(1) Indicates	a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

68

Forward Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains numerous "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements address our future objectives, plans and goals, as well as our intent, beliefs and current expectations regarding future operating performance, and can generally be identified by words such as "may," "will," "should," "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "intend," "plan," "foresee" and other similar words or phrases. Specific events addressed by these forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to:

- future acquisitions or dispositions;
- · industry trends;
- future liquidity trends or needs;
- general economic trends, including employment rates and consumer confidence levels;
- vehicle sales rates and same store sales growth;
- future covenant compliance;
- our financing plans and our ability to repay or refinance existing debt when due; and
- · our business and growth strategies.

These forward-looking statements are based on our current estimates and assumptions and involve various risks and uncertainties. As a result, you are cautioned that these forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance, and that actual results could differ materially from those projected in these forward-looking statements. Factors which may cause actual results to differ materially from our projections include those risks described in Item 1A of Exhibit 99.1 in our Current Report on Form 8-K furnished to the SEC on August 21, 2009 and Item 1A of this Form 10-Q and elsewhere in this report, as well as:

- the number of new and used cars sold in the United States generally, and as compared to our expectations and the expectations of the market;
- our ability to generate sufficient cash flows or obtain additional financing to refinance existing debt and to fund acquisitions, capital expenditures, our share repurchase program, dividends on our Common Stock and general operating activities;
- the reputation and financial condition of vehicle manufacturers whose brands we represent, the terms of any bailout of any such manufacturer by the U.S. government or other government and the success or failure of such a bailout, the financial incentives vehicle manufacturers offer and their ability to design, manufacture, deliver and market their vehicles successfully;
- · our relationships with manufacturers, which may affect our ability to complete additional acquisitions;
- changes in laws and regulations governing the operation of automobile franchises, accounting standards, taxation requirements, and environmental laws;
- general economic conditions in the markets in which we operate, including fluctuations in interest rates, employment levels, the level of consumer spending and consumer credit availability;
- the terms of any refinancing of our existing indebtedness;
- high competition in the automotive retailing industry, which not only creates pricing pressures on the products and services we offer, but on businesses we seek to acquire;
- the timing of and our ability to generate liquidity through asset dispositions, as well as the timing of our ability to successfully integrate recent and potential future acquisitions; and
- the rate and timing of overall economic recovery or additional decline.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

SONIC AUTOMOTIVE, INC.

By: /s/ O. BRUTON SMITH Date: October 30, 2009

O. Bruton Smith

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ DAVID P. COSPER

David P. Cosper Date: October 30, 2009

Vice Chairman and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial

70

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	Description
1.1	Underwriting Agreement (Class A common stock) dated as of September 17, 2009 by and among Sonic Automotive, Inc. and J.P. Morgan Securities, Inc. and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Incorporated, as representatives of the several underwriters named therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.1 to the September 17, 2009 Form 8-K).
1.2	Underwriting Agreement (convertible senior notes) dated as of September 17, 2009 by and among Sonic Automotive, Inc. and J.P. Morgan Securities, Inc. and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Incorporated, as representatives of the several underwriters named therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.2 to the September 17, 2009 Form 8-K).
4.1	Form of 5.0% Convertible Senior Note due October 2029 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the September 2009 Form 8-K (Reg. No. 333-1691519)).
4.2	Indenture dated as of September 23, 2009 by and among Sonic Automotive, Inc, the guarantors named therein, and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the September 2009 Form 8-K).
4.3	First Supplemental Indenture dated as of September 23, 2009 to the Base Indenture between Sonic and the Trustee (the Supplemental Indenture" and together with the Base Indenture, the "Indenture") (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the September 2009 Form 8-K).
10.1	Amendment No. 6 to Credit Agreement dated September 11, 2009.
10.2	Notes Purchase Agreement
	71

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description
31.1	Certification of Mr. David P. Cosper pursuant to rule 13a-14(a)
31.2	Certification of Mr. O. Bruton Smith pursuant to rule 13a-14(a)
32.1	Certification of Mr. David P. Cosper pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certification of Mr. O. Bruton Smith pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

⁽¹⁾ Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

AMENDMENT NO. 6 TO CREDIT AGREEMENT

This **AMENDMENT NO. 6 TO CREDIT AGREEMENT** (this "Amendment") dated as of September 11, 2009 is made by and among SONIC AUTOMOTIVE, INC., a Delaware corporation (the "Company"), CERTAIN SUBSIDIARIES OF THE COMPANY party to the Credit Agreement (as defined below) pursuant to Section 2.24 of the Credit Agreement (each a "New Vehicle Borrower" and together with the Company, the "Borrowers" and each individually a "Borrower"), BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., a national banking association organized and existing under the laws of the United States ("Bank of America"), in its capacity as administrative agent for the Lenders (as defined in the Credit Agreement referred to below) (in such capacity, the "Administrative Agent"), and as Revolving Swing Line Lender, New Vehicle Swing Line Lender, Used Vehicle Swing Line Lender and L/C Issuer, those existing Lenders under such Credit Agreement party hereto, and each of the Guarantors (as defined in the Credit Agreement) signatory hereto.

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, the Company, the New Vehicle Borrowers, Bank of America, as Administrative Agent, Revolving Swing Line Lender, New Vehicle Swing Line Lender, Used Vehicle Swing Line Lender and L/C Issuer, and the Lenders have entered into that certain Credit Agreement dated as of February 17, 2006, as amended by (i) that certain Amendment No. 1 to Credit Agreement and Security Agreement dated as of May 25, 2006, (ii) that certain Amendment No. 2 to Credit Agreement and Security Agreement dated as of April 24, 2007, (iii) that certain Amendment No. 3 to Credit Agreement dated as of June 3, 2008, (iv) that certain (A) Limited Short-Term Amendment to Credit Agreement until May 4, 2009 and (B) Amendment No. 4 to Credit Agreement and Consolidated Amendment to Other Loan Documents dated as of March 31, 2009 and (v) that certain Amendment No. 5 to Credit Agreement dated as of May 4, 2009 (as hereby amended and as from time to time further amended, modified, supplemented, restated, or amended and restated, the "Credit Agreement"; capitalized terms used in this Amendment and not otherwise defined herein shall have the respective meanings given thereto in the Credit Agreement), pursuant to which the Lenders (a) have made available to the Company (i) the Revolving Credit Facility, including a letter of credit facility, and (ii) the Used Vehicle Floorplan Facility, including a used vehicle floorplan swing line facility, and (b) have made available to the Borrowers the New Vehicle Floorplan Facility, including a new vehicle floorplan swing line facility; and

WHEREAS, the Company has entered into the Company Guaranty pursuant to which it has guaranteed the payment and performance of the obligations of the New Vehicle Borrowers under the Credit Agreement and the other Loan Documents; and

WHEREAS, each of the other Guarantors has entered into a Subsidiary Guaranty pursuant to which it has guaranteed (subject to certain limitations set forth therein with respect to the Guarantors that are Silo Subsidiaries) the payment and performance of the obligations of each Borrower under the Credit Agreement and the other Loan Documents; and

WHEREAS, the Company and the respective Loan Parties that are parties thereto have entered into the Security Agreement, the Pledge Agreement and other Security Instruments, securing the Obligations under the Credit Agreement and other Loan Documents; and

WHEREAS, the Company has advised the Administrative Agent and the Lenders that it desires to amend certain provisions of the Credit Agreement to, among other things, (i) amend the definition of "Permitted Indenture Refinancing Indebtedness" and clarify certain covenants in connection with a refinancing of the 2002-4.25% Indenture Indebtedness, (ii) clarify the definition of "Net Cash Proceeds" and (iii) make certain typographical corrections, in each case as more particularly set forth below, and the Administrative Agent and the Lenders signatory hereto are willing to effect such amendments on the terms and conditions contained in this Amendment;

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the premises and further valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the parties hereto agree as follows:

- 1. Amendments to Credit Agreement. Subject to the terms and conditions set forth herein, the Credit Agreement is hereby amended as follows:
- (a) The definition of "Collateral" in Section 1.01 of the Credit Agreement is amended by adding the following sentence at the end of the definition"

 Notwithstanding the foregoing, during the period on or prior to December 31, 2009, "Collateral" shall not include cash proceeds of (A) the Special 2002 4.25% Refinancing Indebtedness or (B) the issuance of Class A Common Stock of the Company in accordance with Section 7.16(a)(ii)(C); provided, however, that,
- (b) The definition of "Net Cash Proceeds" in Section 1.01 of the Credit Agreement is amended so that, as amended, the definition shall read as follows:
 - "Net Cash Proceeds" means, with respect to any Disposition by any Loan Party or any of its Subsidiaries, the excess, if any, of:

commencing on January 1, 2010, such cash proceeds shall immediately and automatically be deemed to be included in "Collateral."

- (i) the sum of cash and cash equivalents received in connection with such transaction (including any cash or cash equivalents received by way of deferred payment pursuant to, or by monetization of, a note receivable or otherwise, but only as and when so received) over
 - (ii) the sum of
- (A) (1) with respect to any Disposition occurring on or before May 4, 2009, the principal amount of any purchase money Indebtedness that is secured by the applicable asset and that is required to be repaid in connection with such transaction (other than Indebtedness under the Loan Documents), and (2)

commencing on May 7, 2009, with respect to any Disposition occurring on or after May 5, 2009, any Indebtedness that is secured by the applicable asset and that is required to be repaid in connection with such transaction (including any New Vehicle Floorplan Loans or Used Vehicle Floorplan Loans required to be repaid in connection therewith but excluding any Indebtedness under the Revolving Credit Facility and 2009 Indenture Indebtedness), and (in the case of clause (2)) any net obligations of such Person under any Swap Contract that relates to such Indebtedness and is also required by the terms of such Swap Contract to be repaid,

- (B) the reasonable and customary out-of-pocket expenses incurred by such Loan Party or such Subsidiary in connection with such transaction and
- (C) income taxes reasonably estimated to be actually payable within two years of the date of the relevant transaction as a result of any gain recognized in connection therewith; provided that, if the amount of any estimated taxes pursuant to subclause (C) exceeds the amount of taxes actually required to be paid in cash in respect of such Disposition, the aggregate amount of such excess shall constitute Net Cash Proceeds.
- (c) The definition of "Permitted Indenture Refinancing Indebtedness" in Section 1.01 of the Credit Agreement is amended so that, as amended, the definition shall read as follows:

"Permitted Indenture Refinancing Indebtedness" means any refinancings, replacements, refundings, renewals or extensions of the 2009 Indenture Indebtedness, the 2002-4.25% Indenture Indebtedness, the 2002-4.25% Indenture Indebtedness or any Permitted Indenture Refinancing Indebtedness, provided, that (i) the amount of such Indebtedness is not increased at the time of such refinancing, replacement, refunding, renewal or extension (such refinancing, replacement, refunding, renewal or extension being referred to hereafter as the "Applicable Refinancing"), except for a Temporary 2002-4.25% Indebtedness Increase that does not exceed \$175,000,000 in the aggregate and does not continue beyond December 31, 2009, and (ii) such Indebtedness, after giving effect to the Applicable Refinancing, (A) (subject to the sentence below regarding the Special 2002-4.25% Refinancing Indebtedness) is not secured by any property other than property that secured such Indebtedness prior to the Applicable Refinancing, (B) does not have any obligor or guarantor other than the obligors or guarantors of such Indebtedness prior to the Applicable Refinancing, replacement, refunding, renewal or extension of the 2002-4.25% Indenture Indebtedness (or of any Permitted Indenture Refinancing Indebtedness with respect to the 2002-4.25% Indenture Indebtedness, (C) other than with respect to any refinancing, replacement, refunding, renewal or extension of the 2009 Indenture Indebtedness or the 2009 Indenture Indebtedness (or of any Permitted Indenture Refinancing

Indebtedness with respect to the 2009 Indenture Indebtedness or the 2002-4.25% Indenture Indebtedness), is subordinated to payment of the Obligations on terms that are no less favorable to the Lenders and the other Secured Parties in any respect than the subordination provisions contained in the applicable Indebtedness prior to the Applicable Refinancing, provided that the holders of any Indebtedness arising from the Applicable Refinancing of the 2009 Indenture Indebtedness (or of any Permitted Indenture Refinancing Indebtedness with respect thereto) enter into an intercreditor agreement with the Administrative Agent that is at least as favorable to the Administrative Agent and the Secured Parties as the 2009 Indenture Notes Intercreditor Agreement (except that (1) no such intercreditor agreement shall be required in the case of the Special 2002-4.25% Refinancing Indebtedness or any Permitted Indenture Refinancing Indebtedness with respect thereto, and (2) nothing contained in this clause (C) shall suggest that any Applicable Refinancing of the 2002-4.25% Indenture Indebtedness (or of any Permitted Indenture Refinancing Indebtedness with respect thereto) may be secured), (D) is subordinated in rights to collateral on terms that are no less favorable to the Lenders and the other Secured Parties in any respect than the collateral subordination provisions, if any, contained in the applicable Indebtedness prior to the Applicable Refinancing, (E) does not have a maturity, and does not require any principal payments, earlier than two (2) years following the Maturity Date, and (F) has terms that are no more restrictive than the terms of the Loan Documents; and provided further that, after giving effect to the issuance of such Indebtedness, no Event of Default shall have occurred and be continuing or would occur as a result thereof. Notwithstanding clause (ii)(C) above, the Borrower may incur, on one occasion only, prior to December 31, 2009, Permitted Indenture Refinancing Indebtedness the proceeds of which will be used by the Borrower to refinance, replace, refund, renew or extend certain 2009 Indenture Indebtedness and 2002-4.25% Indenture Indebtedness (such Permitted Indenture Refinancing Indebtedness being referred to as the "Special 2002-4.25% Refinancing Indebtedness"), without requiring the holders of such Indebtedness to deliver an intercreditor agreement, provided that (x) the aggregate principal amount of the Special 2002-4.25% Indenture Indebtedness is not greater than \$175,000,000, (y) the Special 2002-4.25% Indenture Indebtedness (and any Permitted Indenture Refinancing Indebtedness with respect thereto) is unsecured, and (z) the Special 2002-4.25% Indenture Indebtedness satisfies the requirements of clause (ii) and clauses (ii)(B), (D), (E) and (F) above, and any other requirements (other than clause (ii)(C)) of the definition of "Permitted Indenture Refinancing Indebtedness."

(d) The following definition of "Special 2002-4.25% Refinancing Indebtedness" is added to Section 1.01 of the Credit Agreement, in the appropriate alphabetical order: "Special 2002-4.25% Refinancing Indebtedness" has the meaning specified within the text of the definition of "Permitted Indenture Refinancing Indebtedness."

- (e) The following definition of "Temporary 2002-4.25% Excess Cash" is added to Section 1.01 of the Credit Agreement, in the appropriate alphabetical order:
 - "Temporary 2002-4.25% Excess Cash" means cash proceeds received by the Company from the issuance of Class A Common Stock of the Company in accordance with Section 7.16(a)(ii)(C) or the issuance of Special 2002-4.25% Refinancing Indebtedness, which cash (as set forth in a notice delivered by the Company to the Administrative Agent) is intended by the Company to be applied to the prepayment or purchase (whether by open market purchase or pursuant to a tender offer) of the 2002-4.25% Indenture Notes or the 2009 Indenture Notes, but has not yet been so applied solely because the Company has not completed such prepayment or repurchase.
- (f) The following definition of "Temporary 2002-4.25% Indebtedness Increase" is added to Section 1.01 of the Credit Agreement, in the appropriate alphabetical order:
 - "Temporary 2002-4.25% Indebtedness Increase" means the circumstance that, during the period from the incurrence of the Special 2002-4.25% Refinancing Indebtedness to refinance, replace, refund, renew or extend the 2002-4.25% Indenture Indebtedness or the 2009 Indenture Indebtedness and the date of the actual refinancing, replacement, refunding, renewal or extension of the 2002-4.25% Indenture Indebtedness or 2009 Indenture Indebtedness (the "Indebtedness Increase Period"), the aggregate of the outstanding amounts of the Special 2002-4.25% Refinancing Indebtedness, the 2002-4.25% Indenture Indebtedness and the 2009 Indenture Indebtedness and the 2009 Indenture Indebtedness immediately prior to the incurrence of the Special 2002-4.25% Refinancing Indebtedness solely because the Company has not yet been able to complete any prepayment or purchase (whether by open market purchase or pursuant to a tender offer) of the 2002-4.25% Indenture Indebtedness or the 2009 Indenture Indebtedness; provided that (a) in no event shall the aggregate amount of the Temporary 2002-4.25% Indebtedness Increase exceed \$175,000,000 and (b) in no event shall the Indebtedness Increase Period (or the existence of such Temporary 2002-4.25% Indebtedness Increase) continue beyond December 31, 2009.
- (g) The definition of "2002-4.25% Indenture Indebtedness" in Section 1.01 of the Credit Agreement is amended by inserting the phrase "or replaced" immediately after the phrase "are refinanced".
- (h) The definition of "2002-4.25% Indenture Notes Restructure" in Section 1.01 of the Credit Agreement is amended so that, as amended, the definition shall read as follows:
 - "2002-4.25% Indenture Notes Restructure" means the restructuring or replacement of the 2002-4.25% Notes upon the following terms and conditions: (i) the outstanding balance of the Indebtedness evidenced by the 2002-4.25%

Indenture Notes immediately prior to such refinancing or replacement is not increased, except for a Temporary 2002-4.25% Indebtedness Increase that does not exceed \$175,000,000 and does not continue beyond December 31, 2009, (ii) the maturity of the 2002-4.25% Indenture Notes (as refinanced or replaced) is not shorter than the maturity of the 2002-4.25% Indenture Notes immediately prior to such refinancing or replacement, and no principal payments or repurchases of the 2002-4.25% Indenture Notes (as refinanced or replaced) are required to be made any earlier than they would have been made under the terms of the 2002-4.25% Indenture Notes prior to such refinancing or replacement, (iii) the 2002-4.25% Indenture Notes (as refinanced or replaced) are unsecured, and (iv) the Company complies with the limit on aggregate interest payments payable with the respect to the 2009 Indenture Notes and the 2002-4.25% Indenture Notes (including such 2009 Indenture Notes or 2002-4.25% Indenture Notes, as refinanced or replaced, as permitted hereby) contained in Section 7.16. The refinancing or replacement of the 2002-4.25% Indenture Notes may include the elimination of their subordination in payment priority and may be accomplished by the incurrence of the Special 2002-4.25% Refinancing Indebtedness.

- (i) Section 6.20 of the Credit Agreement is amended by adding the following new subsection (c) at the end of that Section:
 - (c) Notwithstanding the foregoing, if (i) the Company establishes a new Deposit Account that contains only cash proceeds of (A) Permitted Indenture Refinancing Indebtedness with respect to the 2002-4.25% Indenture Notes or the 2009 Indenture Notes or (B) the issuance of Class A Common Stock of the Company in accordance with Section 7.16(a)(ii)(C), and (ii) the Company intends to use such proceeds solely to make prepayments or purchases (whether by open market purchase or pursuant to a tender offer) of the 2002-4.25% Indenture Notes or the 2009 Indenture Notes, then the Company shall not be required to deliver a new deposit account control agreement for such Deposit Account (or to add such Deposit Account to any schedule under any existing deposit account control agreement), in each case unless any cash remains in such account after December 31, 2009.
- (j) The following Section 6.21 is hereby added to the Credit Agreement in the appropriate numerical order:
 - **6.21 Permitted Indenture Refinancing Indebtedness**. At the time the Company or any Loan Party enters into any Permitted Indenture Refinancing Indebtedness, the Company shall deliver to the Administrative Agent a certificate, in form and substance acceptable to the Administrative Agent, attaching copies of all material documentation relating to such Permitted Indenture Refinancing Indebtedness and certifying that (i) such Permitted Indenture Refinancing Indebtedness complies with the requirements of Sections 7.16 and 7.09, the definition of "Permitted Indenture Refinancing Indebtedness" and, with respect to a refinancing or replacement of 2002-4.25% Indenture Indebtedness, the

definition of "2002-4.25% Indenture Notes Restructure" and (ii) no Event of Default shall have occurred and be continuing or would occur as a result thereof.

- (k) Section 7.03(j) of the Credit Agreement is hereby amended by:
 - (A) inserting the phrase "or replaced" immediately after the phrase "that has refinanced",
 - (B) inserting the parenthetical phrase "(it being understood that the term 'prepaid or purchased' in this clause does not include 2002 5.25% Indenture Indebtedness that is refinanced or replaced by the 2009 Indenture Notes)" immediately after the phrase "on or prior to May 7, 2009", and
 - (C) inserting the parenthetical phrase "(it being understood that the term 'prepaid' in this clause does not include 2009 Indenture Indebtedness that is refinanced or replaced by the Special 2002 4.25% Refinancing Indebtedness)" immediately after the phrase "prepaid as permitted hereunder".
- (1) Section 7.03(k) of the Credit Agreement is hereby amended by:
 - (A) inserting the phrase "or replaced" immediately after the phrase "that has refinanced",
 - (B) inserting the parenthetical phrase "(except in the case of a Temporary 2002-4.25% Indebtedness Increase that does not exceed \$175,000,000 and does not extend beyond December 31, 2009)" immediately after the phrase "provided that", and
 - (C) inserting the parenthetical phrase "(it being understood that the term 'prepaid' in this clause does not include 2002 4.25% Indenture Indebtedness that is refinanced or replaced by the Special 2002 4.25% Refinancing Indebtedness)" immediately after the phrase "prepaid as permitted hereunder".
- (m) Section 7.03(1) of the Credit Agreement is hereby amended by:
 - (A) inserting the phrase "or replaced" immediately after the phrase "that has refinanced", and
 - (B) deleting "2002-4.25% Indenture Indebtedness" in the sixth line and inserting "2003 Indenture Indebtedness" in lieu thereof.
- (n) Section 7.15 of the Credit Agreement is hereby amended by:
 - (A) inserting the phrase "or replace" immediately after the phrase "or refinance", and

- (B) inserting the phrase "or replaced" immediately after the phrase "that are refinanced".
- (o) Sections 7.16(a)(ii) and (iii) of the Credit Agreement are hereby amended so that, as amended, such Sections shall read as follows:
 - (ii) the Company may (A) refinance or replace the 2002-4.25% Indenture Notes in connection with a 2002-4.25% Indenture Notes Restructure, (B) refinance or replace any Indebtedness permitted by Sections 7.03(j), (k) and (l) with Permitted Indenture Refinancing Indebtedness and (C) make prepayments or purchases (whether by open market purchase or pursuant to a tender offer) of any Indenture Indebtedness solely with net cash proceeds of cash capital contributions provided to the Company from any current or future stockholder so long as such cash capital contributions are made in exchange solely for Class A Common Stock of the Company which common stock (1) does not include any warrants, options, put rights, preferred dividend or distribution rights, "maturity date" or similar cash pay components, or any other rights not typically associated with common stock and (2) is not convertible into any other security, obligation or instrument; and
 - (iii) in the event the 50% NCP Requirements have been met, and subject to compliance with Section 2.14(I), the Company may make prepayments or purchases (whether by open market purchase or pursuant to a tender offer) of the Indenture Indebtedness or any Additional Subordinated Indebtedness permitted by Section 7.03(m) in an unlimited amount using only Net Cash Proceeds of a Disposition.
- (p) Section 7.16(b) of the Credit Agreement is hereby amended by deleting the phrase "2002-4.25% Indenture Notes as refinanced as permitted hereby" and inserting in its place the phrase "2009 Indenture Notes or 2002-4.25% Indenture Notes as refinanced or replaced as permitted hereby".
 - (q) Section 7.21 of the Credit Agreement is hereby amended by adding the following proviso at the end of such Section:

"and provided further that (during the period prior to December 31, 2009) any Temporary 2002-4.25% Excess Cash shall be excluded from the calculation of the amount of collected cash balances pursuant to this Section; but provided further that on January 1, 2010, the Borrower shall immediately prepay outstanding Revolving Loans, L/C Borrowings and Swing Line Loans and Cash Collateralize the remaining L/C Obligations, in an aggregate amount equal to the amount of any remaining Temporary 2002-4.25% Excess Cash as of January 1, 2010, and such prepayments shall be applied in the order set forth in clauses first through third of Section 2.14(m), and the Aggregate Revolving Commitments shall be automatically, immediately and permanently reduced on January 1, 2010 by the amounts of any such required prepayments but only until such time as the

Aggregate Revolving Commitments have been reduced to \$185,000,000; provided that, in no event shall the Aggregate Revolving Commitments (after giving effect to such reduction) be in an amount less than the then Outstanding Amount of L/C Obligations."

- 2. <u>Effectiveness</u>; <u>Conditions Precedent</u>. This Amendment and the amendments to the Credit Agreement herein provided shall become effective upon satisfaction of the following conditions precedent:
 - (a) the Administrative Agent shall have received:
 - (i) counterparts of this Amendment, duly executed by the Company, the other Loan Parties, the Administrative Agent and Lenders which constitute Required Lenders;
 - (ii) such other documents, instruments, opinions, certifications, undertakings, further assurances and other matters as the Administrative Agent, the Revolving Swing Line Lender, the New Vehicle Swing Line Lender, the Used Vehicle Swing Line Lender, the L/C Issuer or any Lender shall reasonably request; and
- (b) all fees and expenses payable to the Administrative Agent and the Lenders (including the fees and expenses of counsel to the Administrative Agent) to the extent invoiced on or prior to the date hereof shall have been paid in full (without prejudice to final settling of accounts for such fees and expenses).
- 3. <u>Consent of the Guarantors</u>. Each Guarantor hereby consents, acknowledges and agrees to the amendments set forth herein and hereby confirms and ratifies in all respects the Guaranty to which such Guarantor is a party (including without limitation the continuation of such Guarantor's payment and performance obligations thereunder upon and after the effectiveness of this Amendment and the amendments contemplated hereby) and the enforceability of such Guaranty against such Guarantor in accordance with its terms.
- 4. <u>Representations and Warranties</u>. In order to induce the Administrative Agent and the Lenders to enter into this Amendment, the Company and each other Borrower and Guarantor each represents and warrants to the Administrative Agent and the Lenders as follows:
- (a) The representations and warranties made by the Company and each other Borrower and Guarantor in Article V of the Credit Agreement and in each of the other Loan Documents to which such Person is a party are true and correct on and as of the date hereof, except to the extent that such representations and warranties expressly relate to an earlier date and except that, the representations and warranties contained in subsections (a) and (b) of Section 5.05 of the Credit Agreement shall be deemed to refer to the most recent statements furnished pursuant to clauses (a) and (b), respectively, of Section 6.01 of the Credit Agreement;
- (b) The Persons appearing as Guarantors on the signature pages to this Amendment constitute all Persons who are required to be Guarantors pursuant to the terms of the Credit

Agreement and the other Loan Documents, including without limitation all Persons who became Subsidiaries or were otherwise required to become Guarantors after the Closing Date, and each of such Persons has become and remains a party to a Guarantor;

- (c) This Amendment has been duly authorized, executed and delivered by the Borrowers and Guarantors party hereto and constitutes a legal, valid and binding obligation of such parties, except as may be limited by general principles of equity or by the effect of any applicable bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium or similar law affecting creditors' rights generally; and
 - (d) No Default or Event of Default has occurred and is continuing.
- 5. Entire Agreement. This Amendment and all the Loan Documents (collectively, the "Relevant Documents"), sets forth the entire understanding and agreement of the parties hereto in relation to the subject matter hereof and supersedes any prior negotiations and agreements among the parties relating to such subject matter. No promise, condition, representation or warranty, express or implied, not set forth in the Relevant Documents shall bind any party hereto, and no such party has relied on any such promise, condition, representation or warranty. Each of the parties hereto acknowledges that, except as otherwise expressly stated in the Relevant Documents, no representations, warranties or commitments, express or implied, have been made by any party to the other in relation to the subject matter hereof or thereof. None of the terms or conditions of this Amendment may be changed, modified, waived or canceled orally or otherwise, except in writing and in accordance with Section 10.01 of the Credit Agreement.
- 6. Full Force and Effect of Loan Documents. Except as hereby specifically amended, modified or supplemented, the Credit Agreement and all other Loan Documents are hereby confirmed and ratified in all respects and shall be and remain in full force and effect according to their respective terms.
- 7. <u>Counterparts</u>. This Amendment may be executed in any number of counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original as against any party whose signature appears thereon, and all of which shall together constitute one and the same instrument. Delivery of an executed counterpart of a signature page of this Amendment by telecopy or electronic delivery (including by ..pdf) shall be effective as delivery of a manually executed counterpart of this Amendment.
- 8. <u>Governing Law</u>. This Amendment shall in all respects be governed by, and construed in accordance with, the laws of the State of North Carolina applicable to contracts executed and to be performed entirely within such State, and shall be further subject to the provisions of <u>Section 10.14</u> of the Credit Agreement.
- 9. Enforceability. Should any one or more of the provisions of this Amendment be determined to be illegal or unenforceable as to one or more of the parties hereto, all other provisions nevertheless shall remain effective and binding on the parties hereto.

- 10. <u>References</u>. All references in any of the Loan Documents to the "Credit Agreement" shall mean the Credit Agreement, as amended hereby and as further amended, supplemented or otherwise modified from time to time.
- 11. Successors and Assigns. This Amendment shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Company, the Borrowers, the Administrative Agent, each of the Guarantors and Lenders, and their respective successors, legal representatives, and assignees to the extent such assignees are permitted assignees as provided in Section 10.06 of the Credit Agreement.

[Signature pages follow.]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this instrument to be made, executed and delivered by their duly authorized officers as of the day and year first above written

COMPANY:

SONIC AUTOMOTIVE, INC., as a Borrower

and as a Guarantor

By: /s/ DAVID P. COSPER

Name: David P. Cosper

Title: Vice-Chairman and CFO

NEW VEHICLE BORROWERS AND GUARANTORS:

ARNGAR, INC.

AUTOBAHN, INC.

AVALON FORD, INC.

CORNERSTONE ACCEPTANCE CORPORATION

FAA AUTO FACTORY, INC.

FAA BEVERLY HILLS, INC.

FAA CAPITOL F, INC.

FAA CAPITOL N, INC.

FAA CONCORD H, INC.

FAA CONCORD T, INC.

FAA DUBLIN N, INC.

FAA DUBLIN VWD, INC.

FAA HOLDING CORP.

FAA LAS VEGAS H, INC.

FAA POWAY G, INC.

FAA POWAY H, INC.

FAA POWAY T, INC.

FAA SAN BRUNO, INC.

FAA SANTA MONICA V, INC.

FAA SERRAMONTE H, INC.

FAA SERRAMONTE L, INC.

FAA SERRAMONTE, INC.

FAA STEVENS CREEK, INC.

FAA TORRANCE CPJ, INC.

FIRSTAMERICA AUTOMOTIVE, INC.

FORT MILL FORD, INC.

FORT MYERS COLLISION CENTER, LLC

FRANCISCAN MOTORS, INC.

FRONTIER OLDSMOBILE-CADILLAC, INC.

KRAMER MOTORS INCORPORATED

By: /s/ DAVID P. COSPER

Name: David P. Cosper

Title: Vice President and Treasurer

```
L DEALERSHIP GROUP, INC.
  MARCUS DAVID CORPORATION
  MASSEY CADILLAC, INC.
  MOUNTAIN STATES MOTORS CO., INC.
  ONTARIO L, LLC
  ROYAL MOTOR COMPANY, INC.
  SAI AL HC1, INC.
  SAI AL HC2, INC.
  SAI ANN ARBOR IMPORTS, LLC (as successor by merger with Sonic-Ann Arbor Imports, Inc.)
  SAI ATLANTA B, LLC (as successor by merger with Sonic — Global Imports, L.P.)
  SAI BROKEN ARROW C, LLC (f/k/a and converted from Speedway Chevrolet, Inc.)
  SAI CHARLOTTE M, LLC
  SAI COLUMBUS MOTORS, LLC (f/k/a and converted from Sonic
     Automotive-1400 Automall Drive, Columbus, Inc.)
SAI COLUMBUS VWK, LLC (f/k/a and converted from Sonic Automotive-1455 Automall Drive, Columbus, Inc.)
  SAI FL HC2, INC.
  SAI FL HC3, INC.
  SAI FL HC4, INC.
  SAI FL HC6, INC.
  SAI FL HC7, INC.
  SAI FORT MYERS B, LLC (f/k/a and converted from Sonic — FM, Inc.)
  SAI FORT MYERS H, LLC (f/k/a and converted from Sonic — Freeland, Inc.)
  SAI FORT MYERS M, LLC (f/k/a Sonic — FM Automotive, LLC)
  SAI FORT MYERS VW, LLC (f/k/a and converted from Sonic — FM VW, Inc.)
SAI IRONDALE IMPORTS, LLC (f/k/a and converted from Sonic — Williams Imports, Inc.)
  SAI LONG BEACH B, INC.
  SAI MD HC1, INC.
  SAI MONROVIA B, INC.
  SAI MONTGOMERY B, LLC (f/k/a and converted from Sonic Montgomery B, Inc.)
  SAI MONTGOMERY BCH, LLC (f/k/a and converted from Cobb Pontiac-Cadillac, Inc.)
  SAI MONTGOMERY CH, LLC (f/k/a and converted from Capitol Chevrolet and Imports, Inc.)
  SAI NASHVILLE CSH, LLC (f/k/a Sonic-Crest Cadillac, LLC)
  SAI NASHVILLE H, LLC (f/k/a Sonic-Crest H, LLC)
  By:
                /s/ DAVID P. COSPER
```

Name:

David P. Cosper

Title: Vice President and Treasurer

```
SAI NASHVILLE M, LLC (f/k/a Sonic Nashville M, LLC)
  SAI NASHVILLE MOTORS, LLC
  SAI OK HC1, INC., an Oklahoma corporation
SAI OKLAHOMA CITY C, LLC (f/k/a and converted from Sonic — West Reno Chevrolet, Inc.)
  SAI OKLAHOMA CITY H, LLC (f/k/a and converted from Sonic — Bethany H, Inc.)
  SAI ORLANDO CS, LLC (f/k/a and converted from Sonic — North Cadillac, Inc.)
  SAI RIVERSIDE C, LLC (f/k/a and converted from Sonic-Riverside, Inc.)
  SAI ROCKVILLE IMPORTS, LLC (as successor by merger with Sonic-Rockville Imports, Inc.)
  SAI TN HC1, LLC
  SAI TN HC2, LLC
  SAI TN HC3, LLC
  SAI TULSA N, LLC (f/k/a and converted from Riverside Nissan, Inc.)
  SANTA CLARA IMPORTED CARS, INC.
  SONIC — 2185 CHAPMAN RD., CHATTANOOGA, LLC
  SONIC — CALABASAS V, INC. SONIC — CARSON F, INC.
  SONIC — COAST CADILLAC, INC.
  SONIC — DENVER T, INC.
  SONIC — DOWNEY CADILLAC, INC. SONIC — ENGLEWOOD M, INC.
  SONIC — FORT MILL DODGE, INC.
  SONIC — HARBOR CITY H, INC.
SONIC — LAS VEGAS C EAST, LLC
  SONIC - LAS VEGAS C WEST, LLC
  SONIC — LLOYD NISSAN, INC.
  SONIC — LLOYD PONTIAC — CADILLAC, INC. SONIC — LONE TREE CADILLAC, INC.
  SONIC — LS, LLC
  SONIC — MANHATTAN FAIRFAX, INC. SONIC — MASSEY CHEVROLET, INC.
  SONIC — MASSEY PONTIAC BUICK GMC, INC.
  SONIC — NEWSOME CHEVROLET WORLD, INC. SONIC — NEWSOME OF FLORENCE, INC.
  SONIC — NORTH CHARLESTON DODGE, INC.
  SONIC — NORTH CHARLESTON, INC.
  SONIC — SANFORD CADILLAC, INC. SONIC — SHOTTENKIRK, INC.
  SONIC — STEVENS CREEK B, INC.
  By:
                /s/ DAVID P. COSPER
  Name:
                David P. Cosper
  Title:
                Vice President and Treasurer
```

AMENDMENT NO. 6 TO CREDIT AGREEMENT

```
SONIC — WILLIAMS CADILLAC, INC.
SONIC AGENCY, INC.
SONIC AUTOMOTIVE — 1720 MASON AVE., DB, INC.
SONIC AUTOMOTIVE — 1720 MASON AVE., DB, LLC
SONIC AUTOMOTIVE — 6008 N. DALE MABRY, FL, INC. SONIC AUTOMOTIVE — 9103 E. INDEPENDENCE, NC, LLC
SONIC AUTOMOTIVE 2752 LAURENS RD., GREENVILLE, INC.
SONIC AUTOMOTIVE 5260 PEACHTREE INDUSTRIAL BLVD., LLC
SONIC AUTOMOTIVE F&I, LLC
SONIC AUTOMOTIVE OF CHATTANOOGA, LLC
SONIC AUTOMOTIVE OF NASHVILLE, LLC
SONIC AUTOMOTIVE OF NEVADA, INC. (including as successor by merger with
  Sonic Automotive of Tennessee, Inc.)
SONIC AUTOMOTIVE SUPPORT, LLC
SONIC AUTOMOTIVE WEST, LLC
SONIC AUTOMOTIVE-3700 WEST BROAD STREET, COLUMBUS, INC.
SONIC AUTOMOTIVE-4000 WEST BROAD STREET, COLUMBUS, INC.
SONIC CALABASAS M, INC.
SONIC DEVELOPMENT, LLC
SONIC DIVISIONAL OPERATIONS, LLC
SONIC FREMONT, INC.
SONIC OF TEXAS, INC.
SONIC RESOURCES, INC.
SONIC SANTA MONICA M. INC.
SONIC SANTA MONICA S, INC.
SONIC TYSONS CORNER H, INC.
SONIC TYSONS CORNER INFINITI, INC.
SONIC WALNUT CREEK M, INC.
SONIC WILSHIRE CADILLAC, INC.
SONIC-BUENA PARK H, INC.
SONIC-CALABASAS A, INC.
SONIC-CAPITOL CADILLAC, INC.
SONIC-CAPITOL IMPORTS, INC.
SONIC-CARSON LM, INC.
SONIC-PLYMOUTH CADILLAC, INC.
SONIC-SATURN OF SILICON VALLEY, INC.
SONIC-SERRAMONTE I, INC.
SONIC-VOLVO LV, LLC(as successor by merger with Sonic Automotive
  Servicing Company, LLC)
SONIC-WEST COVINA T, INC.
```

By: /s/ DAVID P. COSPER

Name: David P. Cosper

Title: Vice President and Treasurer

SRE ALABAMA — 2, LLC SRE ALABAMA — 5, LLC SRE CALIFORNIA — 1, LLC SRE CALIFORNIA — 2, LLC SRE CALIFORNIA — 4, LLC SRE COLORADO — 1, LLC SRE FLORIDA — 1, LLC SRE FLORIDA — 2, LLC SRE HOLDING, LLC SRE NORTH CAROLINA — 2, LLC SRE OKLAHOMA — 1, LLC SRE OKLAHOMA — 2, LLC SRE OKLAHOMA — 5, LLC SRE SOUTH CAROLINA — 3, LLC SRE SOUTH CAROLINA — 4, LLC SRE TENNESSEE — 4, LLC SRE VIRGINIA — 1, LLC SREALESTATE ARIZONA — 2, LLC SREALESTATE ARIZONA — 3, LLC STEVENS CREEK CADILLAC, INC. TOWN AND COUNTRY FORD, INCORPORATED VILLAGE IMPORTED CARS, INC. WINDWARD, INC. Z MANAGEMENT, INC.

By: /s/ DAVID P. COSPER

Name: David P. Cosper

Title: Vice President and Treasurer

SAI CLEARWATER T, LLC (f/k/a and converted from Sonic

Automotive-Clearwater, Inc.)

By: SAI FL HC2, INC.,

as Sole Member

By: /s/ DAVID P. COSPER

Name: David P. Cosper

Title: Vice President and Treasurer

SAI COLUMBUS T, LLC (f/k/a and converted from Sonic

Automotive-1500 Automall Drive, Columbus, Inc.)

By: SONIC AUTOMOTIVE, INC.,

as Sole Member

By: /s/ DAVID P. COSPER

Name: David P. Cosper

Title: Vice President and Treasurer

SAI IRONDALE L, LLC (f/k/a Sonic — Williams Motors, LLC)

By: SAI AL HC2, INC.,

as Sole Member

By: /s/ DAVID P. COSPER

Name: David P. Cosper

Title: Vice President and Treasurer

SAI OKLAHOMA CITY T, LLC (f/k/a and converted

from Wrangler Investments, Inc.)

SAI TULSA T, LLC (f/k/a and converted from Sonic —

Oklahoma T, Inc.)

By: SAI OK HC1, INC.,

as Sole Member

By: /s/ DAVID P. COSPER

Name: David P. Cosper

Title: Vice President and Treasurer

SAI ROCKVILLE L, LLC (as successor by merger with

Sonic-Rockville Motors, Inc.)

By: SAI MD HC1, INC.,

as Sole Member

By: /s/ DAVID P. COSPER

Name: David P. Cosper

Title: Vice President and Treasurer

SAI GEORGIA, LLC (f/k/a and converted from Sonic

Automotive of Georgia, Inc.)

By: SONIC AUTOMOTIVE OF NEVADA, INC.,

as Sole Member

By: /s/ DAVID P. COSPER

Name: David P. Cosper

Title: Vice President and Treasurer

AMENDMENT NO. 6 TO CREDIT AGREEMENT

SAI GA HC1, LP SONIC PEACHTREE INDUSTRIAL BLVD., L.P. SONIC — STONE MOUNTAIN T, L.P.

By: SAI GEORGIA, LLC, as Sole General Partner

SONIC AUTOMOTIVE OF NEVADA, INC.,

as Sole Member

/s/ DAVID P. COSPER

Name: David P. Cosper

Title: Vice President and Treasurer

PHILPOTT MOTORS, LTD.

SONIC ADVANTAGE PA, L.P.

SONIC AUTOMOTIVE OF TEXAS, L.P.

SONIC AUTOMOTIVE — 3401 N. MAIN, TX, L.P. SONIC AUTOMOTIVE — 4701 I-10 EAST, TX, L.P.

SONIC — CADILLAC D, L.P.

SONIC — CAMP FORD, L.P. SONIC — CARROLLTON V, L.P.

SONIC-CLEAR LAKE VOLKSWAGEN, L.P.

SONIC — FORT WORTH T, L.P.
SONIC — FRANK PARRA AUTOPLEX, L.P.

SONIC HOUSTON JLR, LP

SONIC HOUSTON LR, L.P.

SONIC — HOUSTON V, L.P.

SONIC-JERSEY VILLAGE VOLKSWAGEN, L.P.

SONIC — LUTE RILEY, L.P.

SONIC — MESQUITE HYUNDAI, L.P.

SONIC MOMENTUM B, L.P.

SONIC MOMENTUM JVP, L.P.

SONIC MOMENTUM VWA, L.P.

SONIC — READING, L.P. SONIC — RICHARDSON F, L.P.

SONIC — UNIVERSITY PARK A, L.P.

SRE TEXAS — 1, L.P. SRE TEXAS — 2, L.P.

SRE TEXAS — 3, L.P.

SRE TEXAS — 4, L.P.

SRE TEXAS — 5, L.P.

SRE TEXAS — 6, L.P.

SRE TEXAS — 7, L.P. SRE TEXAS — 8, L.P.

By: SONIC OF TEXAS, INC.,

as Sole General Partner

/s/ DAVID P. COSPER By:

Name: David P. Cosper

Title: Vice President and Treasurer

SONIC — LS CHEVROLET, L.P.

By: SONIC — LS, LLC, as Sole General Partner

By: /s/ DAVID P. COSPER
Name: David P. Cosper
Title: Vice President and Treasurer

AMENDMENT NO. 6 TO CREDIT AGREEMENT

SONIC FINANCIAL CORPORATION: SONIC FINANCIAL CORPORATION

By: /s/ O. BRUTON SMITH

Name: O. Bruton Smith
Title: President

AMENDMENT NO. 6 TO CREDIT AGREEMENT

ADMINISTRATIVE AGENT:

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., as Administrative Agent

By: /s/ ANNE M. ZESCHKE

Name: Anne M. Zeschke
Title: Vice President

AMENDMENT NO. 6 TO CREDIT AGREEMENT

LENDERS:

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., as a Lender, Revolving Swing Line Lender, New Vehicle Swing Line Lender, Used Vehicle Swing Line Lender and L/C Issuer

By: /s/ M. PATRICIA KAY

Name: M. Patricia Kay
Title: Senior Vice President

AMENDMENT NO. 6 TO CREDIT AGREEMENT

JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A., as Syndication Agent and as a Lender

By: /s/ JEFFREY G CALDER
Name: Jeffrey G Calder
Title: Vice President

TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORPORATION, as Documentation Agent and as a Lender

By:
Name:/s/ MARK DOIMark DoiNational Dealer Credit Manager

BMW FINANCIAL SERVICES NA, LLC, as a Lender

By: /s/ SCOTT BARGAR
Name: Scott Bargar

Title: Retailer Finance Credit Manager, BMW FS

By: /s/ PATRICK SULLIVAN

Name: Patrick Sullivan

Title: GM, Retailer Finance BMW Group Financial Services

AMENDMENT NO. 6 TO CREDIT AGREEMENT

CAROLINA FIRST BANK, as a Lender		
By:		
Name:		
Title:		

COMERICA BANK, as a Lender

By: /s/ DAVID M. GARBARZ
Name: David M. Garbarz
Title: SVP

AMENDMENT NO. 6 TO CREDIT AGREEMENT

FIFTH THIRD BANK, as a Lender

By:	
Name:	
Title:	

GENERAL E	LECTRIC CAPITAL CORPORATION, as a Lender
By: Name: Title:	

KEYBANK	NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, as a Lender
By: Name:	
Name: Title:	-

NISSAN MOTOR ACCEPTANCE CORPORATION, as a Lender

/s/ BRIAN FALLON Brian Fallon

By: Name: Title: Sr. Manager

AMENDMENT NO. 6 TO CREDIT AGREEMENT

By: Name:	
N.T.	
Title:	

SUNTRUST BANK, as a Lender

By: /s/ AMANDA PARKS
Name: Amanda Parks
Title: SVP

AMENDMENT NO. 6 TO CREDIT AGREEMENT

WACHOVIA BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, as a Lender

By: /s/ MICHAEL R. BURKITT
Name: Michael R. Burkitt

Title: Senior Vice President

AMENDMENT NO. 6 TO CREDIT AGREEMENT

WORLD OMNI FINANCIAL CORP., as a Lender

By: /s/ DAN CHAIT
Name: Dan Chait
Title: Group Vice President

AMENDMENT NO. 6 TO CREDIT AGREEMENT

DCFS USA LLC, as a Lender

By: /s/ MICHELE NOWAK
Name: Michele Nowak

Title: Credit Director, National Accounts

AMENDMENT NO. 6 TO CREDIT AGREEMENT

By:	
Name:	
Title:	

AMENDMENT NO. 6 TO CREDIT AGREEMENT Signature Page

VW CREDIT, INC., as a Lender

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., as a Lender

By: /s/ MICHAEL R. BURKITT
Name: Michael R. Burkitt

Title: Senior Vice President

AMENDMENT NO. 6 TO CREDIT AGREEMENT

NOTES PURCHASE AGREEMENT

NOTES I UNCHASE AGREEMENT	
This Notes Purchase Agreement (this " Purchase Agreement ") is made as of the [] day of September, 2009 between [(" Purchaser ").] ('Seller") and Sonic Automotive, Inc.
The parties hereby agree as follows:	
1. Purchase and Sale of Notes.	
1.1 Agreement to Sell and Purchase. On the basis of the representations and warranties and mutual agreements contained in of the conditions set forth in Section 5 hereof, Seller agrees to sell and deliver to Purchaser, and Purchaser agrees to purchase Convertible Senior Notes due 2015 of the Purchaser (the "Notes"), at the aggregate purchase price of USD [] (the 'Purchaser')	from Seller, [] principal amount of 4.25%
1.2 Payment and Delivery. Subject to Section 4 hereof, on [], 2009 (the 'Closing Date'), or at such other date as shall deliver to Purchaser the Notes, and Purchaser will pay to Seller cash in immediately available funds in an amount equal to	Ę ,
1.3 Accounts for Payment of Purchase Price and Delivery of Notes	
Account for Delivery of Purchase Price to Seller:	
r 1	

Account for delivery of Notes to Purchaser:

2. Representations and Warranties.

Purchaser represents and warrants to Seller and Seller represents and warrants to Purchaser that, as of the date hereof and as of the Closing Date:

- 2.1 It is duly organized and validly existing under the laws of the jurisdiction of its organization or incorporation and, if relevant under such laws, in good standing.
- 2.2 It has the power to execute this Purchase Agreement and any other documentation relating to this Purchase Agreement to which it is a party, to deliver this Purchase Agreement and any other documentation relating to this Purchase Agreement that is required by this Purchase Agreement to deliver and to perform its obligations under this Purchase Agreement and has taken all necessary action to authorize such execution, delivery and performance.
- 2.3 Such execution, delivery and performance do not violate or conflict with any law applicable to it, any provision of its constitutional documents, any order or judgment of any court or other agency of government applicable to it or any of its assets or any contractual restriction binding on or affecting it or any of its assets.

- 2.4 All governmental and other consents that are required to have been obtained by it with respect to this Purchase Agreement have been obtained and are in full force and effect and all conditions of any such consents required to be complied with on or prior to the date hereof have been complied with.
- 2.5 Its obligations under this Purchase Agreement constitute its legal, valid and binding obligations, enforceable in accordance with its terms (subject to applicable bankruptcy, reorganization, insolvency, moratorium or similar laws affecting creditors' rights generally and subject, as to enforceability, to equitable principles of general application (regardless of whether enforcement is sought in a proceeding in equity or at law)).
- 2.6 The Purchase Price has been mutually negotiated by the parties, no deadline on the purchase of the Notes has been imposed by any party and no minimum or maximum number of Notes has been proposed, imposed or required by any party for the purchase and sale of the Notes.

3. Additional Representation and Warranty of Seller.

In addition to the representations and warranties in Section 2 herein Seller represents, warrants and acknowledges to Purchaser that, as of the date hereof and as of the Closing Date:

3.1 Seller is the beneficial owner of the Notes, free and clear of any lien and any other limitation or restriction, and will transfer and deliver to Purchaser on the Closing Date valid title to the Notes free and clear of any lien and any such limitation or restriction.

4. Acknowledgment of Seller.

Seller acknowledges that Purchaser is the issuer of the Notes. Seller also understands and acknowledges that, for this and other reasons, Purchaser has access to (and may be or are in possession of) information about Purchaser and the Notes (which may include material, non-public information) that may be or is material and superior to the information available to Seller, that Seller does not have such access to such information, and that Purchaser is not sharing any such information with Seller. Seller acknowledges that it is selling the Notes to Purchaser without any reliance on Purchaser or any of Purchaser's representatives, that Seller's sale has not been solicited by Purchaser, and that this Purchase Agreement has been entered into at Seller's initiative based on Seller's current investment strategies. Seller represents to Purchaser that Seller with Seller's professional advisers, is a sophisticated investor with respect to the Notes and Purchaser, and is capable of evaluating the risk associated with a transaction involving the Notes and Purchaser, including the risk of transacting on the basis of inferior information, and that Seller is capable of sustaining any loss resulting therefrom without material injury. Seller acknowledges and agrees that, except for payment of the Purchase Price, Purchaser will not have any liability arising from this Purchase Agreement, including any liability under the securities or other laws, rules and regulations.

Seller also specifically acknowledges that Purchaser would not enter into this Purchase Agreement in the absence of Seller's representations and acknowledgments set out in this Purchase Agreement, and that this Purchase Agreement, including such representations and acknowledgments, are a fundamental inducement to Purchaser, and a substantial portion of the consideration provided by Seller, in this transaction, and that Purchaser would not enter into this transaction but for this inducement.

5. Conditions to Purchaser's Obligations.

The obligations of Purchaser under this Purchase Agreement shall be subject to the condition that Purchaser has received net proceeds in the aggregate of not less than USD[] from offerings of common stock and convertible senior notes at or prior to 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on [], 2009.

Miscellaneous.

6.1 Amendments; Waivers. This Purchase Agreement may be waived or amended solely by a writing executed by both of the parties hereto.

- 6.2 Governing Law. The provisions of this Purchase Agreement and any claim, controversy or dispute arising under or related to this Purchase Agreement shall be governed by the New York law (without reference to choice of law doctrine).
- 6.3 Submission to Jurisdiction. The parties hereto agree that any suit, action or proceeding seeking to enforce any provision of, or based on any matter arising out of or in connection with, this Purchase Agreement or the transactions contemplated hereby shall be brought in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York or any New York State court sitting in New York City, so long as one of such courts shall have subject matter jurisdiction over such suit, action or proceeding, and that any cause of action arising out of this Purchase Agreement shall be deemed to have arisen from a transaction of business in the State of New York, and each of the parties hereby irrevocably consents to the jurisdiction of such courts (and of the appropriate appellate courts therefrom) in any such suit, action or proceeding and irrevocably waives, to the fullest extent permitted by law, any objection that it may now or hereafter have to the laying of the venue of any such suit, action or proceeding in any such court or that any such suit, action or proceeding brought in any such court has been brought in an inconvenient forum. Process in any such suit, action or proceeding may be served on any party anywhere in the world, whether within or without the jurisdiction of any such court.
- 6.4 Transfer; Successors and Assigns. The terms and conditions of this Purchase Agreement shall inure to the benefit of and be binding upon the respective successors and assigns of the parties. Nothing in this Purchase Agreement, express or implied, is intended to confer upon any party other than the parties hereto or their respective successors and assigns any rights, remedies, obligations, or liabilities under or by reason of this Purchase Agreement, except as expressly provided in this Purchase Agreement.
- 6.5 Counterparts. This Purchase Agreement may be executed in any number of counterparts, all of which shall constitute one and the same instrument, and any party hereto may execute this Purchase Agreement by signing and delivering one or more counterparts.
- 6.6 Titles and Subtitles. The titles and subtitles used in this Purchase Agreement are used for convenience only and are not to be considered in construing or interpreting this Purchase Agreement.
 - 6.7 Severability. The invalidity or unenforceability of any provision hereof shall in no way affect the validity or enforceability of any other provision.
 - 6.8 *Notices*. Any notices delivered pursuant to or in connection with this Purchase Agreement shall be delivered to the applicable parties at the addresses set forth below: With respect to Seller:

[]
[]

With respect to Purchaser:

6.9 Entire Agreement. This Purchase Agreement constitutes the full and entire understanding and agreement between the parties with respect to the subject matter hereof, and any other written or oral agreement relating to the subject matter hereof existing between the parties are expressly cancelled.

[Remainder of Page Intentionally Left Blank]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have executed this Purchase Agreement as of the date first above written.

CERTIFICATION

I, David P. Cosper, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Sonic Automotive, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: October 30, 2009

By: /s/ DAVID P. COSPER

David P. Cosper Vice Chairman and Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION

I, O. Bruton Smith, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Sonic Automotive, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: October 30, 2009

By: /s/ O. BRUTON SMITH

O. Bruton Smith
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Sonic Automotive, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2009 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, David P. Cosper, Vice Chairman and Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

(1) the Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

(2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ DAVID P. COSPER

David P. Cosper

Vice Chairman and Chief Financial Officer

October 30, 2009

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Sonic Automotive, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2009 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, O. Bruton Smith, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) the Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ O. BRUTON SMITH

O. Bruton Smith Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

October 30, 2009